

Sarah Rich

From: Richard Conte, RD
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2008 8:41 AM
To: Diane Screnci; John Richmond; Marjorie McLaughlin; Neil Sheehan; Ronald Bellamy
Cc: Robert Summers; Darrell Roberts
Subject: RE: OC and NJ DEP Insight

Bob I have been anxious to talk with you about commitments in the CLB

this is another twist to the commitments issue; if the NRC pressured by the state feels a commitment is inadequate.

Richard J. Conte
Chief, Engineering Branch No. 1, DRS, Reg. I
[(b)(6)]
Off. 610-337-5183

[The information contained in this e-mail, including any attachment(s), is intended solely for use by the named addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to disclose, copy, distribute or retain this message, in whole or in part, without written consent from the sender. This e-mail may also contain confidential or privileged information so consult with the sender before disclosing. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately.]

From: Diane Screnci
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2008 7:48 AM
To: John Richmond; Richard Conte; Marjorie McLaughlin; Neil Sheehan; Ronald Bellamy
Subject: RE: OC and NJ DEP Insight

Guess I wouldn't mind a little tutorial on the same information.

DIANE SCRENCI
SR. PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER
USNRC, RI
610/337-5330

From: John Richmond
Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2008 7:22 AM
To: Richard Conte; Marjorie McLaughlin; Diane Screnci; Neil Sheehan; Ronald Bellamy
Subject: OC and NJ DEP Insight

An insight as to where the State of NJ may be headed, regarding the current OC drywell coating issue.

Yesterday, during the day, Rich Pinney asked about how the NRC would change a "commitment" if Exelon needed to do more than a current commitment required. We talked, in general, about commitment management, SERs, enforceability, and what might constitute a violation of a requirement.

Rich didn't really have much to say, but he seemed to believe the NRC could "enforce" commitments, and could [or should be able to] change commitments and require a licensee to implement a new commitment.

During the 4 pm telecom with Exelon, near the end, Rich showed me a note that he'd written. "Increase sand bed inspections to every 2 years." The current commitment is to inspect the drywell shell, in the sand bed bays, every other outage [every 4 years].

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act. Exemptions 6 FOIAPA 2009-0070

P/12

EX. 6

I'll update if more comes to light.
John R.

Received: from R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov ([148.184.99.7]) by R1MS01.nrc.gov
([148.184.99.10]) with mapi; Tue, 4 Nov 2008 08:41:07 -0500
Content-Type: application/ms-tnef; name="winmail.dat"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary
From: Richard Conte <Richard.Conte@nrc.gov>
To: Diane Screnci <Diane.Screnci@nrc.gov>, John Richmond
<John.Richmond@nrc.gov>, Marjorie McLaughlin
<Marjorie.McLaughlin@nrc.gov>,
Neil Sheehan <Neil.Sheehan@nrc.gov>, Ronald Bellamy
<Ronald.Bellamy@nrc.gov>
CC: Robert Summers <Robert.Summers@nrc.gov>, Darrell Roberts
<Darrell.Roberts@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, 4 Nov 2008 08:41:05 -0500
Subject: RE: OC and NJ DEP Insight
Thread-Topic: OC and NJ DEP Insight
Thread-Index: Ack+d97zQQT87FF7TEG+vZ3A6P+xjwAA5b4wAAHS5JA=
Message-ID:
<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72AA4387C282@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>
References:
<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72AA436328DB@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>
<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72AA4387C1F7@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>
In-Reply-To:
<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72AA4387C1F7@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
<2856BC46F6A308418F033D973BB0EE72AA4387C282@R1CLSTR01.nrc.gov>
MIME-Version: 1.0