## **HLWYM HEmails**

From:	James Winterle
Sent:	Thursday, March 15, 2007 6:56 PM
To:	Hakan Basagaoglu; <b>Hand Hakan</b>
Cc:	Randall Fedors; James Mancillas; Osvaldo Pensado; David Pickett
Subject:	RE: Problem with Test #1
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Hakan,

This is a known artifact of the source term model of the code. In any single realization the difference between 10ky, 100ky, and 100ky might be significant, but is is always in a manner that is conservative such that the longer times result in an overestimated dose. While the differences were significant in the plot you showed for a single realization, what we really need to assess is whether this difference is substantial in a 500 realization run. I see that Test 1 in your validation report says to check this but there is no requirement to check this in the validation plan scope for this task. Osvaldo should be checking this for the S-2 system level test, so you might consider referring to those results. Or, if you can confirm that this will be tested by the system-level team, you may consider deleting Test 1 from your planned test cases.

I think you are doing a good job of getting familiar with this code.

--Jim

-----Original Message----- **From:** hbasagaoglu [mailto:hbasagaoglu@cnwra.swri.edu] **Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2007 4:24 PM **To:** jwinterle@cnwra.swri.edu; **C:** rwf@nrc.gov; 'James Mancillas'; Osvaldo Pensado **Subject:** Problem with Test #1

All,

It looks like the model results (the total doses and infiltration) vary with the simulatin length chosen. Please see the plots in the attached file and let me know of your thoughts.

Should I move to the next validation test? or should I wait until this problem gets fixed?

Thanks,

Hakan

Hearing Identifier: Email Number:	HLW_YuccaMountain_Hold_ 1450	_EX
Mail Envelope Proper	ties (016601c76755\$13056	3430\$a5c8a281)
Subject: Sent Date: Received Date: From:	RE: Problem with Test #1 3/15/2007 6:55:49 PM 3/15/2007 6:55:49 PM James Winterle	
Created By:	jwinterle@cnwra.swri.edu	
Tracking Status: None "Osvaldo Pensado" <op Tracking Status: None "David Pickett" <dpicke Tracking Status: None</dpicke </op 	ancillas@cnwra.swri.edu> pensado@cnwra.swri.edu>	>
Post Office:	cnwra.swri.edu	
<b>Files</b> MESSAGE	<b>Size</b> 1539	Date & Time 3/15/2007 6:55:49 PM
Options Priority: Return Notification: Reply Requested: Sensitivity: Expiration Date: Recipients Received:	Standard No No Normal Follow up	