

Proprietary Notice

This letter forwards proprietary information in accordance with 10CFR2.390. Upon the removal of Enclosure 1, the balance of this letter may be considered non-proprietary.

MFN 09-267

May 14, 2009

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy

Richard E. Kingston Vice President, ESBWR Licensing

P.O. Box 780 3901 Castle Hayne Road, M/C A-65 Wilmington, NC 28402 USA

T 910.819.6192 F 910.362.6192 rick.kingston@ge.com

Docket No. 52-010

Subject: Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application - Auxiliary Systems - RAI Number 9.1-60 S01

The purpose of this letter is to submit the GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy (GEH) response to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Request for Additional Information (RAI) sent by NRC Letter 298 dated February 11, 2009, Reference 1. The original RAI response was submitted to the NRC via Reference 2 in response to Reference 3. GEH response to RAI Number 9.1-60 S01 is addressed in Enclosure 1, which contains GEH proprietary information as defined by 10 CFR 2.390. GEH customarily maintains this information in confidence and withholds it from public disclosure. Enclosure 2 is a non-proprietary version that is suitable for public disclosure.

The affidavit contained in Enclosure 3 identifies that the information contained in Enclosure 1 has been handled and classified as proprietary to GEH. GEH hereby requests that the information of Enclosure 1 be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.390 and 9.17.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Gam FOR

Richard E. Kingston Vice President, ESBWR Licensing



References:

- 1. MFN 09-130, Letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Robert E. Brown, *Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application*, dated February 11, 2009.
- MFN 08-882, Response to NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 165 Related to Licensing Topical Report NEDC-33373P, Revision 0, "Dynamic, Load Drop and Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis for ESBWR Fuel Racks," November 2007 RAI Numbers 9.1-51 through 9.1-76, dated November 10, 2008.
- 3. MFN 08-284, Letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Robert E. Brown, *Request for Additional Information Letter No. 165 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application*, dated March 19, 2008.

Enclosures:

- Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application - Auxiliary Systems - RAI Number 9.1-60 S01 - Proprietary Version
- Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application - Auxiliary Systems - RAI Number 9.1-60 S01 – Non-Proprietary Version
- 3. MFN 09-267– Affidavit Larry J. Tucker May 14, 2009

CC:	AE Cubbage	USNRC (with enclosures)
	JG Head	GEH/Wilmington (with enclosures)
	DH Hinds	GEH/Wilmington (with enclosures)
	eDRF section	0000-0100-6917

Enclosure 2

MFN 09-267

Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application - Auxiliary Systems -

RAI Number 9.1-6 S01

Non-Proprietary Version

For historical purposes, the original text of RAI 9.1-60 with the GEH response is included.

NRC RAI 9.1-60

LTR NEDC-33373P, Section 1.4.6.4 described the FSR analysis for safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) loads using [[]]. FSR is a welded steel construction. According to Regulatory Guide 1.61 Table 1 for welded steel, the SSE damping value should be 4 percent. The staff requests that GEH justify for using [[]] in the FSR analysis for SSE loads. Also address the same issue for LTR NEDC-33373P, Section 3.4.7.3.

GEH Response

Higher damping values are allowed under Regulatory Guide 1.61, Paragraph C.2, and Standard Review Plan 3.8.4, Appendix D, Section 3, Paragraph 4, which states that submergence in water can be taken into account.

Based on a review of the work by Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, Report UCRL-52342, Effective Mass and Damping of Submerged Structures, by R. G. Dong (1978), damping values higher than 4% and 6% damping were justified for the spent fuel racks located under water with close tolerance fit-up to the fuel assembly. A conservative approach within the industry showed most racks evaluated with this allowance were using an additional 2% damping.

DCD Impact

No DCD changes will be made in response to this RAI.

(

NRC RAI 9.1-60 S01

Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.61, rev. 1 prescribes damping values in Table 1 for structural components that are acceptable to NRC staff. For welded steel structures such the fuel racks, the damping value for safe-shutdown earthquake (SSE) loads is prescribed as 4 percent, while NEDE-33373P, Revision 1, utilized [[]] for fuel storage rack (FSR) analysis for SSE loads.

