Radiochemical Data Review Checklist Schofield Barracks

| Project: | 07-3080.04 | Work Order: | 709115 - | (Rad 7842 |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| | | | | |

LAB ID: GPL Analysis Type: Lead

Reviewer: Bachir Badaoui Reviewer:

| Sample | Matrix | Collection Date | Date Received | Extraction Date | Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A) | Analysis Date | Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A) |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| SB-CZ-SS-2000-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2001-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2002-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2003-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2004-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2005-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2006-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2007-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2008-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2009-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2010-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2011-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2013-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2012-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/25/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2014-ST | Soil | 8/16/2004 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/28/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2015-ST | Soil | 8/20/2007 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/28/2007 | N/A |

12/13/2007

Date:

| Project: | 07-3080.04 | Work Order: | 7842 | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------|--|
| LAB ID: | SC&A | Analysis Type: | Lead | |
| Reviewer: | Bachir Badaoui | | | |
| Date: | 12/11/2007 | | | |

| Sample | Matrix | Collection Date | Date Received | Extraction Date | Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A) | Analysis Date | Hold Times Met? (Y, N< or N/A) |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SB-CZ-SS-2016-ST | Soil | 8/20/2007 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/28/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2017-ST | Soil | 8/20/2007 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/28/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2018-ST | Soil | 8/21/2007 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/28/2007 | N/A |
| SB-CZ-SS-2019-ST | Soil | 8/21/2007 | 9/17/2007 | 9/25/2007 | N/A | 09/28/2007 | N/A |

| | | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-----|---|----------|------|-----|--------------------------|
| 1.0 | Sample Chain of Custody Review | | | | |
| 1.1 | Are the printed names and signatures present in the Relinquished By and Received By Blocks? | | X(1) | | |
| 1.2 | Does the COC date match the Relinquished By date? | X | | | |
| 1.3 | Is the Received By date consistent with sample custody transfer (Relinquished By)? | X | | | |
| 1.4 | Have all the samples listed on the Chain of Custody have been analyzed? (Verify this by checking that the Memo and/or case narratives are consistent with the COC). | X | | | |
| 1.5 | Were the sample(s) preserved appropriately? | | | X | |
| 1.6 | Are all the samples included in the analytical report listed correctly on the Chain of Custody? | X (2) | | | |
| 1.7 | Are the analytes reported consistent with the project requirements? | X | | | |

Additional Comments:

- Printed name not present in received by block. No data were qualified as a result of this omission.
 Sample collection date on the analytical summary report doesn't match the COC.

| | | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-------|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 2.0 | Sample Receipt Checklist Review | | | | |
| 2.1 | Did the laboratory complete the Sample Receiving Checklist? | X | | | |
| 2.2 | Are all receipt inspection items marked "Yes"? (If "No" are they acceptable?). | | X | | |
| Addit | ional Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3.0 | Case Narrative/Analytical Report | | | | |
| 3.1 | Does the Case Narrative report submitted by | | | | |
| | the laboratory indicate any problems with the | | | | |
| | analysis or other factors which could impact the validity of the sample analysis? | | X | | |
| 3.2 | Does the Analytical report agree with the analyte list specified for the project? | X | | | |

| | | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-----|--|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 3.3 | Were the samples analyzed using SW846 | V | | | |
| 3.4 | Method 6010C, or an equivalent? Are results that are flagged by laboratory | X | | | |
| | necessary and complete, and are | v | | | |
| 3.5 | understandable comments provided? Are the reporting units are correct and | X | | | |
| | consistent? | X | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4.0 | Laboratory Control Samples | | | | |
| 4.1 | Was one LCS (laboratory control sample) analyzed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent? | X | | | |

| | | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-------|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 4.2 | Were the LCS results within an acceptable | | | | |
| | range of % recovery? | X | | | |
| Addit | ional Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5.0 | Method Blank | | | | |
| 5.0 | Wethou Diank | | | | |
| 5.1 | Was one preparation blank analyzed per 20 | | | | |
| | samples or digestate analytical batch, | | | | |
| | whichever is more frequent? | X | | | |
| 5.2 | Were blank concentrations less than the | | | | |
| | method detection limit? | X | | | |
| Addit | ional Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|-------|--|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 6.0 | Matrix Spike Sample | | | | |
| 6.1 | Was one MS sample analyzed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent? | X | | | |
| 6.2 | Were the MS results within the acceptable range? | X | | | |
| Addit | ional Comments: | | | | |
| 7.0 | Duplicate Analysis | | | | |
| 7.1 | Was one duplicate analysis performed per 20 samples or digestate analytical batch, whichever is more frequent? | X | | | |
| 7.2 | Was the sample selected for duplicate analysis a sample other than a field blank? | X | | | |
| 7.3 | Were the RPD values for laboratory duplicates acceptable? | X | | | |
| Addit | ional Comments: | | | | |

| | | Yes | No | N/A | Sample Affected/Comments |
|------|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------|
| 8.0 | Other Blanks (e.g., field blanks, trip blanks, leachate blanks) | | | | |
| 8.1 | List blank analyses performed | | | X | |
| 8.2 | Were all results below project detection limit? | | | X | |
| Addi | tional Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | |