APPENDIX 3G CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TESTS

The information contained in this appendix was not revised, but has been extracted from the original FSAR and is provided for historical information.

•

٠

,

APPENDIX 3G

.

CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TESTS

.

.

Amendment 52 December 1983

1

FINAL REPORT

CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TESTS

Ьу

Edwin G. Burdette

February 5, 1981

Tests Performed for United Engineers and Constructors 30 South 17<u>th</u>.Street Post Office Box 8223 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101

Testing Facilities: Department of Civil Engineering The University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916

Edwin

Consultant

Containment Liner Anchor Load Tests by

''

Edwin G. Burdette

. 1. INTRODUCTION

The containment structure for the Public Service Company of New Hampshire's Seabrook Nuclear Power Station consists of a right vertical cylinder, a hemispherical dome, and a thick, flat base. In order to meet leaktightness requirements for the containment acting as a pressure vessel, the entire inside surface of the concrete is covered with a steel liner. This liner is anchored to the concrete by embedded structural tees, angles, or studs which are welded to the steel liner plate. The containment is designed to resist the high temperature and pressure associated with the most severe break in a reactor coolant pipe. Under this postulated loading condition, the liner anchors must be adequate to maintain the structural integrity of the liner-liner anchor system. In order to evaluate, analytically, the adequacy of the liner anchors to perform their required function, experimental loaddeflection data for individual anchors are needed for shear loads and displacements along the surface of the containment wall.

The results of load tests on liner anchors have been reported in References 2, 3 and 4. Of particular interest relative to the tests reported herein are the results reported in Reference 2 of tests performed at the University of Tennessee. These test results provide considerable information on loaddeflection behavior of angles and a smaller amount of data on structural tees, both angles and tees being attached to 1/4 inch thick liner plates. The tests reported herein utilized the same test equipment and essentially the same test

procedure as those tests in Reference 2 and were designed to provide experimental data directly applicable to the containment liner at Seabrook.

1.1 Objective

The objective of the tests aeported here is to obtain the shear loaddisplacement relationships for a) the Japanese Tee 100x100mm with 1/4 inch fillet welds which was used to anchor the containment liner at Seabrook and b) for 3/4 inch diameter x 12 inch long studs. The boundary support conditions for the liner plate test specimens were designed to represent, as nearly as practicable, those existing in the field; if an accurate simulation of field conditions was not practical, the support conditions were designed to produce conservative results.

1.2 Scope

A total of six shear tests were performed to accomplish the stated objective three tests on the Japanese Tee 100x100mm and three tests on 3/4 inch diameter x 12 inch long studs. Information was obtained in each test to plot the load-deflection curve for the anchor being tested.

1.3 Acknowledgment

The work reported herein was performed as a part of United Engineers and Constructors, Inc., Purchase Order No. H.O. 56971, Change Order No. 1. The facilities of the Department of Civil Engineering at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, were used to perform the tests. A number of Civil Engineering students participated in the performance of the tests, with special commendation due to Steve Stethen, graduate student in charge, and to James Haley.

2. TEST SPECIMENS

All of the test specimens were prepared on the Seabrook plant site using procedures and materials approved for construction of the containment structure. A complete description of the test specimens with appropriate drawings is contained in Reference 1, and a sketch showing the dimensions of the test specimens is shown in Figure 1 herein. The concrete blocks in which both the tees and the studs were embedded were $3'-4" \ge 3'-0" \ge 2'-3"$ high with the liner attached to the $3'-4" \ge 3'-0"$ top face. The embedded tees were 12 inches long, and the two studs were spaced 12 inches apart. The welds for the tees were 1/4 inch continuous fillets on both sides of the stem. The embedded anchors were located 20 inches from the loaded front face of the test block, a distance equal to the horizontal spacing of the structural tee anchors. The length of the liner plate beyond the front edge of the concrete test block was determined by the dimensions of the test rig. After the specimens were cast, they were shipped to the University of Tennessee via flat-bed truck for testing.

At the time of casting, concrete cylinders representative of the concrete in each specimen were cast and stored at the Seabrook site. On the day a particular specimen was tested at the University, three corresponding cylinders were tested at Seabrook to obtain the compressive strength of the concrete.

Four specimens were cast with embedded tees and four with embedded studs. The test plan called for the testing of three specimens of each type. The fourth specimen of each type was cast as a safety measure; if one specimen

was damaged in shipment or if the results of the first three tests suggested a revised testing procedure, the extra specimen would then be tested. It turned out that there was no reason to test the extra specimens; thus, three tee and three stud specimens were tested.

3. METHOD OF TESTING

3.1 Test Apparatus

The concrete block with the liner plate anchored to its top face was restrained by bearing against an abutment beam. The liner plate was fastened to a moveable head beam which was driven by two, 200kip capacity hydraulic rams. The driving of this head beam produced tension in the liner plate and, in turn, a shear load in the anchor. A hydrocal cap was placed between the leading top edge of the concrete block and the top 3 inches of the abutment beam. Calibration curves for the two load cells are included in Appendix C.

The test instrumentation consisted of the following key elements: 1. An LVDT was attached to the liner plate in the vicinity of the anchor. In the first test the LVDT was located behind the anchor - that is, on the side away from the applied load - but the rotation of the anchor and the resulting uplift of the plate behind the anchor caused some inaccuracies in LVDT readings at deflections beyond peak load (see Plates Bl and B2). Thus, for all later tests the LVDT was attached to the liner plate several inches in front of the anchor where there was no vertical movement of the liner plate (see Plate B8). 2. A Gilmore console was used to control the closed loop testing system. A voltage input at the console causes the pump to drive the hydraulic ram until a voltage output from the LVDT sends a feedback signal that precisely matches

the voltage input signal, at which point the system is in equilibrium. 3. Load cells are attached to the head beam which pulls the plate in such a way that the rams act directly against the cells. The signal from the load cells is transmitted to a digital strain indicator which is calibrated to read the load directly in kips.

