

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Alex S. Karlin, Chairman  
Dr. Paul B. Abramson  
Dr. William M. Murphy

In the Matter of COGEMA MINING, INC. (Irigaray and Christensen Ranch Facilities)
--

Docket No. 40-08502-MLR  
ASLBP No. 09-887-01-MLR-BD01  
May 21, 2009

ORDER  
(Setting Oral Argument and Briefing of Specified Issues)

The Board will hear oral argument on standing and the admissibility of proposed contentions under 10 C.F.R. § 2.309, commencing at 9:00 am Mountain Daylight Time (MDT) on Tuesday, June 9, 2009. The oral argument will be held in Presentation Hall (Room 136) of the Whitney Building on the Campus of Sheridan College in Sheridan, Wyoming. Only duly-authorized attorneys of the Petitioners (the Oglala Delegation of the Great Sioux Nation Treaty Council (Oglala Delegation) and the Powder River Basin Resource Council (PRBRC)), Cogema Mining, Inc. (Cogema) and the staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC Staff) who have entered an appearance pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.314 will be entitled to participate. The Board plans to adjourn on Tuesday by 6:00 pm MDT and continue, if necessary, at 9:00 am MDT on Wednesday, June 10, 2009. We expect to adjourn no later than 2:00 pm MDT on that day.

The oral argument will proceed as follows. First, we will hear a short opening statement, limited to ten minutes, from each participant. Second, the Board will hear argument on the standing of the Petitioners. Third, we will hear argument on certain contentions, which we intend to specify either in a subsequent written order or at the commencement of the oral

argument on June 9, 2009. Nonetheless, counsel for the parties must be prepared to answer questions concerning all issues raised in the pleadings. Fourth, we will hear a short closing statement, limited to five minutes, from each participant.<sup>1</sup>

Except for the opening and closing statements, the sole purpose of the oral argument is to allow the Board to ask questions, and receive answers, to clarify the Board's understanding of legal and factual points and assist it in deciding the issues presented by the pleadings. Unless otherwise specified, no presentations by the parties will be entertained. Counsel should keep in mind that the Board has read their pleadings and are encouraged to be familiar with the relevant law on standing, contention admissibility, and 10 C.F.R. Parts 2 and 40. In preparing for the oral argument, counsel should focus on the critical points in controversy, as they have emerged in the pleadings.

No witnesses, other representatives of the parties, or members of the public will be heard at this time. However, members of the public and representatives of the media are welcome to attend and observe this proceeding. This is an adjudicatory proceeding and the Board intends to conduct an orderly hearing. Signs, banners, posters, and displays are prohibited in accordance with NRC policy. See Procedures for Providing Security Support for NRC Public Meetings/Hearings, 66 Fed. Reg. 31,719 (June 12, 2001). All interested persons should arrive at least fifteen minutes early so as to allow sufficient time to pass through security screening.

Limited appearance statements, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.315(a), will not be entertained at this time. If contentions are ultimately admitted, then the Board will accept written limited appearance statements and, at a later date, may hear oral limited appearance statements regarding admitted contentions.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Board may also hear oral argument related to the motion by the Oglala Delegation pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.302(g)(4) for leave to be exempt from the electronic filing requirements of that regulation.

In addition to the foregoing, the Board instructs the parties to address the following two issues.

A. Information Regarding Oglala Delegation Standing<sup>2</sup>

First, the Board notes that the Oglala Delegation states that it is a “local governmental body and . . . an affected Indian tribe (that has been recognized by the federal government by the 1851 Treaty and the 1868 Treaty, among other things),” Request for Hearing and Petition for Leave to Intervene by the Oglala Delegation of the Great Sioux Nation Treaty Council at 11. The Oglala Delegation also states that “in the event that the Commission shall rule that 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(d)(2) is inapplicable to the Oglala Delegation, the Oglala Delegation respectfully requests a reasonable time . . . to submit additional information in satisfaction of 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(d)(1).” Id. 12. With respect to those statements and requests, on or before May 28, 2009, the Oglala Delegation shall

1. Submit a memorandum, that documents or demonstrates that the Oglala Delegation:
  - a. Is a “local governmental body” duly elected, appointed or established by the relevant procedures within its Nation;
  - b. Is a “federally recognized Indian tribe” as that term is used in 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.309(d) or 2.315(c), or any other relevant Federal law or regulation;
  - c. Is an entity which must be consulted under the National Historic Preservation Act pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.2(c)(2); and
  - d. Is an “Indian Tribe” within the meaning of 36 C.F.R. § 800.16(m).<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> No page limit is imposed on these submissions, but the parties are encouraged to be brief and to the point. If any documents (other than citations to law) are important and referred to (including, but not limited to, documents in ADAMS such as the application or environmental report or documents relating to the Oglala Delegation’s status), then pertinent portions shall be attached, as attachments, which shall be marked sequentially as, for example, NRC Staff Attachment 1. The Board is not obliged to locate or retrieve any such materials. In addition, the party shall specify the precise page of the attachment that establishes its point.

