NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Hayes Testing Laboratory, Inc. Jeffersonville, Indiana REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road** **Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
150-00016	201-168-05	March 26-27 thru May 5, 2009

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

[X] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

[ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

[ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

[ ] 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael LaFranzo	Michael LaFranzo	5/14/09

KJF

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Hayes Testing Laboratory, Inc. REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, IL 60532**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
150-00016	201-168-05	3/26-27/09 thru 5/5/09

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87121	03.01 – 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
3320	1	Daniel Hayes Sr. – RSO/President	502-266-9729

[ ] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: NA

[ ] Field Office

[X] Temporary Job Site Inspection — Jeffersonville, Indiana

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is authorized to perform licensed operations, under reciprocity, within NRC jurisdiction. The licensee was based in Louisville, Kentucky. The licensee has several Model 660B camera's which contain Ir-192. During this inspection, the licensee was performing licensed operations at Jeffboat, Inc. in Jeffersonville, Indiana. The licensee possessed one Model 660B camera which contained a high activity iridium-192 source. The licensee had on site on radiographer and two assistant radiographers involved in licensed operations. The inspection was extended through May 5, 2009 to review utilization logs and NRC Form 241 documentation.

Observations and Findings

The inspector reviewed licensed operations from approximately 10 pm March 26 through 2 am March 27, 2009. The inspector observed the licensee's methods to ensure licensed material was used appropriately and properly secured; no regulatory issues were identified. The inspector interviewed all licensee personnel while at the site and determined that each had adequate knowledge, based on their positions, to ensure the safe use of licensed material. The inspector observed the licensee possessing and using all appropriate dosimetry and using the radiation survey meters in a manner consistent with NRC regulations. The inspector reviewed the licensee's transportation documentation and methods to transport licensed material and did not identify any regulatory issues. The inspector performed an in-office review of the licensee's utilization logs and submitted NRC Form 241 documentation and did not identify any regulatory issues. The inspector performed independent radiation measurements of the camera and areas where radiation was expected and did not identify abnormal radiation readings. The inspector performed a side-by-side comparison measurement between NRC and licensee radiation survey instruments; readings were within acceptable limits.

RG.1