4. An XY plotter is keyed into the system in such a way that it receives signals from both the LVDT and the load cells. These two signals cause the XY plotter to produce a continuous plot of load versus deflection while a test is in progress.

3.2 Test Procedure

The tests proceeded as follows:

1. A small input voltage, corresponding to a small deflection, was "dialed in" at the console. The pumps then drove the rams until sufficient movement of the anchor resulted in an output voltage from the LVDT which matched the input voltage. The load required to produce that deflection was read and recorded, and the XY plotter made a continuous record of load and deflection up to that point. 2. The procedure just described was repeated for increments of deflection small enough to obtain an accurate plot of the measured data. Neasurement of load and deflection continued until the full 0.5 inch travel of the LVDT was reached or failure of the anchor occurred. For those tests where failure had not occurred at the limit of travel of the LVDT, the LVDT was disconnected from the specimen, and the test was continued to failure to observe the mode of failure of the embedded anchors. A dial gage was attached to the specimen to provide a check on the deflections measured by the LVDT.

3.3 General Comments

Two aspects of the testing procedure merit special comment: 1. The load was applied to the anchors in the tests through a pull on the plate rather than a push on the plate as used in the tests in Reference 4. This type of load application obviated the need for any bending stiffeners on the liner plate, permitting a realistic representation of the rotation of the liner plate at the anchor. However, the fact that the unloaded end of the liner plate was unrestrained permitted it to lift off the test block as a result of the anchor rotation. In an actual liner-liner anchor system, this lift-off would be restrained by another embedded tee or row of studs, restraint that would add to the stability of the system. This effect is particularly important in the tee tests. Therefore, the method of testing these specimens was such that the load-deflection curve obtained for an anchor would be a conservative representation of the actual load-deflection relationship for an anchor in an actual field installation.

2. The tests were controlled by deflection rather than by load. The input voltage corresponded to a deflection and the rams acted to produce this deflection; the load required to produce this deflection was then read from the multimeter. This method of controlling the tests permitted the definition of the descending portion of the load-deflection curve for an anchor.

4. TEST RESULTS

The test results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, and load-deflection curves are shown in Figures 2 and 3. Original data, including XY plots, are included in Appendix C. Selected photographs are presented in Appendix B to

illustrate the testing operations and the mode of failure of the anchors.

4.1 Discussion of Results

The irregularities present in the load-deflection curves shown in the XY plots in Appendix C are due, for the most part, to relaxation of the concrete causing a reduction in load under a constant deflection. When the test was stopped to take readings or, for that matter, when the person dialing in the voltage hesitated a bit, the system responded by maintinaing constant de-flection; and the load required to maintain this deflection immediately de-creased.

The load-deflection curves for the tees, shown in Figure 2, drop off sharply immediately after peak load is reached. At peak load the rotation of the tee in the concrete produces a crack on the back side of the flange of the tee. The local instability of the anchor results in a sharply reduced load-carrying capacity; in fact, the only load-carrying capacity remaining is that required to fail the concrete wedge directly in front of the embedded tee.

The drop-off in load beyond the peak was so sudden that, for T-1 and T-3, the testing equipment was incapable of tracking it accurately. The sudden load instability of the concrete around the tee would permit the anchor to move too far forward, "overshooting" the dialed in voltage. The rams would then try to rectify the situation by retracting; however, the rams were not connected to the head beam, so their retraction allowed the load to go to zero. This situation is illustreted by the load-deflection curves obtained from the XY plotter and included in Appendix C. This loss of load presented no particular problem; a new, higher voltage was dialed in, the test was continued, and

a continuation of the load-deflection curve was obtained. In an actual containment liner-liner anchor system, the restraint provided by an adjacent anchor would almost certainly reduce the sharpness of the drop-off of the load-deflection curve and enhance the ductility of the tee anchors.

The distinctly different shapes of the load-deflection curves for the tees and for the studs reflect the different modes of failure for the two anchor systems. The fillet welds joining the tee's to the liner plate were of sufficient strength to prevent a failure of the steel embedment; thus, the shear strength of the anchor was limited by concrete tension acting to resist the rotation of the tee produced by the applied shear. Ductility of the embedded tees resulted from the development of a secondary mode of failure, namely, the diagonal tension failure of the wedge of concrete directly in front of the tee. Conversely, the limiting strength element in a stud test was the shear strength of the studs. In each case the studs sheared just below the weld which attached them to the liner plate. The resulting load-deflection relationship resembles the stress-strain curve for steel, with a corresponding high degree of ductility. Interestingly, the maximum shear stress in the studs fot an average of the three tests was 60 ksi.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The load-deflection curves shown in Figures 2 and 3 represent, in the opinion of this writer, a reasonable description of the shear load-deflection behavior of the anchors tested. Because of the absence of any hold-down restraint on the free ends of the liner plates in the tests, the descending portions of the curves for the tees should be somewhat higher. Thus, the curves in

Figure 2 may be thought of as reasonable but somewhat conservative representations of the behavior of actual embedded tee anchors.

3.

ł

REFERENCES

1. Galunic, Branko, "Procedure for Containment Liner Anchor Load Test", United Engineers and Constructors, Inc., Philadelphia, PA 19101, Revised August 25, 1980 (attached to Purchase Order No. H.O. 56971, Change Order No. 1).

2. Burdette, Edwin G. and Rogers, Larry W., "Liner Anchorage Tests", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 101, No. ST7, Proc. Paper 11432, July 1975, pp 1455-1468.

3. Lee, T. and Gurbuz, O., "Assessment of Behavior and Designing Steel Liners for Concrete Reactor Vessels", Final Report, Engineering Research Institute, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, Nov. 1973 (prepared for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Under Contract No. AT(11-1)-2267).

4. "Liner Plate Anchorage Tests", Bechtel Corporation, San Francisco, California, for Arkansas Nuclear One, Arkansas Power and Light Co., April 18, 1969.

Amendment 52 December 1983

APPENDIX A

TABLES AND FIGURES

)

1

m-11-	1
ladie	1
The second se	-

Test	Data	for	Tee	Specimens
------	------	-----	-----	-----------

Specimen	Conci Age (Days)	f'c (psi)	Peak Load (kips)	Peak Load (k/in)	Defl. Peak Load (ins.)	Load at ∆ = 0.25 in. (kips)
T-1	20	5,710	152	12.67	0.070	36
T-2	24	5,770	156	13.0	.0.070	34
т-з	28	5,950	144	12.0	0.060	32
Avg.		5,810	150.7	12.6	0.067	34

.



.

Specimen .	<u>Conci</u> Age (Days)	f'c (psi)	Peak Load (kips) ·	Peak Load (k/stud)	Defl. at Peak Load (Ins.)	Load at $\Delta = 0.25$ in. (kips)
S-1	42	6,100	51.5	25.8	0.390	48
S-2	56	6,060	54.8	27.4	0.620	46 ·
S-3	67	6,500	52.5	26.3	0.395	49
Avg.		6,220	52.9	26.5	0.468	47.7

.











3G-17

Amendment 52 December 1983

Amendment 52 December 1983

1

1

;

APPENDIX B

PHOTOGRAPHS



Plate B1: Specimen T-1. Test Assembly at Start of Test



Plate B2: Specimen T-1. Plate Deformation During Final Stages of Testing

Amendment 52 December 1983

1



Plate B3: Specimen T-1. Concrete Surface After Removal of Liner Plate



Plate B4: Specimen T-1. Liner Anchor (Tee) After Test



Plate B5: Specimen T-2. Liner Deformation at End of Test



Plate B6: Specimen T-2. Top of Concrete at End of Test

j



Plate B7: Specimen T-2. Liner and Tee at End of Test



Plate B8: Specimen T-3. Instrumentation at Start of Test

•



Plate B9: Specimen T-3. Concrete Surface After Removal of Liner Plate



Plate B10: Specimen T-3. Liner and Tee After Removal of Liner Plate



Plate B11: Specimen S-1. Start-up of Test



Plate B12: Specimen S-1. Studs in Concrete After Shear Failure



Plate B13: Specimen S-1. Detail of Sheared Stud in Plate



Plate B14: Specimen S-1. Detail of Sheared Stud in Concrete



Plate B19: Specimen S-3. Sheared Studs in Concrete After Test



Plate B20: Specimen S-3. Sheared Stud in Plate After Test

Amendment 52 December 1983

APPENDIX C

L

.

LOAD CELL CALIBRATION AND ORIGINAL DATA



1

)

UNITED. ENGINEERS CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST

TEST SPECIA	EN: SEARKO	$\rightarrow \tau 1$	DATE: 10-1	6-80	2 OF.Z
f'c:		KIPS	COPLEY R	STETHEN, HALEY	-
DIAL # I LO	CATION: ou	LIVER	- GALUNIC, E	LEUSETSKIE	-
DEFLECTION	CATTON				-
OF BLANK	FACE OF P	<u>SECULA</u>	<u></u>		-
VISHAY-ELLIS	CALIBRATION #				-
MULTI-METER	LVDT	LOAD	DIAL#I	DIAL#2	7
READING (VOLTS)	DEFL (IN)	(KIPS)	(IN) x10 ³	(IN) x10 ³	
			<u> </u>		4
3.75	0.183	35.2	ZD4	32	-
4.00	0.200	35.4	· 222	32	
4.25	0.213	35.8	237	<u>3</u> 2	
4.50	0.725	35.1	Z48	33	
4.75	0.238	35.0	263	33	7
5.00	0.250	35.4	275	33	7
5.25	0.763	34.Z	Z89	33	7
SHUT DOW	0.137	D DISCONNE 0.00	IST LVOT & DI	33	
		z8.4		33	1
		· ·			
					- ·
					1
					1
		•	;		1
					1
					1
					-
					-
					1.
					1

0.0 382	SB1&2 SB1&2	Amendment 52 December 1983
00 392 00 393 00 397 . 00 400	· · · · ·	
00 398 00 401 00 386		00 28,4
00 406	•	DISCONNECT LVDT
00 403		AT HOSIZONAL DEFL. OF
00 385		0.263"
00 375 00 345		
1.60		00 755
an 250		06 352
00 213		00 354
00 172		06 357
1.30 00 111		00 355
2		00 356
RESET LVOT AT		00 359
HDELEDHAL DEFL. OF 0,10"		
00 450		
00 490		00 360
.00 752		00 360
00 886		00 353
00 1313		00 355
00 1415		00 358
06 2149,73		00 341
00 1495		00 341
00 1477		00 326
00 1354		
		00 235
00 1325		00 262
00 1296		00 243
00 1253		00 230
00 1165		00 2 6
	•	00 190
00 1071		00 181
00 985		00 167
		00 154
BEAIN TEST 00 - 000		
CHANNEL LOND (KIPS)		1.90 OC 04,9
TEXT 80-71		RESET LVDT AT
		HORIZONAL DELL OF ALL ?"
Deaendok T1	20.00	
	3G-33	

Amendment 52 December 1983

i.

