AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFI		BPA NO.	1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1	OF PAG 2
AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0004	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ 42-07-481T003M004	NO.	5. PROJECT NO.(If ap	plicable) .
B. ISSUED BY CODE	3100	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other th	09748103159 7 ADMINISTERED BY (if other than (rem 6) CODE 3100		
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Div. of Contracts Attn:Kala Shankar 301-492-3638 Mail Stop T-7-I-2 Washington, DC 20555	·	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Div. of Contracts Mail Stop TWB 01-B10M Washington, DC 20555			
NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, Sta	te and ZIP Code)		(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICIT	ATION NO.	
N J NUMARK ASSOCIATES INC NUMARK ASSOCIATES		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)		<u>~~</u>	
1220 19TH ST NW STE 500			10A. MODIFICATION OF CON	TRACT/ORDER NO.	
WASHINGTON DC 200362444		NRC-42-07-481 0003			
788247377			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) X 01-02-2008		
11. THIS ITE	M ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF SO			
(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify	R 925-15-171-103; JC bligate: \$125,000 PLIES ONLY TO MOD THE CONTRACT/ORE (authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTI	IFICATIONS OF CONT BER NO. AS DESCRIB H IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CON	TRACTS/ORDERS, ED IN ITEM 14. TRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.		
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF F	TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE C AR 43.103(b).	HANGES (such as changes in pa	ying office, appropriation date, etc.)		
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PUP	RSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				-
D, OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) MU'	TUAL AGREEMENT OF BOT	H PARTIES			
. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, X	is required to sign this docum	ent and return 2	copies to the issuing office.		
4. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by I he purpose of this.modification is to he task order ceiling; and add funds bask Order Ceiling Amount: \$831,086(ch. botal Obligated Amount: \$713,587 (chan- beriod of Performance: 01/02/2008 - 07	UCF section headings, including solicitati incorporate the revi in the amount of \$125 anged) ged) /01/2010 (unchanged)	on/contract subject matter where feasib sed SOW reflecting t ,000.	e.) he increased level o	f effort; inc	rease
ee continuation page					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document refere	enced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore ch	anged, remains unchanged and in full f	prce and effect.		
54. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) Ncilj. Numerie Presided	-)	16A NAME AND TITLE OF CONTR Kala Shankar Contracting Offi	ACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	324105	16B. UNITED STATES OF MERICA BY AMA (Signature of Signature of Signatu	of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGN 	VED 109
NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PR B 510 3950 (C) NO 1010 (C) 101			STAND Prescrii	ARD FORM 30 (REV. bed by GSA - FAR (48 CE	10-83) (R) 53:243
CAPITAIL - ANALUTI SUNS	I REVIEW COM		R 2.1 2009	DNO	02

NRC-42-07-481-TO03 Modification 004

Page 2 of 2

The purpose of this modification is to (1) incorporate the revised SOW reflecting the additional level of effort; (2) increase the task order ceiling in the amount of \$160,915; and (3) add incremental funding in the amount of \$125,000. Accordingly, the subject task order is hereby modified as follows:

Paragraphs 2 and 3, page 2 of 2 under the base task order 03, are hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Task Order No. 03 shall be in effect from 01/02/2008 through 07/01/2010, with a cost ceiling of \$831,086. The amount of \$794,810 represents the estimated reimbursable costs, and the amount of \$36,276 represents the fixed fee.

"The amount obligated by the Government with respect to this task order is \$713,587, of which \$682,858 represents the estimated reimbursable costs, and the amount of \$30,729 represents the fixed fee."

A summary of obligations for this task order, from award date through the date of this action is given below:

Total FY08 Obligation Amount: Total FY09 Obligation Amount: Cumulative Total of NRC Obligations: \$588,587.00 <u>\$125,000.00</u> \$713,587.00

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SUBJECT TASK ORDER REMAIN UNCHANGED

TASK ORDER STATEMENT OF WORK

JCN/Contract No. Q-4159	Contractor Numark	Task Order No. 003 (Modification #4)					
Applicant AREVA	Design/Site EPR	Docket No. 05200020					
Title/Description Review of Containment and Ventilation (SPCV) Systems (CTH) for the EPR DCD Application							
TAC No. RX0142	B&R Number 925- 15-171-103	SRP Section(s) Containment and Ventilation Sections (see Section 2)					
NRC Task Order Project Officer (PO) David D'Abate	(301) 415-0667	David.DAbate@nrc.gov					
NRC Technical Monitor (TM) Michelle Hayes	(301) 415-8375	Michelle.Hayes2@nrc.gov					

1.0 BACKGROUND

Combined Operating License (COL) Applications are submitted pursuant to Section 52 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR 52), "Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants." The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) reviews COL Applications based on information furnished by electric utility companies pursuant to 10 CFR 52.79, "Contents of Applications Technical Information."

Standard Design Certification (DCD) Applications are submitted pursuant to Section 52 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR 52), "Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants." The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) reviews DCD Applications based on information furnished by applicants pursuant to 10 CFR 52.47, "Contents of Applications, Technical Information."

In particular, the application shall include: a final safety analysis report (FSAR) describing the facility; compliance with the principal design criteria for the facility, as described in 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, general design criteria (GDC); and an evaluation of the standard plant design against the Standard Review Plan (SRP).

A Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) is prepared for the guidance of staff reviewers in the Office of New Reactors in performing safety reviews of applications to construct or operate nuclear power plants and the review of applications to approve standard designs and sites for nuclear power plants. The principal purpose of the SRP is to assure the quality and uniformity of staff safety reviews.

