

NRC FORM 699 (9-2003)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		DATE 05/13/2009
CONVERSATION RECORD				TIME 2:00pm
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU David Sokolsky, et. al.		TELEPHONE NO.		TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> VISIT <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
ORGANIZATION Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E)				
SUBJECT Humboldt Bay (HB) Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) License Amendment 2				
SUMMARY (Continue on Page 2)				
PG&E participants: David Sokolsky, Greg Heggli, Jeff Hodges				
NRC participants: Shana Helton, Rob Temps				
Purpose of Call: Discuss proposed Amendment 2 to Materials License No. SNM-2514				
Discussion: PG&E staff stated that the amendment is requested in order to relocate the HB quality assurance (QA) plan from the Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) license to the HB Part 50 license. Originally, the HB QA plan was linked to the DCPP QA plan to take advantage of the change authority in 10 CFR 50.54(a); PG&E originally did not want to use the HB Part 50 QA plan because it does not contain the 18 criteria necessary to satisfy Part 72 requirements. Now that the HB ISFSI is fully loaded and moving forward to decommissioning, PG&E would like to transfer their QA plan over to the HB Part 50 license. When decommissioning is complete, PG&E will submit an application for approval of a Part 72-only QA plan for the Humboldt Bay ISFSI.				
PG&E then 'walked through' proposed Amendment 2 to Materials License No. SNM-2514, which seeks a change to license condition 14. The Part 50 QA program will be revised to have separate attachments for HB Unit 3 and the ISFSI, as Unit 3 still is not an 18-criteria QA program, but the ISFSI QA program will look very similar to the 18-criteria QA program in place at DCPP. PG&E does not anticipate that a Part 50 amendment will be necessary, as the necessary changes to the HB and DCPP Part 50 licenses may be made using 50.54(a).				
PG&E requested a 6-month review time. NRC staff did not make any commitments to meet this requested schedule.				
PG&E committed to supplementing the application to submit the missing pages from Enclosure 5 of the amendment request.				
[NO CONTINUATION ON PAGE 2]				
Continue on Page 2				
ACTION REQUIRED PG&E to submit the missing pages from Enclosure 5.				
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION Shana Helton		SIGNATURE 		DATE 05/14/2009
ACTION TAKEN None.				
TITLE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		SIGNATURE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		DATE