NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Fort Wayne Cardiovascular Imaging, LLC 625 West Berry Street Fort Wayne, Indiana REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**Region III** **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37753	13-32694-01	March 30, 2009

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- [x] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- [ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- [ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

  _____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- [ ] 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
  (Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren	[signature]	3/30/09

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Fort Wayne Cardiovascular Imaging, LLC REPORT NUMBER(S) 2009-001	**NRC Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37753	13-32694-01	March 30, 2009

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87130	03.01 – 03.08

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
02201	5	Tom Ryan, M.D., RSO	260-484-4700

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: March 2014

[ ] Field Office

[ ] Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

This was the initial inspection of activities performed under this license. The clinic began licensed operations in August 2008.

The licensee was a cardiology clinic located in Fort Wayne, Indiana, which treated patients primarily from the local county. Licensee had authorization to perform procedures using byproduct materials under 10 CFR 35.200. Licensed activities were conducted only at the location indicated on the license. The nuclear medicine department was staffed with one full-time nuclear medicine technologist, who typically administered around 75 diagnostic doses monthly. Doses were exclusively technetium-99m for cardiac stress tests, received as unit doses from a licensed radiopharmacy. All waste was disposed by decay in storage or returned to the radiopharmacy.

Performance Observations

The inspector was unable to observe any administrations of licensed material because no patients were being treated at the time of the inspection. The technologist demonstrated dose calibrator constancy, wipe counter and survey meter QC, package receipt surveys and wipes, and dose preparation and administration, and explained daily and weekly contamination surveys and waste disposal. The inspector identified no issues with these activities. Interviews with licensee nursing staff indicated adequate knowledge of radiation safety. Surveys indicated appropriate radiation levels in restricted and unrestricted areas, consistent with licensee survey records and postings.