1



UNITED ENGINEERS CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST

TEST PEAK	SPEC	IMEN:	SEA	2700	KTPS
f'c:		•	PSI		
DIAL	# I]	LOCAT	ION:	54161	TLY
ABO	VE LY	DTP	EDBE	TO	
VERIS	Y HO	KIZON	AL DI	EFLEC	TION
DIAL	# 21	LOCAT.	ION:_	7" FP	DH
BACK	FACE	MOU	JTED	ONS	DE
FACE	TOU	UDICA	E R	TAT	ON
VISHAY	-ELLIS	S CALI	BRATIO	N #:	790

OF DATE: 10-20-80 WITNESSES: CTETHEN HALTV HAVES, COPLEY BIRDET NUD HOLDSONK

Test 80-26

	and the second se	and the second se		and the second se
MULTI-METER READING (VOLTS)	LVDT DEFL (IN)	LOAD (KIPS)	DIAL#I (IN) x10 [™]	DIAL#2 LIN) x10 ≅
00.00	0.000	0.0	0	0
0.25	0.013	7.48	13	3
0.50	0.025	118.0	ZLo	9
0.75	0.038	137.1	39	13
1.00	0.050	148.0	52	19
1.Z5	0.063	153.7	66	. 25
1.50	0.075	62.9	80	5
1.75	0.088	39.9	97 .	5
Z.00	0.100	37.7	113	4
Z.50	0.1Z5	36.9	145	4
3.00	0.150	34.8	175	4
3.50	0.175	34.1	Z03	4
4.00	0.200	34.3	Z31	Å
4.50	0.ZZ5	34.1	259	4
5.00	0.250	34.0	.288	4.
6.00	0.300	Z8.Z	з44	4
7.00	0.350	18.9	403	. 4
8.00	0.400	20.2	458	4
9.00	0.450	Z0.6	.515	4
9.96	0.498	19.7	569	4
6.18	0.309	00	=/9	e-1

COMENTS:

PUMP SHUT DOWN TO DISCONNELT LUDT AND DIAL #

			SE	5 1 & 2 FSAR		Amendment 52 December 1983
	00	341	0.50	0.0	202	
	0.0	345		0.0	212	•
	00	340.		00	212	
	00	337		00	213	
	00	545		00	204	
0.20	00	545		00	210	
	00	224		00	209	
	00	545		00	211	
	00	545		00	210	
	00	348	1	00	213	
	00	341	0.45	0.0	206	
	00	352		00	209	
	00	350		00	211	
	00	349		00	218	×
	00	351		ЭC	209	
0.15	00	348		00	214	
	00	359		00	203	
	00	360		00	210	
	00	358		00	209	
	00	355		0.0	210	
	00	369	0.40	C C	202	
	00	365		CO	206	
	00	369		00	203	
	00	375		00	202	
	00	352		00	199	
0.10	00	378		00	199.	
	00	378		00	196	
	00	398		00	195	
	00	435		ΰO	192	
	00	517		00	189	END TEST
	00	629	0.35	00	189	ne 033
	00	1529	et	00	187	006 006
	00	1520		00	188	00 194
	00	2154,2		00	.186	00 217
	0 C	1511		00	191	INTERMITTENT 00 191
0.05	00	1475		0.0	210	LOKO READINGED (: 131
	ΰŨ	1443		00	213	00 170
	0.0	1377		0.0	247	00 161
	00	1339		0.0	263	00 155
	ЭC	1252		50	277	0.0 119
	0.0	1180	0.30	. UC	282	23 053
	ن 0	1050	•	00	258	~
	00	896	,	00	296	
	00	683	• •	00	296	
	00	376		C O .	297	DISCONNECT LUDTE
0.00	00	00,0		0.0	302	DIAL #1
DEML.	ハまくこうに	(KIPS)		0 C	301	
	80-71-			00	304	•
251				00	315	
SENT	prook TZ			00	337	· .
PEN	LOAD ON	PLOT= 1561.	0.Z5	00	340	
		1000 - 104.2X		0.0	344	
10-21			۰.	0.C	347	
			3	C-36		







SB 1 & 2 Amendment 52 FSAR December 1983 UNITED ENGINEERS CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST

TEST SPECIMEN: SEABROOK T3
PEAK LOAD: 144 KIPS
f'c: PSI
DIAL # I LOCATION: 1.5 IN.
ABOVE LYDT PROBE TO VERIEN
HORIZONAL DEFL 14" FROM T
DIAL # 2 LOCATION: 7" FROM
BACK FACE MOUNTED ON SIDE
FACE TO INDICATE ROTATION
VISHAY-ELLIS CALIBRATION #: 290

10-24-80 DATE: WITNESSES: STETHED, HAVEY HAVES, COBLEY, HOLEZONC

DIN # 3 LOCATION : 6 IN BEHIND T TO MEASURE PLATE

. TEST 80-26

MULTI-METER READING (VOLTS)	LVDT DEFL (IN)	LOAD (KIPS)	DIAL#I (IN) x10 ⁻⁵	DIAL#2 \$IN) x10 ⁻³	DIAL#3 (IN) x10 ³
0.00	0.000	0.0	0	0	0
0.25	0.013	76.6	13	0	16
0.50	0.025	106.9	Z.7	1	39
0.75	0.038	128.3	40	Z	69
1.00	0.050	141.3	53	. 3	10Z
1.25	0.063	85.3	65	1	ZADO PEAK ZAD ABRUP BIOG 1.25
1.50	0.075	67.0	BZ	0	Z97
1.75	0.088	35.0	92	0	428
Z.00	0:100	39.7	. 105	0	505
Z.50	0.125	39.4	133	0	629
3.00	0.150	39.0	160	0	745
3.50	0.175	38.6	186	· 0	855
4.00	0.200	38.Z	Z13	0	DISLOWNEC
5.00	0,250	31.6	Z68	2	
6.00	0.300	3Z.1	322	2	
7.00	0.350	Z6.0	375	0	
8.00	0.400	Z5.8	4z9	0	
9.00	0.450	25.5	48z	0	
9:96	0.500	22.8	534	0	
6.37	0.319	0.0	365	<u> </u>	