In the GEH response to the staff's RAI9.1-60, GEH cited RG 1.61, Paragraph C.2 and SRP 3.8.4, Appendix D, as well as Report UCRL-52342 as justifications for applying higher damping than the prescribed damping value for welded steel structures. RG 1.61, rev. 0 allows the use of higher damping values than the ones prescribed in Table 1, provided that documented test data are provided to support the higher damping values. The staff has reviewed Report UCRL-52342 and concluded that the test data included in Tables 13 through 25 of Report UCRL-52342 indicate generally less than 1% added damping due to hydrodynamic effect, which do not support an added [[]] value GEH has used.

Based on the above discussion, the staff concludes that Report UCRL-52342 does not contain adequate test data that support the use of [[]] value in the seismic analysis of FSRs; therefore, GEH's seismic analysis with [[

]] may be unconservative. The staff requests that GEH either provides adequate test data that justify the use of [[]] value or re-perform the FSR seismic analysis with 4 percent damping value as prescribed in Table 1 of RG 1.61.

GEH Response

Per the telephone call between GEH and the NRC on March 10, 2009, GEH agreed to re-perform the fuel storage rack seismic analysis with a 4 percent damping value as prescribed in Table 1 of RG 1.61.

DCD Impact

LTR NEDC-33373P, Revision 2, will be submitted with the new fuel storage rack seismic analysis performed with the 4 percent damping value. There is no DCD impact due to the changes made to the LTR document.

Enclosure 3

MFN 09-267

Affidavit

Larry J. Tucker

May 14, 2009

GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas LLC

AFFIDAVIT

I, Larry J. Tucker, state as follows:

- (1) I am Manager, ESBWR Engineering, GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas LLC ("GEH"), have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The information to be discussed and sought to be withheld is delineated in the letter from Mr. Richard E. Kingston to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, entitled "MFN 09-267 Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application Auxiliary Systems RAI Number 9.1-60 S01", dated May 14, 2009. The information in Enclosure 1, which is entitled "Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application Auxiliary Systems RAI Number 9.1-60 S01", dated May 14, 2009. The information in Enclosure 1, which is entitled "Response to Portion of NRC Request for Additional Information Letter No. 298 Related to ESBWR Design Certification Application Auxiliary Systems RAI Number 9.1-60 S01 Proprietary Version" contains proprietary information, and is identified by [[dotted underline inside double square brackets^[3]]]. Figures and other large objects are identified with double square brackets before and after the object. In each case, the superscript notation ^[3] refers to Paragraph (3) of this affidavit, which provides the basis for the proprietary determination.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is the owner or licensee, GEH relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), and 2.390(a)(4) for "trade secrets" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, <u>Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission</u>, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and <u>Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA</u>, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
 - a. Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by GEH's competitors without license from GEH constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;

MFN 09-267

- b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;
- c. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future GEH customerfunded development plans and programs, resulting in potential products to GEH;
- d. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in paragraphs (4)a. and (4)b. above.

- (5) To address 10 CFR 2.390(b)(4), the information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GEH, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GEH, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties, including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge, or subject to the terms under which it was licensed to GEH. Access to such documents within GEH is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist, or other equivalent authority for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GEH are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2) above is classified as proprietary because it contains computer code analysis inputs and assumptions used by GEH for analyzed transients using the TRACG computer model. Development of these inputs and assumptions and the TRACG computer code was achieved at a significant cost to GEH, and is considered a major GEH asset.
- (9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GEH's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the

availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GEH's comprehensive BWR safety and technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process. In addition, the technology base includes the value derived from providing analyses done with NRC-approved methods.

The research, development, engineering, analytical and NRC review costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GEH.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GEH's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GEH experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to GEH would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GEH of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing and obtaining these very valuable analytical tools.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed on this 14th day of May 2009.

Larry J. Tucker GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas LLC