

PMSTPCOL PEmails

From: Neil Ray
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2009 10:52 AM
To: Belkys Sosa; Stacy Joseph; John Nakoski
Cc: Thomas Scarbrough
Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

Based on my re-review of "Review of the Due Diligence Report for Compliance with the 10 CFR 52.73(a) Rule" (our letter dated December 5, 2008) and the current developments dealing with STP, I am convinced that there is no need for CIB2 participation in the audit/inspection of Toshiba facilities. However, if DCIP or DNRL needs technical support for this effort, we will glad to provide it. Thanks.

Neil "Neil" Ray

Branch Chief, Acting
NRO/DE/CIB2
neil.ray@nrc.gov
301-415-2643

From: Belkys Sosa
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 10:15 AM
To: Stacy Joseph; John Nakoski
Cc: Neil Ray; Tekia Govan
Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

Stacy,
Neal met with us yesterday on an issue that has AVQ concerns. Please close the loop with him on the subject.

Thanks,
Belkys

From: Stacy Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 10:10 AM
To: Belkys Sosa; John Nakoski
Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

The original list of attendees decided at the meeting were:

CQVB (4)- John, Aida, Rich, Frank
NGE2 (1)- Belkys
ICE2 (2) - Ian and Dinesh
SBCV (1) - Mike or Andrzej
CIB2 (1) - Neil Ray
~~SEB (1) - Sujit Sammaddar~~
~~SRSB (1) - George Thomas~~

As of today, we have received word from SEB and SRSB that they do NOT need to attend the audit. SBCV has sent me their initial input yesterday (I am revieweing it, but bottom line is they will be attending), we know ICE2 is attending, and I have yet to hear back from Neil Ray. So John's assessment of the current group is correct (9 total).

Stacy

From: Belkys Sosa
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 10:03 AM
To: Stacy Joseph; John Nakoski
Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

Stacy, can you give John and I a quick status of where are we on the AVQ inspection efforts?

Thanks,
Belkys

From: John Nakoski
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 7:09 AM
To: Belkys Sosa
Cc: Stacy Joseph
Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

Belkys - so I guess this means that the team just got one person smaller (currently, I see the team as 4 from CQVB, 2 for QA, 1 for test programs, and me; you; 2 from ICE2; 1 from SBCV; and 1 from CIB2?). Have you heard from anyone else that believes they have a need to go?

John

From: Weidong Wang
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2009 5:10 PM
To: John Nakoski; Belkys Sosa
Cc: Stacy Joseph; Tekia Govan; Joseph Donoghue; George Thomas; James Gilmer
Subject: FW: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

Belkys and John,

Tekia talked to STP and found out that reactor fuel for STP will be fabricated in Sweden by ABB and all of the Westinghouse evaluation models related to SRSB are tested, validated and benchmarked by ABB. So we do not have any information in Japan for the audit and we do not plan to go with you. We may plan to go to Sweden for an audit in the future.

Weidong

From: Tekia Govan
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2009 5:37 PM
To: George Thomas
Cc: Weidong Wang; Joseph Donoghue; James Gilmer
Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

George,

Based on our conversation, where we discuss that:

1. the AVQ Audit was being conducted to audit areas that are currently a part of the STP application (whereas Westinghouse Optima 2 fuel is not); and
2. the codes for the Westinghouse fuel is being conducted in Sweden

please let Stacy know that you will not need to join the Japan inspection team (as it relates to Chapter 4) at this time. However, once the fuel amendment (and associated topical reports) comes in, it may be necessary to conduct a Westinghouse audit to review testing, validation, and benchmarking of the codes for the BWR application.

By the end of this month we will receive the official letter from STPNOC that will state the vendor, type of fuel, and topical report submittal dates.

Tekia

Tekia V. Govan, Project Manager
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of New Reactors
MS T-7-F29
Washington DC 20555-0001
301-415-6197
Tekia.Govan@nrc.gov

From: George Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2009 12:54 PM
To: Tekia Govan
Cc: Weidong Wang; Joseph Donoghue; James Gilmer
Subject: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit

Tekia,

As we all know Westinghouse is mainly a PWR vendor and their involvement on BWRs are limited to fuel reloads in USA. However, they got the BWR technology from ABB. Most of their codes which are used for PWRs are now being applied to BWR. They might have validated their codes for BWR application with testing, validation and benchmarking. We want to know where is the information related to the testing, validation and benchmarking of the codes for BWR application?

George

Hearing Identifier: SouthTexas34Public_EX
Email Number: 1204

Mail Envelope Properties (499C2FC6BB962446994CA8682D8ADF331741E65FCB)

Subject: RE: STP 3/4 Toshiba audit
Sent Date: 3/31/2009 10:51:32 AM
Received Date: 3/31/2009 10:51:32 AM
From: Neil Ray

Created By: Neil.Ray@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"Thomas Scarbrough" <Thomas.Scarbrough@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Belkys Sosa" <Belkys.Sosa@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Stacy Joseph" <Stacy.Joseph@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"John Nakoski" <John.Nakoski@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4793	3/31/2009 10:51:32 AM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: