

John Richmond

From: Michael Modes, *RI*
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2008 4:27 PM
To: John Richmond
Subject: RE: OC Training

What ever you do ... don't let Conte know this, other wise it will become the one over arching, and meaningless goal, of the inspection. He will bring it up over-and-over-and-over.

Wait ... it doesn't matter because no one can define a commitment so we can make the number any damn thing we want.

From: John Richmond
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2008 2:13 PM
To: Michael Modes
Subject: RE: OC Training

Excellent advise. anything for Thursday's sudo bagman trip?

btw - DLR says Wiggins & Boger expect the region's 71003 inspection will verify at least 75% of the OC commitments

From: Michael Modes
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2008 2:05 PM
To: John Richmond
Subject: RE: OC Training

Something I am very concerned about at OC because of the length of time we have been involved in the renewal and the huge volume of paper that has been generated: continuity.

I suggest you compare what you look at, with the SER discussion of that subject, and the 71002 inspection report if applicable. Then remember to point the final 71003 team member assigned that subject back to your report remarks for this outage, again if applicable. Continuity of inspection may be difficult to maintain at OC however we should try to avoid the trap of contradicting ourselves over time.

From: John Richmond
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2008 1:58 PM
To: Michael Modes
Subject: OC Training

Michael, I'm going to OC this Thursday (10/2), for my confined space/mockup training. They're doing the residents, Tim, and myself in a special [probably remedial] class.

Please make your training arrangements as best suits your schedule.

While I'm on-site, I'll look at some of the LR packages they've put together, and get an overview of their Commitments management Process.

Anything else I should look at, ask for, or get?

Received: from R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov ([148.184.99.7]) by R1MS01.nrc.gov
([148.184.99.10]) with mapi; Tue, 30 Sep 2008 16:32:01 -0400

Content-Type: application/ms-tnef; name="winmail.dat"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary

From: Michael Modes <Michael.Modes@nrc.gov>

To: John Richmond <John.Richmond@nrc.gov>

Date: Tue, 30 Sep 2008 16:27:02 -0400

Subject: RE: OC Training

Thread-Topic: OC Training

Thread-Index: AckjJgPMfe6CYI/VT3y/vHuexcMdiAAAEsSwAABTGIAABNKZ/g==

Message-ID: <2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE729697375470@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>

References: <2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72969755CC50@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>

<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72969755CC75@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>, <2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72969755CC9A@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>

In-Reply-To: <2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72969755CC9A@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>

Accept-Language: en-US

Content-Language: en-US

X-MS-Has-Attach:

X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE729697375470@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>

MIME-Version: 1.0