UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of)		
)	Docket No.	52-037-COL
UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren	UE)		
)		
(Callaway Power Plant, Unit 2))	ASLBP No.	09-884-07-COL-BD01
)		
(Combined License))		

AmerenUE's Answer Opposing MCE/MSE's Motion for Extension of Time

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.323(c), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board's ("Board")

Initial Prehearing Order¹ and its Memorandum and Order (Supplement to Extension Motion),²

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE ("AmerenUE") hereby answers and opposes Missouri

Coalition for the Environment and Missourians for Safe Energy's ("MCE/MSE" or

"Petitioners") Revised Motion for Extension of Time to File a Reply ("Motion"), filed on May 6,
2009.³ The Motion asks the Board for a seven-day extension of time in which to file Petitioners' reply to AmerenUE's and the NRC Staff's answers to Petitioners' petition to intervene, citing the length of the answers as cause for such extension. The Board should deny the Motion because it is procedurally deficient and fails to show appropriate cause.

¹ AmerenUE (Callaway Plant Unit 2), Memorandum and Order (Initial Prehearing Order) at 7 (Apr. 27. 2009).

² AmerenUE (Callaway Plant Unit 2), Memorandum and Order (Supplement to Extension Motion) (May 5, 2009).

³ AmerenUE notes that MCE/MSE improperly filed their Motion with the Secretary though this proceeding is currently before the Board. The original Motion, filed on May 4, 2009, was also improperly filed with the Secretary.

The Board's Initial Prehearing Order requires that "[a] motion for extension of time must (1) indicate whether the request is opposed or supported by the other participants to the particular proceeding; and (2) demonstrate appropriate cause that supports permitting the extension." Initial Prehearing Order at 7. Petitioners' Motion fails to show appropriate cause.

Although Petitioners state that they are unable to respond to the answers by the May 8, 2009, deadline due to the answers' length, this assertion falls far short of demonstrating appropriate cause to support permitting an extension. The Commission's 1998 Policy Statement on the Conduct of Adjudicatory Proceedings counsels that Boards should only grant extensions of time "when warranted by unavoidable and extreme circumstances." CLI-98-12, 48 N.R.C. 18, 21 (1998). Although it is uncertain whether that standard has been superseded by the 2004 revisions to 10 C.F.R. Part 2,4 Petitioners' Motion fails to satisfy either the standard set forth in the 1998 Policy Statement or the 10 C.F.R. § 2.307(a) "good cause" standard of the 2004 Part 2 revisions. Petitioners assert that the answers "total 220 pages, more than four times the length" of their Petition to Intervene and Request for Hearing. Motion at 1. Including all attachments, however, MCE/MSE's Petition actually totals in excess of 400 pages. Furthermore, Petitioners have two attorneys representing them this proceeding,⁵ with a third attorney on staff at the Great Rivers Environmental Law Center⁶ who may also be available to review and respond to AmerenUE's and the NRC Staff's answers. Especially given the limited scope of a reply, Petitioners have not shown good cause for permitting an extension of time. In this context, AmerenUE would also note that MCE/MSE's Motion states that, in addition to replying to the

_

See Exelon Generation Co., LLC (Early Site Permit for Clinton ESP Site), Order (Granting Extension Request), at 3 n.2 (June 3, 2004) (ADAMS Accession No. ML041550744).

Although only Henry Robertson has filed a Notice of Appearance as required by the Board's Initial Prehearing Order (at 5), both Mr. Robertson and Bruce Morrison appear in the signature block of MCE/MSE's Petition.

⁶ See www.greatriverslaw.org/staff_list.html (last visited May 6, 2009).

contentions, "the suspension of the financial qualifications review also needs to be addressed." Motion at 1. Since the reply cannot exceed the scope of the contentions or the scope of the answers to the contentions, MCE/MSE certainly cannot justify its need for more time based on this out-of-scope allegation.

For the foregoing reasons, AmerenUE respectfully requests that MCE/MSE's Motion should be denied.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Signed (electronically) by Stefanie M. Nelson/

Jay E. Silberg Stefanie M. Nelson PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1128 Tel. (202) 663-8000

May 6, 2009

Counsel for Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of)		
)	Docket No.	52-037-COL
UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Amerer	uE)		
)		
(Callaway Power Plant, Unit 2))	ASLBP No.	09-884-07-COL-BD01
)		
(Combined License))		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 6th day of May 2009, a copy of the foregoing "AmerenUE's Answer Opposing MCE/MSE's Motion for Extension of Time," dated May 6, 2009, was provided to the Electronic Information Exchange for service upon the following persons.

Judge G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Chair Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

E-mail: gpb@nrc.gov

Dr. Jeffrey D.E. Jeffries
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel
Mail Stop - T-3 F23
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
E-mail: jeffrey.jeffries@nrc.gov

Dr. Richard F. Cole Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Mail Stop - T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 E-mail: rfc1@nrc.gov

Office of the Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-16C1 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Hearing Docket

E-mail: secy@nrc.gov; hearingdocket@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop - O-15 D21 Washington, DC 20555-0001 Kathryn Winsberg, Esq. Ann Hodgdon, Esq. Adam Gendelman, Esq. Jessica Bielecki, Esq. Sara Kirkwood, Esq. Joseph Gilman, Paralegal

E-mail: <u>klw@nrc.gov</u>; <u>ann.hodgdon@nrc.gov</u>; adam.gendelman@nrc.gov; jab2@nrc.gov;

jsg1@nrc.gov; seb2@nrc.gov

Noranda Aluminum, Inc. Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, L.C. 428 E. Capitol Avenue, Suite 300 Jefferson City, MO 65101 David Woodsmall, Esq. E-mail: dwoodsmall@fcplaw.com

Noranda Aluminum, Inc. Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP

410 17th Street, Suite 2200 Denver, CO 80202-4432 Hubert A. Farbes, Jr., Esq.

John A. Helfrich

E-mail: hfarbes@bhfs.com; jhelfrich@bhfs.com

Missourians Against Higher Utility Rates Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP 410 17th Street, Suite 2200 Denver, CO 80202-4432 Hubert A. Farbes, Jr., Esq.

E-mail: <u>hfarbes@bhfs.com</u>

Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65101

Kevin A. Thompson, General Counsel Steven Dottheim, Deputy General Counsel

E-mail: kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov
E-mail: kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov

Missouri Coalition for the Environment Great Rivers Environmental Law Center 705 Olive St., Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101-2208 Henry B. Robertson, Esq. E-mail: hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Missouri Office of the Public Counsel P.O. Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Lewis Mills, Director

E-mail: Lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

/Signed (electronically) by Stefanie M. Nelson/ Stefanie M. Nelson PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLE

2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1128

Telephone: (202) 663-9382 Facsimile: (202) 663-8007

E-mail: stefanie.nelson@pillsburylaw.com