Exhibit 300 (BY2010)

2008-09-16T08:12:56.877-04:00 1406 A002181

PART ONE

OVERVIEW

1. Date of Submission:	2008-09-08-04:00
2. Agency:	429
3. Bureau:	00
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	Material Licensing Program - Web-Based Licensing (WBL)
5. Unique Project Identifier:	429-00-01-04-01-1000-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2010?

Full-Acquisition

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap.

This investment manages the licensing and inspection of nuclear materials. The WBL will track the license application processes (new licenses, renewals, amendments, and terminations) and provide computerized records of each specific license. It will handle specific licenses issued for the possession and use of byproduct material, source, and special nuclear material. WBL will maintain a multitude of important characteristics: basic information (license number, program code, approved usage type, etc), possession limits, authorized users, locations of use, and fee data. It will also store the most recent inspection information on the licenses. The Web-Based Licensing (WBL) system will replace Licensing Tracking System (LTS, UPI:429-00-01-04-01-1000-00-301-093) for its licensing tracking capability. WBL will also replace the legacy Inspection Planning System (IPS) for its inspection planning and tracking capability and integrate inspection records with the license information. More importantly, WBL will support e-Gov by providing online NRC license information verification capability to the authorized users. The licensees will ultimately be able to submit and track the progress of license actions through a web interface. In addition to the licensee service functions, the WBL also provides the ability to satisfy the emerging needs in the modern information technology environment. Specifically, these needs include the accessibility for the disabled (Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act); security measures to protect the information against malevolent act; robust and user friendly interfaces and flexible query capability; and disaster recovery capability.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

2008-08-05-04:00

10. Did the Program/Project Manager review this Exhibit?

yes

11. Program/Project Manager Name:

Cheng (WBL), Carmen

Program/Project Manager Phone:

301-415-0200

Program/Project Manager Email:

Menelik.Yimam@nrc.gov

11.a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?

Senior/Expert/DAWIA-Level 3

11.b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned?

2008-02-18-05:00

11.c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FACP/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification?

2008-09-04-04:00

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

no

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

yes

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

no

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?

yes

If yes, select the initiatives that apply:

Expanded E-Government

13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

WBL supports expanded e-Gov. It will provide web access to authorized NRC staff, external licensees, and gov?t agencies. NRC staff will track license application milestones & query the license repository; licensees will track the status of license applications; gov?t agencies will query the license repository. These capabilities increase customer service & section 508 accessibility, reduce the difficulty of interacting with gov?t, and reduce gov?t operational costs.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

yes

14.a. If yes, does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

no

14.b. If yes, what is the name of the PARTed program?

10002440 - Nuclear Materials Users Licensing and Inspection

14.c. If yes, what rating did the PART receive?

Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology?

yes

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB memorandum M-05-23)?

yes

19. Is this a financial management system?

no

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware	0
Software	1
Services	89
Other	10

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions.

Name

Sandra Northern

Phone Number

301-415-6879

Title

Privacy Officer

Email

SSN@nrc.gov
23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?
no
24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?
no

SUMMARY OF SPEND

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2007	2008	2009	2010
Planning Budgetary Resources	0.145000	0.161000	0.000000	0.000000
Acquisition Budgetary Resources	0.000000	0.299000	3.134000	1.194000
Maintenance Budgetary Resources	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.643000
Government FTE Cost	0.089000	0.203000	0.288000	0.370000
# of FTEs	1	1	1	1

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

This report contains only WBL. The LTS data previously included in this investment has been extracted into a separate OMB E53 line item for LTS. As a result, the Summary of Spending cost has been adjusted to show only WBL data. The above Summary of Spending shows the NRC-approved budgetary resources. Due to these changes, NRC's structuring of the IT portfolio, emerging IT Security requirements, and Section 508 compliance, the milestone plan associated with the WBL investment was rebaselined and approved on 4/21/2008. The rebasedlined milestone plan is presented in this BY10 Exhibit 300 submission. This new milestone plan provides greater detail, more accuracy, and insight into the project, therefore enabling tighter project management control.

