Sarah Rich

From:

Calvin.Taylor@exeloncorp.com

Sent:

Tuesday, December 23, 2008 8:15 AM

To:

John Richmond

Subject:

FW: Timing of finding 8" by 8" third layer of coating not applied

-----Original Message-From: Polaski, Frederick W

Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2008 8:12 AM

To: Taylor, Calvin C.; Quintenz, Tom; Kandasamy, Jhansi R.; Hufnagel Jr, John G RE: Timing of finding 8" by 8" third layer of coating not applied

The reinspection of 4 bays with a different Level 2 was in bays 3, 7, 15, 19. The issue in bay 9 was found very late in the outage by Chris Hawkins, the Level 3 when he was doing his final inspections. Chris found the condition only by very close visual observation while shining a flashlight at different angles. The painters could not find the 8x8 area when they entered bay 9 to apply the third coat. The 8x8 area was coated with a third coat to make sure that location had all 3 coats of epoxy.

Fred Polaski License Renewal Manager **Exelon Nuclear** 200 Exelon Way Kennett Square PA 19348 610-765-5935

> ----Original Message----From: Taylor, Calvin C.

Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2008 7:59 AM

To: Polaski, Frederick W; Quintenz, Tom; Kandasamy, Jhansi R.; Hufnagel Jr, John G

FW: Timing of finding 8" by 8" third layer of coating not applied Subject:

Fred, I see that you were the originator on this IR. John is planning on bringing up the timing of finding this up at the exit.

Was the additional inspections of four bays by a different Level II adequate (if he or she missed this condition)?

Cal

----Original Message----From: Taylor, Calvin C.

Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2008 7:30 AM

Tamburro, Peter

Timing of finding 8" by 8" third layer of coating not applied

John Richmond called this morning and was wondering about the timing of finding the 8 by 8 missing coating (IR 844815).

After water was identified in Bay 11 we went back with a different Level II inspector to four additional bays.

How was the issue in Bay 9 found? Was it after we did the four additional inspections?

[Taylor, Calvin C.]

Originator: FREDERICK W POLASKI Supv Contacted: Fred Polaski

Condition Description:

NDE observation of drywell shell coating during inspection of the coating in bay 9 identified an area approximately 8 inches by 8 inches that appears to possibly not have had the final coat applied, or the final coat is very thin. There are also 2 black spots in the coating. These appear to be holes in the first and second coat (if applied), but the primer is intact. There is no indication of rust. The area is located 6 feet to the left of the entrance tunnel, about 8 inches above the floor. The observation of the issue with the final coat was made by a very slight difference in color of the 8 inch by 8 inch area as compared to the rest of the coated surface.

Immediate actions taken:

None

Recommended Actions:

Apply the final (second) coat. Work order R2094623, activity 25 has been previously prepared as a contingency for repairs to the coating. The repair consists only of application of the final coat. Primer and first coat are not needed.

What activities, processes, or procedures were involved? Not known.

Why did the condition happen? Most probably during application of coating in 1992.

What are the consequences? No impact on drywell shell. No observed rust. Primer and applied coats are in good condition.

Were any procedural requirements impacted? None

Were there any adverse physical conditions? No. Drywell shell shows no rust or corrosion.

List of knowledgeable individuals: Fred Polaski Tom Quintenz

Repeat or similar condition?

No. Other issues with drywell shell coating were due to mechanical damage during work in the sand bed region. This issue appears to be from original installation.

Operable Basis:

N/A

Reportable Basis:

N/A

Reviewed by: THOMAS J BUSK 11/13/2008 18:34:29 CST

Reviewer Comments:

None

SOC Reviewed by: RALPH C LARZO 11/14/2008 15:19:23 CST SOC Comments:

DRYWELL AND TORUS (SEE NR01 & TORUS VESSEL)

11/14/08 RCL: Close to contingency WO to R2094623-25.

Calvin C. Taylor Sr. Licensing Engineer 609.971.4031

TEAMOyster Creek

Exelon

Nuclear

Caring About the Environment is the Nature of Our Job

Received: from OWMS01.nrc.gov (148.184.100.43) by R1MS01.nrc.gov (148.184.99.10) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 8.1.291.1; Tue, 23 Dec

2008 08:15:35 -0500

Received: from mail1.nrc.gov (148.184.176.41) by OWMS01.nrc.gov

(148.184.100.43) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.291.1; Tue, 23 Dec 2008

08:15:35 -0500 X-Ironport-ID: mail1

X-SBRS: 4.8

X-MID: 34049899

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

Au4AAK9zUEnGHb8ziGdsb2JhbACCQBcYI49RgQsBAQEBCQsICREES6ovWIUHCYwahRKBMQ

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.36,271,1228107600";

d="scan'208,217";a="34049899"

Received: from webmail.exeloncorp.com (HELO exeloncorp.com) ([198.29.191.51])

by mail1.nrc.gov with ESMTP; 23 Dec 2008 08:15:18 -0500

Received: from ([130.197.106.20]) by cccuniron1.exeloncorp.com with ESMTP id

5402003.119025957; Tue, 23 Dec 2008 07:14:54 -0600

Received: from CCCMSXCH06.energy.power.corp ([130.197.153.205]) by

CCCMSCON01.energy.power.corp with Microsoft SMTPSVC(6.0.3790.3959); Tue, 23

Dec 2008 07:14:54 -0600

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft Exchange V6.5

Content-Class: urn:content-classes:message

MIME-Version: 1.0

Subject: FW: Timing of finding 8" by 8" third layer of coating not applied

Date: Tue, 23 Dec 2008 07:14:54 -0600

Message-ID:

<CEEAA8F52C444947A9FA014B7341D8A101425539@CCCMSXCH06.energy.power.</p>

corp>

X-MS-Has-Attach:

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

Thread-Topic: Timing of finding 8" by 8" third layer of coating not applied

Thread-Index: Aclk+jxZT3ojcrPhTnST39vjwdGJKgAA0gsgAACDFCAAADCAgA==

From: <Calvin.Taylor@exeloncorp.com>

To: <john.richmond@nrc.gov>

Return-Path: Calvin.Taylor@exeloncorp.com

X-OriginalArrivalTime: 23 Dec 2008 13:14:54.0673 (UTC)

FILETIME=[722D0C10:01C96500]
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="---- = NextPart 001 01C96500.71F183FA"