CONNENTS:

OF 1

1

			SB	1 & 2		Ame	ndment	52
			F	SAR	())	Dece	mber 1	983
	00	354 -	0.50	00	250 2			
•	0.0	357		-0.0	. 252	65.5		
k.	00	365		00	252			
A.	00	375		00	252			
DISCONNECT	00	381		00	253			
0.20	00	382		00	252			
	00	385		00	255			
	00	387		0.0	256			
	00	387		0.0	254			
	00	388	045	0.0	255			
	00	386		00	258			
	00	386		00	261			
	00	389		00	262			
	00	391		00	262			
	00	387		00	262			
0.15	00	390		00	256			
	00	394			258			
	00	396		00	257			
	00	397		00	260			
	00	396	- 10	00	258			
	00	394 -	0.40	00	263	END TEST		
	0'0	392		00	265		00	000
λ.	00	387		00	269		00	007
	00	391		00	205		00	007
	00	397		00	272		00	040
0.101	00	392		00	272		00	. 016
0.10	00	397		00	271		00	010
	00	389		00	210		00	128
	00	370		00	200		00	120
	00	326		00	212		00	1/2
	00	257	0.35	00	200		00	176
	00	090	· .	00	202		00	120
	00	751		00	202		00	1 2 1
	00	822			202		00	161
	00	1404		00	270	INTERMITTENT	00	170
	0.0	5143,83		00	270	LOAD	00	1 1 5 0
0.05	00	1413		-90	213	KEADINGS	00	170
	00	1372		00.	202		00	120
	ΰO	130		00.	200		00	114
	00	1257		00	290		00	014
	00	1177	0.30	00	771		00	249
•	00	1069		00	221		00	.252
	00	973	,	00	222		00	238
	00	839		00))) 770		00	241
	00	692		00	775		00	235
	00	460		00	775		00	194
0.00	00	- 000		00))0 777		00	140
DEPL, CHA	MUTL	LOND		00	227		00	100
(INCH)		(KIPS)		00	222		00	065
TEST 80	-26		0.35	00	220		00	042
SEABROOM	< - T E	3,	0.25	00	201		00	023
PEAK LOND	ON P	143K		00	221		00	009
PEAK LOAD	ON T	APE = 143.8		00	224	DISCON	NECT	LVMT
			3G	-39				

• •



Amendment 52

SB 1 & 2 FSAR

December 1983

UNITED ENGINEERS CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST

TEST SPECIMEN: STUDS 1 PEAK LOAD: SI KIPS f'c: PSI DIAL # I LOCATION: 1.5 IN ABOVE UDT PROBE TO VERIFY HORIZOWAL DEFL. 14" FROM STUDS DIAL # 2 LOCATION: 7" FROM BACK FACE MOUNTED ON SIDE FACE TO UDICATE ROTATION

VISHAY-ELLIS CALIBRATION #: 290

DATE: 11-7-80 10F1 WITNESSES: STETHEN, HOLESONK, CANNON, BURDETTE, FUNK, PERKY

DIALTS LOCATION: 51N BEHIND STUDS TO MEAGURE PLATE

TEST 80-26

DIAL#2 DIAL#3 LVDT LOAD DIAL#I MULTI-METER [IN) READING DEFL (KIPS) (IN) (IN) (VOLTS) x103 x103 (IN)x103 . 0.0 0 0.00 0 0.000 8 15.5 1Z 0.013 0.25 <u>z</u>4 • 18 0.025 28.7 0.50 36.3 37 28 0.038 0.75 39:4 50 36 0.050 1.00 41.Z 43 1.25 0.063 63 4z.4 49 . 0.075 76 1.50 43.0 0.083 90 53 1.75 43.7 0.100 104 58 Z.00 44.0 0.125 129 65 2.50 44.9 0.150 3.00 155 7Z 4.00 46.3 84 0.200 Z07 46.9 9z 5.00 0.250 258 49.1 0.300 6.00 310 100 0.350 7.00 50.0 362 103 PAILURE OF 1 STUD ONLY 0.400 8.00 0.0 (RIGHT STUD) 9.00 0.450 Z6.Z 9.95 z4.0 0.500 9.59 0.480 0.0 "Z" MAXIMUM TRAVEL REACHED, LOAD REMAINING STUD TO FALLURE ISEE DOT

COMMENTS:

