

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Apr 16, 2009 09:51

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-09-0156 **LOGGING DATE:** 04/16/2009
ACTION OFFICE: EDO
AUTHOR: Alan Pasternak
AFFILIATION: CA
ADDRESSEE: Dale Klein
SUBJECT: Information for consideration at the April 17, 2009 Commission briefing on the disposal of low-level radioactive waste
ACTION: Appropriate
DISTRIBUTION: RF, SECY/Bavol
LETTER DATE: 04/16/2009
ACKNOWLEDGED: No
SPECIAL HANDLING:
NOTES:
FILE LOCATION: AAMS
DATE DUE: **DATE SIGNED:**

To: Miller, FSME
cys: EDO
DEDMRT
DEDR
DEDCM
AO
Rivera

Template: SECY-017

E-RIDS: SECY-01

Billie Champ

From: apasconst@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2009 8:18 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource; Glenda Evans; CMRLYONS Resource; CMRSVINICKI Resource
Cc: joseph_ring@harvard.edu; NRCEXecSec Resource; Patricia Swain; Rochelle Baval; Sandy Joosten; James Kennedy; GStimmell@comcast.net; lgibbs@leland.stanford.edu; philip.d.rutherford@boeing.com; VRodriguez@ligand.com; keith.asmussen@gat.com; carretta@msn.com; rrichter@calhealth.org; milton.perez-alfonso@pfizer.com; bcromwell6924@sbcglobal.net; tgray@gte.net; Earley@csmc.edu; davidw.turner@ge.com; woolfenden@radiology.arizona.edu; john.closs@xenuclear.com; yhipkc@songs.sce.com; csmarcus@ucla.edu; bglaser@calhealth.org; Rich@tgainc.com
Subject: Information for the April 17th NRC Commission Briefing on Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal
Attachments: 4-16-09CalRadLtrtoNRC.doc; Sect631PropAmends.doc

April 15

Attached are a letter dated April 16, 2009 to the NRC Commissioners and an enclosure to that letter, (i.e., two attachments to this email.) This correspondence is background information from Cal Rad Forum for the briefing on low-level radioactive waste disposal on April 17th.

Alan Pasternak
Technical Director
Cal Rad Forum
925/283-5210

Received: from HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov ([148.184.44.77]) by OWMS01.nrc.gov
([148.184.100.43]) with mapi; Wed, 15 Apr 2009 20:18:58 -0400
Content-Type: application/ms-tnef; name="winmail.dat"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: binary
From: NRCExecSec Resource <NRCExecSec.Resource@nrc.gov>
To: Sheila McKelvin <Sheila.McKelvin@nrc.gov>, Linda Mike
<Linda.Mike@nrc.gov>, Billie Champ <Billie.Champ@nrc.gov>
Date: Wed, 15 Apr 2009 20:18:57 -0400
Subject: FW: Information for the April 17th NRC Commission Briefing on
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal
Thread-Topic: Information for the April 17th NRC Commission Briefing on
Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal
Thread-Index: Acm+K07ZtABpFd6RQQyarReCaKPorAAAAAu7
Message-ID:
<21707AE0BEC04F4BB5502E2483D69D6A25C71BCE31@HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov>
Accept-Language: en-US
Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach: yes
X-MS-Exchange-Organization-SCL: -1
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
<21707AE0BEC04F4BB5502E2483D69D6A25C71BCE31@HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov>
x-ironport-av: E=Sophos;i="4.40,195,1238990400";
d="doc'32?scan'32,32,208";a="1582316"
x-ironport-id: mail2
x-sbrs: 4.7
x-mid: 1582316
x-ironport-anti-spam-filtered: true
x-ironport-anti-spam-result:
AhgBANcW5kiADlrSk2dsb2JhbACVK3gBAQEBCQkKCREdQKoKCZAlgkqBMwY
x-aol-ip: 205.188.169.200
x-mb-message-source: WebUI
x-mb-message-type: User
x-spam-flag: NO
MIME-Version: 1.0

CALIFORNIA RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS MANAGEMENT FORUM

Keith E. Asmussen, Ph.D., CHAIR

Dir., Licensing, Safety & Nuclear Compliance
General Atomics
Post Office Box 85608
San Diego, CA 92186-5608
(858) 455-2823, Fax (858) 455-2822
E-Mail: keith.asmussen@gat.com

TECHNICAL DIRECTOR

Alan Pasternak, Ph.D.
P.O. Box 1638, Lafayette, CA 94549-1638
(925) 283-5210, Fax (925) 283-5219
E-mail: APasConslt@aol.com

TREASURER

Gary Stimmell
GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy

SECRETARY

Vilmarie Rodriguez
Ligand Pharmaceuticals

PAST CHAIRMAN

Philip D. Rutherford
The Boeing Company

BOARD MEMBERS

MEDICINE.

Carol S. Marcus, Ph.D., M.D.
American College of Nuclear
Physicians, California Chapter

EDUCATION

James M Woolfenden, M.D.
University of Arizona

UTILITIES

Kathy C. Yhip
Southern California Edison Co.
John Closs
XCEL Energy

ENGINEERING & SERVICES

William H. Cromwell
Waste Containment Systems
Thomas A. Gray
Thomas A. Gray & Associates

INDUSTRIAL

Milton Perez, P.E.
Pfizer, Inc.
David Turner
GE-Hitachi

AT LARGE

Robert Carretta, M.D.
Covidien
Roger Richter
California Hospital Association
Donna Earley
Cedars-Sinai Medical Center

The Honorable Dale Klein, Chairman,
Gregory B. Jaczko, Peter B. Lyons,
And Kristine L. Svinicki, Commissioners,
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Commissioners,

Here, on behalf of the Board of Directors of Cal Rad Forum, is additional background information for the April 17th briefing of the Commission on disposal of low-level radioactive waste (LLRW). In previous letters, we have cited many, including spokespersons for the NRC, who have called for a better policy framework for new disposal options. We would like to briefly review some problems with the current law and offer a means of getting to the long-term and near-term solutions that might be provided by the U.S. Department of Energy as identified by the American Nuclear Society, the Health Physics Society and others.