PERFORMANCE

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding Measurement Area and Measurement Grouping identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

	Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
1	2007	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Capital Planning	Completion of up-to-date Analysis of Alternatives (AoA)	No recent AoA	Completed AoA	AoA Update was completed
2	2007	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Completion of E-Authentication Risk Assessment (E-Auth RA) to determine end-user security access requirements	No recent E-Auth RA	Completed E-Auth RA	E-Auth RA was completed
3	2007	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Costs	Completion of Cost Analysis	No recent Cost Analysis	Completed Cost Analysis	Cost Analysis was completed
4	2007	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Data Storage	Completion of high-level Data Analysis & Modeling (Data Model)	No recent Data Model	Completed Data Model	Data Model was completed
5	2008	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Capital Planning	Completion of System Requirements Specificaitons (SRS)	No recent SRS	Completed SRS	SRS was completed
6	2008	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Completion of 508 Compliance verification approach (508 Approach)	No recent 508 Approach	Completed 508 Approach	508 Approach completed
7	2008	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Risk	Completion of up-to-date Risk Analysis (Risk List)	No recent Risk List	Completed Risk List	Risk List was completed
8	2008	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Internal Data Sharing	Completion of License Verification Data Sharing Analysis (Data Sharing Analysis)	No recent Data Sharing Analysis	Completed Data Sharing Analysis	Data Sharing Analysis was completed
9	2009	Organizational Excellence	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	Earned Value Analysis performed monthly to measure Cost Variance (CV) and Schedule Variance (SV) of system development effort.	Project schedule baselined upon initiation of system development effort.	Earned Value CV and SV metrics remain within +/- 10% of baseline throughout system development effort.	TBD
10	2009	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	Access	Completion of initial 508 Compliance Assessment - the Voluntary Product	No recent 508 VPAT	Completed 508 VPAT showing full compliance to all standards, or associated equivalent	TBD

					Accessibility Template (VPAT)		facilitations	
11	2009	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Errors	NRC and Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) resources review development contractor's system documentation submissions	No system documentation	Submitted system documentation meet NRC's content and format requirements as defined by NRC's Project Management Methodology (PMM) the documents are free of grammatical and content errors.	TBD
12	2009	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Functionality	Early Testing - NRC and Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) resources perform independent functional assessments of system at 3 identified points (feature sets) duing the development process.	No functionality in place	NRC and IV&V functionality assessments show 100% functional match between requirements and planned functionality delivered in each of the 3 feature sets of the system.	TBD
13	2010	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	The percentage of new licensing actions completed within 90 calendar days of the receipt of a request. Licensing actions completed include licenses issued, voided and denied.	90% completion	92% completion (Fewer Customer Calls - Call Center Savings $60K)	TBD
14	2010	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	System Response Time	Average number of calendar days a response is issued from a FOIA request.	30 days	28 days (Less FTE - savings of $15K)	TBD
15	2010	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed for an inspector to prepare for a materials related inspection.	20 hours	15 hours (Less FTE - savings of $634K)	TBD
16	2010	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Overall Costs	Average annual cost for all new licenses and amendments for material licensing actions.	$2,692,308	$2,519,344 (Saving of $173K)	TBD
17	2011	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	The percentage of new licensing actions completed within 90 calendar days of the receipt of a request. Licensing actions completed include licenses issued, voided and denied.	90% completion	94% completion	TBD
18	2011	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	System Response Time	Average number of calendar days a response is issued from a FOIA request.	30 days	28 days (Less FTE - savings of $16K)	TBD

19	2011	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed for an inspector to prepare for a materials related inspection.	20 hours	15 hours (Less FTE - savings of $661K)	TBD
20	2011	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Overall Costs	Average annual cost for all new licenses and amendments for material licensing actions.	$3,051,178	$2,594,925 (Saving of $456K)	TBD
21	2012	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	The percentage of new licensing actions completed within 90 calendar days of the receipt of a request. Licensing actions completed include licenses issued, voided and denied.	90% completion	94% completion (Fewer Customer Calls - Call Center Savings $65K)	TBD
22	2012	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	System Response Time	Average number of calendar days a response is issued from a FOIA request.	30 days	28 days (Less FTE - savings of $16K)	TBD
23	2012	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed for an inspector to prepare for a materials related inspection.	20 hours	15 hours (Less FTE - savings of $681K)	TBD
24	2012	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Overall Costs	Average annual cost for all new licenses and amendments for material licensing actions.	$3,142,713	$2,672,772 (Saving of $470K)	TBD
25	2013	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	The percentage of new licensing actions completed within 90 calendar days of the receipt of a request. Licensing actions completed include licenses issued, voided and denied.	90% completion	94% completion (Fewer Customer Calls - Call Center Savings $67K)	TBD
26	2013	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	System Response Time	Average number of calendar days a response is issued from a FOIA request.	30 days	28 days (Less FTE - savings of $17K)	TBD
27	2013	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed for an inspector to prepare for a materials related inspection.	20 hours	15 hours (Less FTE - savings of $702K)	TBD
28	2013	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Overall Costs	Average annual cost for all new licenses and amendments for material licensing actions.	$3,236,944	$2,752,955 (Saving of 484K)	TBD