					SB 1 FSA	& 2 R			Amendmen December	t 52 1983
		0.0	465			0.0	262			
		00	469			0.0	264			
		00	469		045	00	260			
		0.0	468			00	262	-		
	0.20	00	463			00	260			
		00	465			.00	256			
		00	467			00	255			
		00	460			00	251			
		00	460			00	230			
		00	456			00	193			
		00	446			00	161			
		00	451			00	108			
		00	449		0.40	00	052			
		00	453							
	0.15	00	449							
		00	453							
		00	447		••••					
		00	447		2 14.1					
		00	440				ADING			
		00	440		202	00	486			
		00	442			00	504	ł		
		00	400			00	504	ç		
		00	434			ត ត ត	506			
	0.10	00	437			00	503			
-		00	435	· ·		90	5093		MAXIMUM TRA	VEL OFF
		00	428			00	507		1/z"	
		00	430			00	502			240
		00	429		0.55	00	500		00	240
	•	00	424			00	504		00	262
		00	420			00.	500		00	262
		0.0	416			00	503		00	259
		00	408			00	498		00	266
		00	400			00	496	·	0.0	263
	0.05	20	388			00	493		•••	
		50	383			00	492			
		00	205			00	487			
		00	315			00	494			
		00	287)-	0.50	00	491			
		0.0	196			00	402			
		00	161			00	489			
		00	143			00	485			
		00	101		•	00	484			
	0.0	00	000			0 O	485			
	Con	CHANLEL	LOND			00	483			
	(10)		(KIPS)			00	485			
	TEST	80-Z	.6			00.	483			
	5705	5 1			0.25	00	469			
			DT = 51 51			00	477			
	V. Low		E - 50.9K			00	415			
- "					20 /	50	414			
					3G-4	2				

)

SB1&2 FSAR



1 of 1

UNITED ENGINEERS CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST

TEST SPECIMEN: <u>Studs</u> Z PEAK LOAD: <u>54.8</u> KIPS f'c: PSI DIAL # I LOCATION: <u>/510</u> ABOVE LVDT PROBE TO VERIFY HORIBORIAL DETL. <u>1410 FROM STUDS</u> DIAL # 2 LOCATION: <u>710 FROM</u> BACK FACE MOUNTED ON STOP FACE TO INSUGATE ROTATION

DATE: 11-21-80 WITNESSES: STETHEN, BUEDET P. HAVES, T. HAVES, FUNK, PERRY, COREY DIAL #3 LOCATION: 31N BENIND DTUDS TO MENSURE PLATE UDLIFT

VISHAY-ELLIS CALIBRATION #: 290

TEST 80-Z6

MULTI-METER READING (VOLTS)	LVDT DEFL (IN)	LOAD (KIPS)	DIAL#I (IN) x103	DIAL#2 [IN) x10 ⋽	DIAL#3 (IN) x10 ³
0.00	0.000	0.0	0	0	. 0
0.Z5	0.013	z4.z	12	0	9
0.50	0.025	29.8	z4	0	17
0.75	0.038	34.6	37	. 0	25
1.00	0.050	37.9	50	0	<i>3</i> 2
1.25	0.063	38.3	64	0	39
2.00	0.100	41.6	103	0	5Z
3.00	0.150	42.8	155	0	65
4.00	0.200	44.0	208	0	77
5.00	0.250	44.2	260	0	83
6.00	0.300	46.4	31Z	0	<i>8</i> 8
7.00	0.350	47.6	364	0	9 <u>7</u>
8.00	0.100	49.7	416	. 0	95
9.00	0.450	49.7	468	0	96
9.96	0.500	48.8	518	0	99 ·
. 9.14	0.457	0.0			
RESET L	TON TONT	NUE TEST	SEE PLOT)		
				·	• •

30-44

		5 C 7
	00	507
	00 -	. 507
	00	508
	00	502
	00	505
10	00	502
0.45	00	497
	00	403
	00	499
	00	490
	00	495
	00	494
	00	491
	00	490
	00	494
- 1-	00	492
0.40	00	401
	00	490
	00	485
	00	405
	00	481
	00	401
	00	481
	00	480
	00	· 420
0.35	00	476
	<u></u>	. 477
	00	174
	00	471
	00	470
	00	473
	00	467
	00	467
	00	454
	00	457
0.30	00	464
	00	459
	00	463
	00	461
	00	450
	00	456
	00	459
	00	454
	00	458
	00	453
0.25	0.0	43
	00	450
	00	452
	00	451
	00	450
	0.0	6 A R

	Dec	ember 1983
	00	446
	00	449
	00	433
	00	446
0.20	00	440
	00	441
	00	435
	00	442
	00	438
	00	4 3 5
	00	439
	00	4 2 8
	00	4 2 4
0.15	00	428
	00	434
	00	429
	00	431
	00	428
	00	425
	00	426
	00	425
	00	419
	00	417
0.10	00	416
	00	415
	00	412
	00	.407
	0.0	401
	0.0	401
	00	397
	00	386
	00	383
0.05	00	379
	00	373
	00	359
	00	347
	00	323
	00	298
	00	301
	00	286
	00	240
0.00	00 -	000
DEFL	CHANNEL	LOND
(11)		(KIP)
Tree	80-71-	
1001		la
PEAL LONE	ON PLOT	= -4.8K

Amendment 52

11-21-80

3G-45

SB 1 & 2

FSAR

00		3 33
00	-	00,1

ONE	STUD	FAILED	` `
DISCONNE		STTCR \$	TAKE
RANDOM	LOAD	READIN	×15
	AL 5.5	2017	

0.68	00	468
	00	496
	00	507
	00	511
	00	520
	00	521
0.65	0.0	528
	00	519
	00	531
	00	529
	00	538
•	00	221
	00	2242
	00	222
	00.	540
040	00	570
	00	534
	00	540
	00	535
	00	535
	0.0	533
	00	536
	00	531
	00	535
	00	526
0.55	0.0	515
	00	512
	00	524
	00	519
	00	519
	00	518
	00	518
	00	• 514
	00	502
050	00	500
0.50	00	424
RESET	LVDT	E CONTINUE
LONDING		
0.50	00	488
	00	509
	00	514
	00	512

