Project:
 \_\_\_\_07-3080.04\_Schofield Barracks\_\_\_\_
 Work Order:
 \_\_\_\_710166\_(7955)\_\_\_\_\_

 LAB ID:
 \_\_\_\_\_GPL\_\_\_\_\_
 Analysis Type:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

 Reviewer:
 \_\_\_\_Stacey Sedano\_\_\_\_\_\_
 Keviewer:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_12/31/2007\_

| Sample           | Matrix | Collection<br>Date | Date<br>Received | Extraction<br>Date | Hold Times<br>Met?<br>(Y, N< or N/A) | Analysis<br>Date | Hold Times Met?<br>(Y, N< or N/A) |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SB-CZ-SS-2296-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2297-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2298-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2299-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2300-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2301-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2302-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2303-SD | Soil   | 9-27-07            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2304-SD | Soil   | 09-2707            | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2305-SD | Soil   | 09-27-07           | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2306-SD | Soil   | 09-27-07           | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2307-SD | Soil   | 09-27-07           | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2308-SD | Soil   | 09-27-07           | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
| SB-CZ-SS-2309-SD | Soil   | 09-27-07           | 10-23-07         | 10-26-07           | N/A                                  | 11-20-07         | N/A                               |
|                  |        |                    |                  |                    |                                      |                  |                                   |
|                  |        |                    |                  |                    |                                      |                  |                                   |
|                  |        |                    |                  |                    |                                      |                  |                                   |
|                  |        |                    |                  |                    |                                      |                  |                                   |

|      |  | Yes | No   | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|------|--|-----|------|-----|--------------------------|
| 1.0  | Sample Chain of Custody Review   |     |      |     |                          |
| 1.1  | Are the printed names and signatures<br>present in the Relinquished By and<br>Received By Blocks?  |     | X(1) |     |                          |
| 1.2  | Does the COC date match the Relinquished By date?  | x   |      |     |                          |
| 1.3  | Is the Received By date consistent with sample custody transfer (Relinquished By)?   | X   |      |     |                          |
| 1.4  | Have all the samples listed on the Chain of<br>Custody have been analyzed? (Verify this<br>by checking that the Memo and/or case<br>narratives are consistent with the COC). | X   |      |     |                          |
| 1.5  | Were the sample(s) preserved appropriately?  |     |      | x   |                          |
| 1.6  | Are all the samples included in the analytical report listed correctly on the Chain of Custody?  | Х   |      |     |                          |
| 1.7  | Are the analytes reported consistent with the project requirements?  | X   |      |     |                          |
| Addi | tional Comments:   |     |      |     |                          |

1. Printed name not present in received by block. No data were qualified as a result of this omission.

|       |   | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-------|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 2.0   | Sample Receipt Checklist Review   |     |    |     |                          |
| 2.1   | Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist?                               | x   |    |     |                          |
| 2.2   | Are all receipt inspection items marked "Yes"?  |     |    |     |                          |
| Addit | (If "No" are they acceptable?).   | Х   |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
|       |   |     |    |     |                          |
| 3.0   | Case Narrative/Analytical Report  |     |    |     |                          |
| 3.1   | Does the Case Narrative report submitted by the laboratory indicate any problems with the |     |    |     |                          |
|       | analysis or other factors which could impact the  |     |    |     |                          |
| 3.2   | validity of the sample analysis?<br>Does the Analytical report agree with the             |     | X  |     |                          |
| 5.2   | analyte list specified for the project?   | Х   |    |     |                          |

|       |   | Yes  | No       | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-------|---|------|----------|-----|--------------------------|
|       |   |      |          |     | -                        |
| 3.3   | Were the samples analyzed using SW846           | *7   |          |     |                          |
| 2.4   | Method 6010C, or an equivalent?                 | Х    |          |     |                          |
| 3.4   | Are results that are flagged by laboratory      |      |          |     |                          |
|       | necessary and complete, and are understandable  | 37   |          |     |                          |
| 2.5   | comments provided?                              | Х    |          |     |                          |
| 3.5   | Are the reporting units are correct and         | v    |          |     |                          |
| 4.1.1 | consistent?                                     | Х    |          |     |                          |
| Addit | ional Comments:                                 |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
|       |   |      |          |     |                          |
| 4.0   |   |      |          |     |                          |
| 4.0   | Laboratory Control Samples                      |      |          |     |                          |
| 4.1   | Was one LCS (laboratory control sample)         |      |          |     |                          |
|       | analyzed per 20 samples or digestate analytical |      |          |     |                          |
|       | batch, whichever is more frequent?              | Х    |          |     |                          |
|       | outen, whichever is more nequent:               | - 11 | <u> </u> |     |                          |

|          |  | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|----------|--|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 4.2      | Were the LCS results within an acceptable      |     |    |     |                          |
|          | range of % recovery?                           | Х   |    |     |                          |
| Addit    | ional Comments:                                |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
| 5.0      | Method Blank                                   |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
| 5.1      | Was one preparation blank analyzed per 20      |     |    |     |                          |
|          | samples or digestate analytical batch,         |     |    |     |                          |
|          | whichever is more frequent?                    | Х   |    |     |                          |
| 5.2      | Were blank concentrations less than the method | 37  |    |     |                          |
| A .1.1:4 | detection limit?                               | Х   |    |     |                          |
| Addit    | ional Comments:                                |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |
|          |  |     |    |     |                          |

|        |  | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|--------|--|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 6.0    | Matrix Spike Sample  |     |    |     |                          |
| 6.1    | Was one MS sample analyzed per 20 samples<br>or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more<br>frequent?           | X   |    |     |                          |
| 6.2    | Were the MS results within the acceptable range?   | X   |    |     |                          |
| Additi | ional Comments:  |     |    |     |                          |
| 7.0    | Duplicate Analysis   |     |    |     |                          |
| 7.1    | Was one duplicate analysis performed per 20<br>samples or digestate analytical batch,<br>whichever is more frequent? | X   |    |     |                          |
| 7.2    | Was the sample selected for duplicate analysis a sample other than a field blank?                                    | x   |    |     |                          |
| 7.3    | Were the RPD values for laboratory duplicates acceptable?  | X   |    |     |                          |
| Additi | ional Comments:  |     |    |     |                          |

|     |   | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-----|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 8.0 | Other Blanks (e.g., field blanks, trip blanks, leachate blanks) |     |    |     |                          |
| 8.1 | List blank analyses performed                                   |     |    | X   |                          |
| 8.2 | 8.2 Were all results below project detection limit?             |     |    | X   |                          |
| Auu | tional Comments:  |     |    |     |                          |