A POSSIBLE LEGISLATIVE REMEDY: AMEND THE ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005

We do not know for sure whether involvement of the DOE requires legislative action or can be accomplished by administrative or regulatory actions. For the moment, let us focus on a possible legislative remedy to the lack of disposal options for users of radioactive materials not located in the Northwest, Atlantic, Rocky Mountain, or Texas Compact regions which leaves these users in thirty-four states with no place to dispose of their Class B and Class C as well as sealed sources and biological LLRW.

We have supported the proposal, first advanced by the Health Physics Society, that the Greater-than-Class C facility, which the DOE has been mandated to develop for non-DOE waste, should also be

April 16, 2009

available to dispose of non-DOE Class B and C and possibly other wastes which have no disposal access. We have also proposed that, in the interim, existing DOE disposal facilities be made available for disposal of non-DOE waste. The simplest and most direct means of achieving these goals by legislation would be to amend the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPACT), rather than the Low-Level Waste Policy Act of 1980 (amended in 1985). Enclosed is Section 631 of the EPACT with proposed amendments in red. I would appreciate hearing any comments on this approach.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS AND PROBLEMS

- The Low-Level Waste Policy Act in force now is not the same law enacted by Congress in 1980 (amended in 1985), because the courts have struck-down the "Take Title" provision. The Act as passed included both a carrot and a stick to encourage development of new LLRW disposal facilities: The carrot was the ability of compacts to limit disposal access to member states of the compact, the stick was the requirement that any state that failed to provide access to a disposal facility for users of radioactive materials in its state would be required to take title and possession of the waste generated. Without the "Take Title" provision, much of the incentive for states to undertake the difficult and often politically unpopular task of developing a disposal facility is gone.
- There has been interest by NRC staff in pursuing "blending" of wastes, combining Class B and C LLRW, perhaps with Class A waste, so that the final mixture of waste is Class A. The objective is clearly to make the Class B and C wastes eligible for disposal at the Clive, Utah facility. Clive accepts Class A LLRW, and is currently available to the thirty-four states without access to B and C disposal, but does not accept Class B or C waste (or sealed sources or biological wastes). However, officials from the State of Utah have made it clear that this approach will fail. Utah considers that LLRW carries the same classification as when it was generated. Utah has not adopted 10 CFR 61.58 which allows an alternate means of waste classification nor was Utah required to do so as part of the NRC compatibility determinations.

If you have any questions or comments about the information in this or previous letters, please call me at (925) 283-5210 or send me an email at APasConslt@aol.com.

Sincerely,

Alan Pasternak

Encl.: Proposed amendments to Sect. 631
of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

cc: NRC Staff
Cal Rad Forum Board of Directors

1 **SEC. 631. SAFE DISPOSAL OF GREATER-THAN-CLASS C AND SOME CLASS A**
2 **AND CLASS B RADIOACTIVE WASTE.**

3 (a) **RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTIVITIES TO PROVIDE**
4 **STORAGE FACILITY.**—The Secretary shall provide to Con-
5 gress official notification of the final designation of an en-
6 tity within the Department to have the responsibility of
7 completing activities needed to provide a facility for safely
8 disposing of all greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive
9 waste and Class B and Class C radioactive waste that has no other disposal
outlet.

10 (b) **REPORTS AND PLANS.**—

11 (1) **REPORT ON PERMANENT DISPOSAL FACIL-**
12 **ITY.**—

13 (A) **PLAN REGARDING COST AND SCHED-**
14 **ULE FOR COMPLETION OF EIS AND ROD.**— Not
15 later than 1 year after the date of enactment of
16 this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with
17 Congress, shall submit to Congress a report
18 containing an estimate of the cost and a pro-
19 posed schedule to complete an environmental
20 impact statement and record of decision for a

1 permanent disposal facility for greater-than-

2 Class C radioactive waste and for Class B and Class C radioactive waste that has
no other disposal outlet. The report shall also identify disposal options at existing
DOE disposal facilities, including facilities designated for disposal of federal wastes,
for Class B and Class C radioactive waste lacking other disposal options prior to
operation of the Greater-than-Class C disposal facility described in Section (a).

3 (B) ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES.—Before

4 the Secretary makes a final decision on the dis-

5 posal alternative or alternatives to be imple-

6 mented, the Secretaryt shall—

7 (i) submit to Congress a report that

8 describes all alternatives under consider-

9 ation, including all information required in

10 the comprehensive report making rec-

11 ommendations for ensuring the safe dis-

12 posal of all greater-than-Class C low-level

13 radioactive waste that was submitted by

14 the Secretary to Congress in February

15 1987 and Class B and Class C low-level radioactive waste that has no other
disposal outlet; and

16 (ii) await action by Congress.

17 (2) SHORT-TERM PLAN FOR RECOVERY AND

18 STORAGE.—

19 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
20 days after the date of enactment of this Act,
21 the Secretary shall submit to Congress a plan

July 27, 2005

O:\END\EPAC306.xml (file 7 of 19)

S.L.C.

599

1 to ensure the continued recovery and storage of
2 greater-than-Class C low-level radioactive sealed
3 sources that pose a security threat until a per-
4 manent disposal facility is available.

5 (B) CONTENTS.—The plan shall address
6 estimated cost, resource, and facility needs.