2. Submit whatever “additional information” (assuming 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(d)(2) is inapplicable) that documents and demonstrates that the Oglala Delegation has standing as required by 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(d)(1).
3. Submit an affidavit or declaration from Chief Oliver Red Cloud, authorizing the Oglala Delegation to represent him in this proceeding.<sup>4</sup>

On or before June 2, 2009, Cogema and the NRC Staff may, respectively, submit their answers to the foregoing submissions of the Oglala Delegation. No answers or replies to these briefs will be entertained.

---

<sup>3</sup> In this context, it would be helpful, but not required, to explain whether the Oglala Delegation would satisfy the definition of “Indian tribe” specified in Section 2(15) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. 42 U.S.C. § 10101(15).

<sup>4</sup> See Crow Butte Resources, Inc. (License Renewal for In Situ Leach Facility, Crawford, Nebraska) CLI-09-09, 69 NRC \_\_\_\_ (May 18, 2009)(slip op. at 14-15) (establishing a new bright line rule requiring affidavits authorizing organizational representation to be filed with specific reference to the proceeding in which standing is sought and providing the petitioners the opportunity to cure such defects in their affidavits). The subpart 3 question is not an opportunity for Chief Oliver Red Cloud to supplement or change the substance of his affidavit, but merely an opportunity to reference this specific proceeding, rather than the Crow Butte proceeding.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of )  
 )  
COGEMA MINING, INC. ) DOCKET NO. 40-08502-MLR  
 )  
Irigaray and Christensen Ranch Facilities )  
 )  
(Source Materials License SUA-1341) )

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Board ORDER (Setting Oral Argument and Briefing of Specified Issues), dated May 21, 2009, have been served upon the following persons by Electronic Information Exchange.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel  
Mail Stop: T-3F23  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
Mail Stop: O-15D21  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Alex S. Karlin, Chair  
Administrative Judge  
E-mail: [ask2@nrc.gov](mailto:ask2@nrc.gov)

Christine Jochim Boote, Esq.  
E-mail: [christine.jochimboote@nrc.gov](mailto:christine.jochimboote@nrc.gov)  
Brett Klukan, Esq.  
E-mail: [bmk1@nrc.gov](mailto:bmk1@nrc.gov)  
Catherine Marco, Esq.  
E-mail: [clm@nrc.gov](mailto:clm@nrc.gov)

Paul B. Abramson  
Administrative Judge  
E-mail: [pba@nrc.gov](mailto:pba@nrc.gov)

OGC Mail Center  
E-mail: [OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov](mailto:OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov)

William M. Murphy  
Administrative Judge  
E-mail: [william.murphy@nrc.gov](mailto:william.murphy@nrc.gov)

Anthony C. Eitrem, Esq.  
Chief Counsel  
E-mail: [ace1@nrc.gov](mailto:ace1@nrc.gov)

Megan Wright, Esq.  
E-mail: [megan.wright@nrc.gov](mailto:megan.wright@nrc.gov)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of the Secretary of the Commission  
Mail Stop: O-16C1  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication  
Mail Stop: O-16C1  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Hearing Docket  
E-mail: [hearingdocket@nrc.gov](mailto:hearingdocket@nrc.gov)

E-mail: [ocaamail@nrc.gov](mailto:ocaamail@nrc.gov)

COGEMA MINING, INC. - DOCKET NO. 40-08502-MLR  
BOARD ORDER (Setting Oral Argument and Briefing of Specified Issues)

Counsel for COGEMA  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004

Stephen J. Burdick, Esq.  
E-mail: [sburdick@morganlewis.com](mailto:sburdick@morganlewis.com)  
James A. Glasgow, Esq.  
E-mail: [jglasgow@morganlewis.com](mailto:jglasgow@morganlewis.com)  
Alvin Gutterman, Esq.  
E-mail: [agutterman@morganlewis.com](mailto:agutterman@morganlewis.com)  
Ray P. Kuyler, Esq.  
E-mail: [rkuyler@morganlewis.com](mailto:rkuyler@morganlewis.com)  
Goud Maragani, Esq.  
E-mail: [gmaragani@morganlewis.com](mailto:gmaragani@morganlewis.com)

Counsel for Oglala Delegation of the Great  
Sioux Nation Treaty Council  
Aligning for Responsible Mining (ARM)  
P.O. Box 3014  
Pine Ridge, SD 57770

David Frankel, Esq.  
E-mail: [arm.legal@gmail.com](mailto:arm.legal@gmail.com)

Counsel for Powder River Basin Resource Council  
934 N. Main Street  
Sheridan, WY 82801

Shannon Anderson, Esq.  
E-mail: [sanderson@powderriverbasin.org](mailto:sanderson@powderriverbasin.org)

Counsel for Oglala Delegation of the Great  
Sioux Nation Treaty Council  
Harmonic Engineering, Inc.  
945 Taraval Avenue, #186  
San Francisco, CA 94116

Thomas J. Ballanco, Esq.  
E-mail: [harmonicengineering1@mac.com](mailto:harmonicengineering1@mac.com)

[Original signed by Linda D. Lewis]  
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

Dated at Rockville, Maryland  
this 21<sup>st</sup> day of May 2009