29	2014	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	The percentage of new licensing actions completed within 90 calendar days of the receipt of a request. Licensing actions completed include licenses issued, voided and denied.	90% completion	94% completion (Fewer Customer Calls - Call Center Savings $69K)	TBD
30	2014	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	System Response Time	Average number of calendar days a response is issued from a FOIA request.	30 days	28 days (Less FTE - savings of $17K)	TBD
31	2014	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed for an inspector to prepare for a materials related inspection.	20 hours	15 hours (Less FTE - savings of $724K)	TBD
32	2014	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Overall Costs	Average annual cost for all new licenses and amendments for material licensing actions.	$3,334,052	$2,833,944(Saving of 500K)	TBD
33	2015	Safety	Mission and Business Results	Program Monitoring	The percentage of new licensing actions completed within 90 calendar days of the receipt of a request. Licensing actions completed include licenses issued, voided and denied.	90% completion	94% completion (Fewer Customer Calls - Call Center Savings $71K)	TBD
34	2015	Organizational Excellence	Customer Results	System Response Time	Average number of calendar days a response is issued from a FOIA request.	30 days	28 days (Less FTE - savings of $18K)	TBD
35	2015	Organizational Excellence	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Number of hours needed for an inspector to prepare for a materials related inspection.	20 hours	15 hours (Less FTE - savings of $746K)	TBD
36	2015	Organizational Excellence	Technology	Overall Costs	Average annual cost for all new licenses and amendments for material licensing actions.	$3,434,073	$2,918,962(Saving of 500K)	TBD

EA

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Web Based Licensing

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

no

3.a. If yes, provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect.

021-000

4. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

	Agency Component Name	***Agency Component Description***	***Service Type***	***Component***	***Reused Component Name***	***Reused UPI***	***Internal or External Reuse?***	***Funding %***
1	*Process Tracking*	*Maintains case information for each license and their licensing and inspection history.*	*Tracking and Workflow*	*Process Tracking*			*No Reuse*	*30*
2	*Customer / Account Management*	*Provides retention and delivery of licensing information to subscribers*	*Customer Relationship Management*	*Customer / Account Management*			*No Reuse*	*10*
3	*Information Retrieval*	*Allows access to data and information for use by an user*	*Knowledge Management*	*Information Retrieval*			*No Reuse*	*10*
4	*Informatin Sharing*	*Stored in ADAMS, the NRC electronic records system to associate licensing actions to correspondence*	*Knowledge Management*	*Information Sharing*	*Document Imaging and OCR*	*429-00-01-02-01-1010-00*	*Internal*	*4*
5	*Knowledge Capture*	*Collects licensing information*	*Knowledge Management*	*Knowledge Capture*	*Billing and Accounting*	*429-00-01-01-01-2025-00*	*Internal*	*5*
6	*Knowledge Distribution*	*Provides information for internal and external (FOIA) reporting requests*	*Knowledge Management*	*Knowledge Distribution and Delivery*			*No Reuse*	*2*
7	*Ad Hoc*	*Allows search and display of licensing information*	*Reporting*	*Ad Hoc*			*No Reuse*	*8*

8	*Standardized / Canned*	*Allows search of standard reports and addresses various workflow, productivity and other management questions related to licensing information*	*Reporting*	*Standardized / Canned*			*No Reuse*	*10*
9	*Data Integration*	*Supports the organization of data into a single source*	*Development and Integration*	*Data Integration*			*No Reuse*	*3*
10	*Software Development*	*Supports the creation of processes*	*Development and Integration*	*Software Development*			*No Reuse*	*10*
11	*Access Control*	*Provides roles-based access controls*	*Security Management*	*Access Control*			*No Reuse*	*8*

5. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

	SRM Component	***Service Area***	***Service Category***	***Service Standard***	***Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name)***
1	*Customer / Account Management*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Delivery Servers*	*Application Servers*	
2	*Process Tracking*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Delivery Servers*	*Application Servers*	
3	*Information Retrieval*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Delivery Servers*	*Application Servers*	
4	*Information Sharing*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Delivery Servers*	*Application Servers*	
5	*Knowledge Capture*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Database / Storage*	*Database*	
6	*Knowledge Distribution and Delivery*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Delivery Servers*	*Web Servers*	
7	*Ad Hoc*	*Component Framework*	*User Presentation / Interface*	*Dynamic Server-Side Display*	
8	*Standardized / Canned*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Delivery Servers*	*Application Servers*	
9	*Data Integration*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Database / Storage*	*Database*	
10	*Software Development*	*Component Framework*	*Business Logic*	*Platform Dependent Technologies*	

11	*Access Control*	*Service Platform and Infrastructure*	*Support Platforms*	*Dependent Platform*	

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

no

PART TWO

RISK

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2008-06-19

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

1.c. If yes, describe any significant changes:

In last year?s report, NRC reported the agency?s efforts to re-baseline the project to satisfy the emerging requirements for information security and customer service (including Section 508 compliance and security certification and accreditation) and remediate contract issues. One of the major risks identified was that the prime contractor had limited knowledge of the chosen Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) software product and presented a risk in their ability to clearly communicate to the COTS vendor, the subcontractor, all of the NRC?s needs and concerns. Since last year, the original contract has expired and the project team has developed a new acquisition strategy which consists of two separate contracts. The first contract is designed to have the COTS vendor complete the Section 508 compliance programming, work to meet remaining functional requirements development and manage configuration of their COTS product which the system is based on. The second contract provides NRC with integration, deployment, operational services, and assistance for the security tasks. The new strategy prompted the need to re-evaluate and update the Risk Management Plan because the new strategy increases the number of roles in the project team which therefore requires a more complex integrated project plan and risk management plan. The strategy allows better control by the NRC project manager of the quality of the products and deliverables. This also greatly increases the importance of communication as project coordination and the degree of dependencies among the parties also increases. During the past fiscal year, FISMA, NIST and agency information system security requirements have solidified and certification and accreditation processes within the NRC have became more sophisticated. The project team must now pay special attention to any schedule and cost risks that may evolve specific to security requirements and security tasks ? this includes the identification of those risks and risk owners, as well as developing the corresponding mitigation strategies.

2.a. If yes, what is the planned completion date?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

For the contractor effort that will result in the COTS application being made compliant with Section 508, the project documentation identifies requirements and built-in costs for the contractor to prove the system's compliance by obtaining a third party Section 508 VPAT (Voluntary Product Accessibility Template) report. A separate VPAT report is identified as mandatory with every release of the software that is delivered to the NRC - including the initial release, and every release after the project enters the operations and maintenance phase. The NRC is not only interested in the system being Section 508 compliant when initially delivered and deployed but throughout its life. To mitigate the risk of communication barriers and to improve cooperation between the COTS vendor and the integration contractor, the NRC has included requirements in the SOWs and cost estimates that will: 1) have the two contractor organizations provide support access to each other as necessary to accomplish deployment; 2) require creation of an integrated project schedule that all the organizations (contractors and the NRC project team) will agree on; 3) revisit identified risks and other project issues on a weekly basis; 4) include an IV&V (Independent Verification and Validation) contractor in the integrated project team; 5) assign the integration contractor to conduct system tests; 6) assign the IV&V contractor to assist NRC with the final acceptance tests; and 7) use an iterative approach to produce deliverables in multiple increments over the span of the project cycle. With regard to Information Security, the SOWs and cost estimates include the costs of the initial C&A (Certification and Accreditation) and meeting the FISMA requirements for the Web-Based Licensing system. Also included are the costs of annual C&A and FISMA updates and requirements and the tri-annual ATO (Authority-To-Operate) re-certification for the WBL system once it is in the operation and maintenance phase. The costs included in the estimates followed guidance published by the NRC's Office of Information Services.

COST & SCHEDULE

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard 748?
yes
2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than ± 10%?
no
3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year?
yes
3.a. If yes, when was it approved by the agency head?
2008-03-13

Generated by Primavera ProSight