Project:	07-3080.04 Schofield Barracks	Work Order:	7953	
LAB ID:	SC & A	Analysis Type:	Gamma Spectroscopy	

Reviewer: Stacey Sedano Analysis Type. Gamma Spectrosco

Sample	Matrix	Collection Date	Date Received	Preparation Date	Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A)	Analysis Date	Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A)
SB-CZ-SS-2284-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2285-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2286-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2287-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2288-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2289-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2290-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2291-SD	Soil	9-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-7	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2292-SD	Soil	09-2707	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2293-SD	Soil	09-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2294-SD	Soil	09-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A
SB-CZ-SS-2295-SD	Soil	09-27-07	10-01-07	10-19-07	N/A	12-11-07	N/A

12/31/07

Date:

	Acceptable (YES)	Not Acceptable (NO)	Not Applicable (N/A)
Sample Chain of Custody Review	, ,		
Are there printed names and signatures present in the Relinquished By and Received By Blocks?		X(1)	
Does the COC date match the Relinquished By date?	Х		
Is the Received By date consistent with sample custody transfer (Relinquished By)?	Х		
Have all the samples listed on the Chain of Custody have been analyzed? (Verify this by checking that the Memo and/or case narratives are consistent with the COC.)	Х		
Were the sample(s) preserved appropriately?			Х
Are all the samples included in the analytical report are listed correctly on the Chain of Custody?	Х		
Are the analytes reported consistent with the project requirements? (See Attached Sheet)	Х		
Comple Descint Charlist Devices			
Sample Receipt Checklist Review	T v		I
Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist?	X X		
Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist? Are all receipt inspection items marked "Yes"? (If "No" are they acceptable?).	X X		
Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist? Are all receipt inspection items marked "Yes"? (If "No" are they acceptable?). Comments:			
Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist? Are all receipt inspection items marked "Yes"? (If "No" are they acceptable?). Comments: Case Narrative/Analytical Report			

Validation Item	Acceptable	Not	Not
Are results that are flagged by laboratory necessary and complete, and are		Acceptable	Applicable
inderstandable comments provided?	X		
Are the reporting units are correct and consistent? (pCi/g)	X		
Comments:			
aboratory Quality Control Sample Review			
Did the laboratory properly complete all required laboratory quality control			
samples at required frequencies? LCS - 1 per matrix and one per batch or 1/20 samples whichever is more			
frequent			
Matrix Spike – 1 per matrix and one per batch or 1/20 samples whichever	X(2)		
is more frequent	\(\(\mathbb{Z}\)		
Duplicates - 1 per matrix and one per batch or 1/20 samples whichever is more frequent			
Blanks - 1 per matrix and one per batch or 1/20 samples whichever is more			
requent			
Are the laboratory quality control sample results acceptable (solids)?			
LCS - 30% -69% estimated (J); >130% estimated (J); <30% unusable (R) Matrix Spike – 20% -70% estimated (J); >130% estimated (J); <20%			
unusable (R)	X(2,3,4)		
Duplicates - Duplicates - Normalized Absolute Difference (NAD)>1.96			
estimated (J)			
Comments:			
2. Matrix Spikes are not necessary for Gamma Spec.			
 LCS and NAD results are all acceptable. Potential Blank contamination for samples with Ra-233 activity less than 	a 0 92aCi/a Na	nomples flagged	
Potential Blank contamination for samples with Ra-233 activity less than	10.62pCi/g. No:	sampies nagged	

Validation Item	Acceptable	Not Acceptable	Not Applicable
Other Evaluation Factors		-	
If a result has an uncertainty greater than the result, is the uncertainty is less than the required detection limit?	Х		
Are the sample hold times acceptable? (Six months or less for all Rad except ³ H, which is three months or less)			Х
Are total propagated uncertainty (TPU) values provided for all results?	X		
Are the aliquot sizes appropriate – (1 g minimum for dry solids)	X		
Are soil sample results reported on a dry-weight basis? (See Case Narrative)	X		
Were required detection limits achieved? (see "QA/QC Plan" Table 2.2)	X (5)		

Are soil sample results reported on a dry-weight basis? (See Case Narrative)	X	
Were required detection limits achieved? (see "QA/QC Plan" Table 2.2)	X (5)	
Comments:		
5. Detection limit of 0.5 pCi/g was not met for the below samp	le for Th-234	
o. Dottockon mint of old polyg was not mot for the bolow samp	10 101 111 20 1.	
SB-CZ-SS-2290-SD		
3B-CZ-33-2Z9U-3D		