

# **Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist** **Schofield Barracks**

**Project:** 07-3080.04\_Schofield Barracks      **Work Order:** 710119\_(7999)  
**LAB ID:** GPL      **Analysis Type:** Lead  
**Reviewer:** Stacey Sedano  
**Date:** 12/28/2007

Sample	Matrix	Collection Date	Date Received	Extraction Date	Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A)	Analysis Date	Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A)
SB-CZ-SS-2190-SD	SOIL	9/24/07	10/16/07	10/17/07	N/A	10/22/07	N/A

**Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist  
Schofield Barracks**

		Yes	No	N/A	Sample Affected/Comments
1.0	<b>Sample Chain of Custody Review</b>				
1.1	Are the printed names and signatures present in the Relinquished By and Received By Blocks?		X(1)		
1.2	Does the COC date match the Relinquished By date?	X			
1.3	Is the Received By date consistent with sample custody transfer (Relinquished By)?	X			
1.4	Have all the samples listed on the Chain of Custody have been analyzed? (Verify this by checking that the Memo and/or case narratives are consistent with the COC).	X			
1.5	Were the sample(s) preserved appropriately?			X	
1.6	Are all the samples included in the analytical report listed correctly on the Chain of Custody?	X			
1.7	Are the analytes reported consistent with the project requirements?	X			
<p>Additional Comments:</p> <p>1. Printed name not present in received by block. No data were qualified as a result of this omission.</p>					

**Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist  
Schofield Barracks**

		Yes	No	N/A	Sample Affected/Comments
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Sample Receipt Checklist Review</b>				
2.1	Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist?	X			
2.2	Are all receipt inspection items marked "Yes"? (If "No" are they acceptable?).	X			
Additional Comments:					
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Case Narrative/Analytical Report</b>				
3.1	Does the Case Narrative report submitted by the laboratory indicate any problems with the analysis or other factors which could impact the validity of the sample analysis?		X		
3.2	Does the Analytical report agree with the analyte list specified for the project?	X			

**Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist  
Schofield Barracks**

		Yes	No	N/A	Sample Affected/Comments
3.3	Were the samples analyzed using SW846 Method 6010C, or an equivalent?	X			
3.4	Are results that are flagged by laboratory necessary and complete, and are understandable comments provided?	X			
3.5	Are the reporting units are correct and consistent?	X			
Additional Comments:					
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Laboratory Control Samples</b>				
4.1	Was one LCS (laboratory control sample) analyzed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent?	X			

**Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist  
Schofield Barracks**

		Yes	No	N/A	Sample Affected/Comments
4.2	Were the LCS results within an acceptable range of % recovery?	X			
Additional Comments:					
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Method Blank</b>				
5.1	Was one preparation blank analyzed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent?	X			
5.2	Were blank concentrations less than the method detection limit?	X			
Additional Comments:					

**Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist  
Schofield Barracks**

		Yes	No	N/A	Sample Affected/Comments
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Matrix Spike Sample</b>				
6.1	Was one MS sample analyzed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent?	X			
6.2	Were the MS results within the acceptable range?	X			
Additional Comments:					
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Duplicate Analysis</b>				
7.1	Was one duplicate analysis performed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent?	X			
7.2	Was the sample selected for duplicate analysis a sample other than a field blank?	X			
7.3	Were the RPD values for laboratory duplicates acceptable?	X			
Additional Comments:					

**Lead Analysis Data Review Checklist  
Schofield Barracks**

		Yes	No	N/A	Sample Affected/Comments
8.0	<b>Other Blanks (e.g., field blanks, trip blanks, leachate blanks)</b>				
8.1	List blank analyses performed			X	
8.2	Were all results below project detection limit?			X	
Additional Comments:					