

## HLWYM HEmails

---

**From:** Ali Simpkins  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 19, 2006 10:33 AM  
**To:** Sheena Whaley; Oleg Povetko  
**Subject:** RE: Pre-closure key message on burnup credit

Sheena,

I like your rewrite of the second bullet.

How about instead of early and often - ...then the NRC wants to interact with the DOE as soon as DOE's burnup strategy under development - or something like that.

Just my ideas to take or leave.

Let me know if you hear anything regarding the TE for Preclosure. I am still not sure that is going on.

Ali Simpkins  
Southwest Research Institute  
Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses 6220 Culebra Rd San Antonio, TX 78238 210-522-6260

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sheena Whaley [mailto:SAW2@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 19, 2006 7:44 AM  
**To:** Ali Simpkins; Oleg Povetko; Andrew Barto  
**Subject:** Pre-closure key message on burnup credit

All,

I talked to Marissa about the key messages. She wanted us to change the second bullet because she feels that Part 63 doesn't require a criticality to be beyond Cat 2 in preclosure after talking to DOE.

After talking to OGC, they feel that a criticality is required to be beyond a Cat 2 event due to the wording "prevented and controlled".

However OGC does not like us to use the phrase "criticality event sequence" - it can be "an event sequence that causes or is caused by a criticality". Please see how I changed it.

Also, she wants a key message added about burnup credit since it most likely will be an issue for pre-closure. I think it could be part of the last bullet, but since it is the biggest issue it may need to have its own bullet. Please review what I wrote and send your comments as soon as possible - I think this will go out in a letter at the end of this month.

I don't like my phrase "early and often" but wanted to make sure that DOE understands how important this is. I also don't like my last sentence in that bullet, but wanted to make sure that they understood that there are other issues rather than just lack of data. Some of the data they have is just not reliable.

Thanks,  
Sheena

**Hearing Identifier:** HLW\_YuccaMountain\_Hold\_EX  
**Email Number:** 1740

**Mail Envelope Properties** (071101c6f38b\$79f1eee0\$8dc8a281)

**Subject:** RE: Pre-closure key message on burnup credit  
**Sent Date:** 10/19/2006 10:32:59 AM  
**Received Date:** 10/19/2006 10:32:59 AM  
**From:** Ali Simpkins

**Created By:** asimpkins@cnwra.swri.edu

**Recipients:**  
"Sheena Whaley" <Sheena.Whaley@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Oleg Povetko" <opovetko@cnwra.swri.edu>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** cnwra.swri.edu

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1962	10/19/2006 10:32:59 AM

**Options**  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**