Amendmen	t	52
December	19	83

1

(

END TEST	
0.0	002
.00 -	002
. 00	280
00	275
00	284
00	287
00	288
00	283
00	286
00	286
00	287
. 00	286
00	282
00	282
00	279
00	279
	213
00	210
00	268
00	239
00	204
00	164
00	116
00	070

SELOND STUD FAILED,

Amendment 52 December 1983

 -	
2	•

5 0

							1	1		
				25-1						Ξ'
				82						ヨ
				9.5	n 2 3-+			1		
				A						Ξ
										-
			: F -1	· F:3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
										Ξ
										Ξ
					<u></u>					-
										Ξ
<u> </u>		4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				••• •••• ••• ••• •••		=
										=
				E						-li
		-								-1!
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					-1
										7
			3.							-
										-
		1			E	<u></u>				+`
										7
			1		E					1.
	1	1			E					-[`
					E			-11		-
					5					1
			+	1	5 . 1					-
					5				111	T
					E					+
					-2					lu
· ·			1		-					- 1
		-	· ·						÷.;	
					E					1
		1: =]	32	E					<u>.</u>	1
								j I		10
			L.VE ::	1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
										1
			- 5							1v
			1. 1.1						01	03
			5===				= = =		Y	[
					-					1
	1									0
										10
		-0 N.	0: 5							
									Ē:	1
		二月15	N 31							l.,
										12
					- F					
					3					
					······································					2
										G
										
										5
						+				0.0
					(5)000					
										_
6	2 Q	R	9	6	\$	8	8	. 0		U

3G-47

1

UNITED ENGINEERS CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST

TEST SPECIMEN: STUDE 3 PEAK LOAD: 52.5 KIPS
f'c: PSI
DIAL # I LOCATION: 1.5 IN ABOVE
LVDT PROSE TO INDIATE HOMEOUNL
DEFLECTION OF PLATE
DIAL # 2 LOCATION: 711 FROM
THE BACK FACE OF PLOCK TO
INDIATE POTATION

VISHAY-ELLIS CALIBRATION #: 290

OF DATE: 12-2-80 WITNESSES: STETHEN, HALEY, COREY, BURDETTE, T. HAVES GAUNIC DIAL #3 LOCATION: 3211 REHIND STUDS TO MEAGURE PLATE

Test 80-26

UPLIFT

MULTI-METER READING (VOLTS)	LVDT DEFL (IN)	LOAD. (KIPS)	DIAL#I (IN) x10 ³	DIAL#2 [IN) x10 ^{−3}	DIAL#3 (IN) x103
0.00	0.000	0.0	0	0	0
0.Z5	0.013	/5.7	/Z	0	5
0.50	0.025	Z9.9	z4	0	17
0.75	0.035	37.9	36	0	Z7
1.00	0.050	39.7	50	0	38
1.z5	0.063	40.6	62	0	46
1.50	0.075	4z.7	76	0	5z
Z.00	0.100	43.8	10Z	0	61
3.00	0.150	45.9	154	0	77
4.00	0.200	47.1	Z07	0	93
5.00	0.250	48.4	Z\$8	0	104
6.00	0.300	49.6	311.	0	115
7.00	0.350	50.0	361	0	<i> 2</i> 5
8.00	0,400	50.9	415	. 0	130
9.00	0.450	50.3	466	0	135
out st	D FAILED	RESCT LVDT	, DISCONNECT E	いへし = 1	
6.30	0.550	z4.1		Z	13Z
7.30	0.600	SELOND	STUD FAILCE	5	
* NOTE : E	SOTH STUD	S FAILED O	UTSIDE THE M	CLD REAK	<i>a</i> c

COMMENTS:

			SB 1 & 2			Amendment 52
	• •	504	FJAK		0.0	478
	00	504	•		00	473
	00	515			00	473
	00	505			00	470
	00	511		0.20	00	471
	00	510			00	468
	00	512			00	471
015	00	503			00	468
	00	498			00	468
	00	508.			00	464
	00	507			00	463
	0.0	508			00	462
	00	406			.00	459
	00	506			00	462
	00	506		0.15	0.0	459
	00	510			00	453.
	. 00	512			00	448
0.10	00	509			.00	449
	00	508			00	452
	00	51,67			00	449
	00	515			00	443
	. 00	513	· ·		00	447
	00	513			00	436
	0.0	511			00	444
	00	510		0.10	00	438
	00	505			00	439
	00.	514			00	436
0.25	.00	500			00	435
	00	502			00	432
	00	507			00	427
	00	505			00	427
	00	502			00	418
	00	505	*		00	413
	00	503	1		00	411
	00	500		0.05	00	397
	00	487	,	·	00	390
	00	501			00	380
0.50	00	490	÷		00	307 ·
	00	4 7 1	-		00	224
	00	492			00	299
	00	494		•	00	207
	00	497			00	214
	00	489			00	099
	00	487	5 . .	~ ~	00	000
	00	488				
	00	457	-	(IN)		(KIPS)
0.25	00	484			Q7	10
	00	483		IEST	00-2	
	00	480	PE	ak lond	ON P	LOT = 52.5 K
	00	473	PC	nk lond		172 = D1.6K
	00	4 8 C		STUD	53	
	· U O	476	3G-49	17-7-	RD	

3G-49

;

)

SELOND	STUD	FALCO,
END TE	ST	

0.585	00	214
	00	237
	00	244
	00	245
	00	245
	00	246
	00	245
0.55	00	241
	00	241
	00	243
	00	241
	00	238
	00	219
	00	191
	00	157
	00	109
	00	067
0.50	00	025

ONE STUD FAILED, RESET LVDT, REMOVE DIAL =)

0.495	00	~	000
	00		487

• ;

•

.

