

RELEASE TO PUBLISH UNCLASSIFIED NRC CONTRACTOR
SPEECHES, PAPERS, AND JOURNAL ARTICLES
(Please type or print)

1. TITLE (State in full as it appears on the speech, paper, or journal article) FDS Simulation of the Newhall Pass Tunnel Fire (Abstract)

2. AUTHOR(S) J. Huczek, T. Mintz, C. Bajwa, K. Das, and K. Axler

3. NAME OF CONFERENCE, LOCATION, AND DATE(S) 4th International Symposium on Tunnel Safety and Security, Frankfurt, Germany, March 17-19, 2010

4. NAME OF PUBLICATION
Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Tunnel Safety and Security, Frankfurt, Germany, March 17-19, 2010

5. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PUBLISHER
SP Technical Research Institute of Sweden
Box 857, SE-501 Borås, Sweden

TELEPHONE NUMBER OF THE PUBLISHER
+4610516 50 00

6. CONTRACTOR NAME AND COMPLETE MAILING ADDRESS
(Include ZIP code)
Dr. Todd Mintz
Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses
Southwest Research Institute
6220 Culebra Road
San Antonio, TX 78238

TELEPHONE NUMBER OF THE CONTRACTOR
(210) 522-5282

7. CERTIFICATION
(ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS)

YES	NO		
	X	A. COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL – Does this speech, paper, or journal article contain copyrighted material: If yes, attach a letter of release from the source that holds the copyright.	
	X	B. PATENT CLEARANCE – Does this speech, paper, or journal article require patent clearance? If yes, the NRC Patent Counsel must signify clearance by signing below.	
		NRC PATENT COUNSEL (Type or Print Name)	SIGNATURE DATE
X		C. REFERENCE AVAILABILITY — Is all material referenced in this speech, paper, or journal article available to the public either through a public library, the Government Printing Office, the National Technical Information Service, or the NRC Public Document Room? If no, list below the specific availability of each referenced document.	
		SPECIFIC AVAILABILITY	
X		METRIC UNIT CONVERSION — Does this speech, paper, or journal article contain measurement and weight values? If yes, all must be converted to the International System of Units, followed by the English units in brackets, pursuant to the NRC Policy Statement implementing the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988, Executive Order 12770, July 24, 1991.	

8. AUTHORIZATION

The signatures of the NRC project manager and the contractor official certify that the NRC contractor speech, paper, or journal article is authorized by NRC, that it has undergone appropriate peer review for technical content and for material that might compromise commercial proprietary rights, and that it does not contain classified, sensitive unclassified, or nonpublic information (NRC MD 3.9, Part II(A)(1)(d))

A. CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL (Type or print name) Sitakanta Mohanty, Assistant Director		SIGNATURE <i>Sitakanta Mohanty</i>	DATE 5/29/09
B. NRC RESPONSIBLE PROJECT MANAGER (Type or print name)		OFFICE/DIVISION	MAIL STOP
TELEPHONE NUMBER	E-MAIL I.D.	Did you place the speech, paper, or journal article in ADAMS? If so, provide the ADAMS Accession No. (Use Template OCIO 099)	YES NO
SIGNATURE			DATE

FDS Simulation of the Newhall Pass Tunnel Fire

J. Huczek,¹ T. Mintz,² K. Das,² and K. Axler²

¹Southwest Research Institute[®], San Antonio, TX, USA

²Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses, San Antonio, TX, USA

Fire Dynamics Simulator (FDS) was used to reconstruct the fire that occurred in October 2007 just north of Los Angeles, California, USA, in the Newhall Pass tunnel. The objective of the work was to determine the maximum exposure temperature in the tunnel and evaluate the conditions likely to be found in this fire. This abstract summarizes the simulations and the conclusions of the fire analysis.

On October 12, 2007, a major fire occurred in the Newhall Pass tunnel near Santa Clarita, California. The Newhall Pass tunnel is part of the Interstate 5 southbound truck lane as it passes below Interstate 5 main lanes. The tunnel is a 167-m [550-ft]-long reinforced concrete boxed girder, which was built in 1975. The tunnel fire was a result of a truck accident that led to a 31 vehicle pile-up in and around the Newhall Pass tunnel. One of the vehicles caught on fire and ignited other vehicles in the tunnel. The tunnel was fully engulfed in flames within 15 minutes.

The main objective of the work presented in this abstract was to evaluate the thermal conditions that occurred in the Newhall Pass tunnel fire. The thermal conditions were determined by two techniques. The first technique included modeling the Newhall Pass fire using the National Institute of Standards and Technology's FDS Computational Fluid Dynamics Code. The model included the tunnel geometry, vehicle geometry, weather conditions, and type of combustible materials. In addition, the model included small targets at specific location surrounding incinerated vehicles, which include material properties to predict heat transfer from the air and a subsequent temperature of these target materials during the fire. The second technique was to sample materials from vehicles involved in the tunnel fire. These samples provided information about the thermal conditions they experienced during the tunnel fire. This was determined by evaluating melting points, solid-state transformations, and thermally induced degradation. The sample analysis also provided a means to validate the fire model calculations.

A detailed numerical model was constructed based on the data and the information provided by the California Highway Patrol. In addition, environmental conditions were obtained from weather stations near the tunnel. This information was used to develop a model of the Newhall Pass tunnel fire. The full-scale numerical model accounted for these issues.

The model was run for a simulation time of one hour. The results from this simulation indicated that the peak temperatures were reached in the center and at the top of the tunnel. The maximum predicted upper layer gas temperature was 1,260 °C [2,300 °F]. This upper bound temperature was located in the center of the tunnel just below the ceiling. The maximum predicted west wall temperature was 960 °C [1,760 °F], the maximum predicted east wall temperature was 890 °C [1,634 °F], and the maximum predicted ceiling surface temperature was 970 °C [1,778 °F].

The materials analysis examined aluminum, copper, brass, and ferrous alloys. All of the nonferrous samples were analyzed for their melting points. Differential scanning calorimetry was used to measure the melting point of some of the acquired samples. All vehicles showed some signs of incipient melting of aluminum. This tended to set the lower bound temperature at around 600 °C [1,112 °F]. Other nonferrous samples included copper and brass. Only one brass sample showed incipient melting. The nonmelted brass and copper samples were used

to set an upper bound temperature between 900 and 1,100 °C [1,652 and 2,012 °F]. The one brass sample that showed incipient melting had a melting point of 884 °C [1,623 °F]. The vehicle from which this sample was taken was located in the middle of the tunnel, which is where the model predicted the highest temperatures. This set a lower bound for temperatures in that region.

In addition to the vehicle located in the center of the tunnel, the materials analysis results were compared to the model at five other tunnel locations. The results of the materials analysis agree well with the modeling results. As such, the materials analysis seems to validate the model assumptions and calculations. Overall, the temperature in the tunnel varied with time and location, but it is clear from the modeling and materials analysis that the temperature in the tunnel at least exceeded 884 °C [1,623 °F].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This abstract is an independent product of the CNWRA and does not necessarily reflect the view or regulatory position of the USNRC. The USNRC staff views expressed herein are preliminary and do not constitute a final judgment or determination of the matters addressed.