NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Materials Inspection and Testing, Inc. 3807 Goshen Road Fort Wayne, Indiana 46818 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**Region III** **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-18173	13-16961-02	March 31, 2009

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- [x] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- [ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- [ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- [ ] 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren	[signature]	3/31/09

KGP

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Materials Inspection and Testing, Inc. REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**NRC Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-18173	13-16961-02	March 31, 2009

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	03.01 – 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03121	5	Timothy J. Reams, RSO	260-489-1567

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: March 2014

[ ] Field Office

[ ] Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was a civil engineering company which performed soil compaction and moisture testing at road and construction sites in the local and surrounding counties. The licensee possessed and used four gauges as described on the license. The gauges were used approximately 3 to 4 days weekly during the construction season, from May through October, and approximately once weekly during the winter. The gauges were secured in accordance with 10 CFR 30.34(i) both in the licensee's facility and in vehicles. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on the gauges. The licensee employed four gauge users who had completed gauge training, either the manufacturer's course or an equivalent course. The licensee knew where to obtain a survey instrument if needed during an emergency situation.

Performance Observations

The gauges were not in use at the time of the inspection. The inspector observed gauge storage at the licensee's facility, and licensee personnel demonstrated gauge use and transport and described emergency procedures. The inspector identified no concerns with these activities. Interviews with licensee personnel indicated adequate knowledge of radiation safety procedures and policies. Surveys of the gauge storage area indicated radiation levels appropriate for unrestricted areas and consistent with licensee postings.