An Environmental Safety Review Plan (NUREG-1555) is prepared for the guidance of staff reviewers in performing environmental reviews of applications related to nuclear power plants. The ESRPs are companions to regulatory guides that address siting and environmental issues. As with NUREG-0800 the purpose of the ESRP is to assure the quality and uniformity of environmental reviews.

The staff publishes the results of these reviews in a Safety Evaluation Report (SER).

Design Certification Process

The NRC may approve and certify a standard nuclear plant design through a rulemaking, independent of a specific site. An application for a standard design certification must contain proposed inspections, tests, analyses, and acceptance criteria (ITAAC) for the standard design. Additionally, the application must demonstrate how the applicant complies with the Commission's relevant regulations.

An application must contain a level of design information sufficient to enable the Commission to reach a final conclusion on all safety questions associated with the design. In general terms, a design certification application should provide an essentially complete nuclear plant design, with the exception of site-specific design features such as intake structures and the ultimate heat sink.

Tasks/Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
 REQUIREMENT: Review responses to the RAI questions including Topical Reports to determine if they adequately resolve the outstanding issues. Identify any other open items. Prepare a TER providing the input to the SER with open items (SER/OI). 	* 30 days after receipt of the responses.	Revised TER with open items
STANDARD: Complete TER with open items 6. REQUIREMENT: Review the applicant's response to the open items identified in the SER/OI. Identify any unresolved issues. Prepare a TER providing the input to the final SER describing the resolution to the open items. STANDARD: Complete TER that follows the NRC	*45 days after receipt of responses to Ols	SER input with ope items resolved
 provided template without deviation. REQUIREMENT: Prepare final supplement with no open items. STANDARD: Supplement reviewed and approved by NRC staff 	10 days following ACRS review of supplement	Final supplement.
Ba. REQUIREMENT: <i>(If applicable)</i> Prepare for and travel to the applicant's office and participate in an INRC review team to:	*2 weeks after the trip	Trip Report
 a) Addit the <u>TBD</u> as described in the DCDACE EFR Standard design. b) Evaluate and discuss the applicant's responses to the unresolved issues identified in Task 4 to determine if the outstanding issues are adequately resolved. 		
c) Frepare a trip report (as an input to NRC Audit Report) to summarize the information reviewed, results of the audit, and meeting discussions. STANDARD: Complete scale ation as defined in task		
Submit Trip Report within 2 weeks of site review. 8b. REQUIREMENT: As needed and requested by the staff, provide technical support to the staff during related ACRS meetings and bearing proceedings	TBD	Prepare presentation materials Attend
STANDARD: Ensure presentation materials are reviewed and approved by NRC staff.		meetings, if requested.
8c. REQUIREMENT: As needed and requested by the staff, provide technical support to the staff during related MDEP meetings	ТВО	None

	Tasks/Standards	Scheduled Completion	Deliverables
9. R o Ir g s ir g n	EQUIREMENT: Evaluate existing multi-node analyses f containment test facilities (for example, OECD/NEA international Standard Problem ISP-47) and use insights ained from this research to develop at least 2 noding chemes for the US-EPR. Identify a list of specific information (to be supplied by AREVA) required to enerate complete APROS models of proposed odalizations.	* 2 weeks after authorization to begin Task 9	Analysis Report
S n	TANDARD: Submit report containing proposed odalizations and list of modeling information needed om AREVA	a a constant	
10.	REQUIREMENT: Generate APROS models of noding	* 7 weeks after	Analysis Report
	schemes developed in Task 9 with modeling	receipt of modeling	
	information provided by AREVA, Perform nodal	information	
	sensitivity analysis using mass and energy release data		2
-	supplied by 1. AREVA and 2: the NRC staff. Extend		
	the analyses for 24 hours or until the containment		
}	pressure is clearly on a lasting downward trend.		
	Evaluate the effect of heat transfer options for the		
	vertical internal heat structures and for the horizontal	· · ·	
1 .	heat structures. Perform additional sensitivity		
1 • •	analysis as directed by NRC staff. Update model as		
	additional information is provided by AREVA.		
	STANDARD, Submit roport Hobellbing standitute		
	STANDARD. Subinit report describing sensitivity		
	ADDOS reputto		
11	AFROS lesuis.	* 2 wooko offer NPC	Apolysis Poport
	REQUIREMENT. Following discussions with the NRC		
12	stall, provide recommendations as to the best of the		
· ·	Drovido toblos from the recommonded APPOS		
1	enclusis model diving pode volume and initial		
	analysis model giving node volume and initial	the second second	$(1, 1) \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$
	List best structures by node including thickness		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	material and heat transfor model used Undate model	,	
	as additional information is provided by APEVA		
ļ.,			
	STANDARD: Submit report containing detailed	н. На селото на селото н	
	information on recommended model including input tables.		

* These Work Schedules are subject to change by the NRC Contracting Officer (CO) to support the needs of the NRC Licensing Program Plan.

The Technical Monitor may issue technical instruction from time to time throughout the duration of this task order. Technical instructions must be within the general statement of work delineated in the task order and shall not constitute new assignments of work or changes of such a nature as to justify an adjustment in cost or period of performance. The contractor shall refer to Section G.1 of the base contract for further information and guidance on any technical directions issued under this task order.

Any modifications to the scope of work, cost or period of performance of this task order must be issued by the CO and will be coordinated with the NRO Project Officer.

5