		<u></u>	b	
		+++		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			5	
······				
		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		5		
		W		
			·	<u></u>
		<u></u>		
		2		
		···· ··· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		<u><u> </u></u>		
		H		
	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			····	
	2 4.272 (C.1.4.277	1. (1. 1	···· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······
		17 . 16. 🗶 (*. 1)		
	-	€[1]		·····
	11 - 1 L M	tett. r₽ n±[rt i		
	<u>n</u>	E		
	4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		·		
	N			
	0			
	0 0 0			
	1 8 9 9	······································		
	21.4			
		······································		
		E		000
				o
			:	
70 76 VG.	2 9	9 9	0 0	0

Amendment 52 December 1983

}

CONTAINMENT LINER ANCHOR LOAD TEST FABRICATION OF SPECIMENS SEABROOK PROJECT

Date: April 7, 1981

Jahunic Prepared by: Man B. Galumic

Containment Liner Anchor Load Test Fabrication of Specimens Seabrook Project

1. INTRODUCTION

The containment structure at the Seabrook Nuclear Project is a right vertical cylinder having an inside radius of 140 feet and wall thickness of 4'-6", and it has a hemispherical dome which is 3'-6" thick. At the base is a 10 foot thick mat. It is designed to resist the pressure from the most severe break in a reactor coolant pipe. In order to meet the leak tightness requirements of the vessel, a steel liner plate is installed over the inside surface of the concrete. The liner is generally 3/8 inch thick in the cylindrical portion and is thickened to 3/4 inches in the penetration areas near the base. The liner in the dome has a uniform thickness of 1/2 inch and it is 1/4 inch thick on top of the mat. It is anchored to the concrete shell by embedded structural tees and studs welded to the liner plate. Headed studs are only used on the 3/4 inch plates in the penetration area. The tees are used in all other regions.

The purpose of these tests is to define a load-deflection curve for the anchors which can be used in the analysis of the liner/anchor system. The liner strains and anchor displacements must meet the requirements of the ASME Section III Division 2 Code.

MATERIALS

2.1 Tees

The tees are made from SA36 steel. They were rolled in Japan and accordingly have metric dimensions. The tee WT 100x100 corresponds very closely

with the American WT 4x7.5 (3.94 in. vs 4.0 in. flange width).

2.2 Studs

The stud material conforms to ASTM Specification A-108 Grade 1018. The yield stress is approximately 50 ksi and the ultimate strength is approximately 60 ksi.

2.3 Liner Plate

The liner plate is made from SA 516 Grade 60 steel (Specification 9763. 006 15-1). The plate was cut so that the tensile load on the plate during the test is applied in the direction of rolling. The plate thickness used for all tests, including the studs, was 3/8 inch. In the containment structure the studs are welded to a 3/4 inch plate. It is expected that the thinner plate used in the test will permit larger rotations of the anchor in the vicinity of the plate causing larger stresses in the concrete and thereby conservative results.

2.4 Concrete

All concrete was mixed in accordance with PSNH Specification 9763.006 69-7 and 9763-69-3. The design strength was 4000 psi. The concrete mix is the same as used in the containment structure. An air-entraining and retarding admixture was used. The concrete was Atlantic Type II and the coarse aggregate conforms to ASTM C-67.

2.5 Reinforcement

The concrete is reinforced with rebar that conforms to ASTM A615 Grade 60 Specifications.

3. DESIGN OF SPECIMENS

The dimensions of the specimens, the reinforcement and pertinent details are shown in the drawing LT-1. The overall size of the specimens and the length and taper of the liner were based on the dimensional limitations of the testing equipment. The reinforcement served two purposes. It first provided a confinement of the concrete and prevented cracking that could occur during transportation and other handling. Also, additional rebar were placed on the side of the tees away from the load application. The purpose of these bars was to prevent overall cracking of the specimen when the test load is applied. They are intended to eliminate failure modes that might occur due to the physical limitations of the test specimen. Any cracking of the free vertical surfaces would not be representative of what could occur in the actual structure which is continuous. The length of the tee specimens was held to 12 inches, to minimize the effect of the free edge.

These problems are not expected to occur when studs are used because the failure will be localized to a small area. The same overall dimensions were used for simplicity of Fabrication.

4. POURING PROCEDURE

The test block forms were fabricated at the Seabrook site. The liner formed one side of the form as is the case in the containment structure. The tees were oriented vertically during the pouring operation. Before the concrete was poured a thin coating of WD-40 was sprayed on the liner to eliminate bond between the liner and concrete. Concrete was mixed at the Site Batch Plant and brought to the test area by trucks. The concrete was placed into the forms by a pump truck to simulate actual field placement conditions.

Each specimen was fabricated in three lifts. After each lift a vibrator was used to consolidate the concrete and eliminate voids. Two different trucks were used. Twelve cylinders were taken from each truck. In addition, six cylinders were made for each specimen. These were stored on site in a controlled environment curing room. Three were broken on the day of the test of the specimen. At the end of the pour the top of the concrete surface was troweled to a smooth finish. A chemical curing compound was applied to the exposed surface to seal in moisture and thereby replace water curing.

SB 1 & 2

FSAR

5. TRANSPORTATION

The specimens were transported to Knoxville, Tennessee on two flatbed trucks 14 days after fabrication. Five day cylinder breaks indicated a strength of 3550 psi.





FIGURE 1.



STUD DETAIL

Ζ FIGURE





× ...

.



Plate 1: Close-up of tee welded to liner plate



Plate 2: Reinforcing cage and tee

.

Amendment 52 December 1983

ł



Plate 3: Finished specimens at end of pouring operation

APPENDIX 3H (DELETED IN AMENDMENT 53)