Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

Southern Nuclear Operating Company

Limited Oral Appearances

Docket Number:

52-011-ESP, 52-025/026-COL

ASLBP Number:

07-850-01-ESP-BD01

09-0873-01-COL-BD01

Location:

Waynesboro, Georgia

Date:

Sunday, March 22, 2009

Work Order No.:

NRC-2723

Pages 1-68

DOCKETED USNRC

March 31, 2009 (8:00am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

Template Sury-032

NEAL R. GROSS

!	
1	Sunday, March 22, 2009
2	Augusta Technical College
3	Waynesboro/Burke Campus
4	Auditorium
5	216 Highway 24 South
6	Waynesboro, Georgia
7	
8	BEFORE:
.9	G. PAUL BOLLWERK, Chair, Administrative Judge
10	NICHOLAS G. TRIKOUROS, Administrative Judge
11	DR. JAMES F. JACKSON, Administrative Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

. 1	APPEARANCES:
2	On Behalf of the Applicant:
3	CHAD A. PILCHER, ESQ.
4	of: Balch & Bingham LLP
5	1710 Sixth Avenue North
6	Birmingham, Alabama 35203
7	(205) 226-8731
8	FAX 488-5736
9	cpilcher@balch.com
10	
11	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
12	SARAH W. PRICE, ESQ.
13	Office of the General Counsel
14	Mail Stop - 0-15 D21
15	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
16	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
17	(301) 415-2047
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT:
20	MARK NOTICH, Nuclear Regulatory Commission
21	BOBBIE PAUL, Georgia Women's Action for
22	New Directions
23	CHUCK PIERCE, Southern Nuclear Operating
24	Company
25	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

3:06 p.m.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Good afternoon. I'd like to begin this afternoon by introducing ourselves.

In accord with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act and the regulations of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, we are three administrative judges appointed to sit as members of two Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards to conduct adjudicatory proceedings in connection with

1) the August 2006 application of Southern Nuclear Operating Company or Southern under Appendix A of Part 52 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or the CFR, for an Early Site Permit, or ESP, for two nuclear power reactor units employing the Westinghouse Electric Corporation AP-1000 Advanced Passive Pressurized Water Reactor Certified Design at the existing two-unit Vogtle Electric Generating Plant site near Waynesboro, Georgia;

and 2) Southern's March 2008 application under Appendix C of Part 52 for a Combined License, or COL, for these same two facilities.

To my right is Judge Nicholas Trikouros.

Judge Trikouros, a nuclear engineer, is a full-time
member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

To my left is Dr. James Jackson. Judge

Jackson is a nuclear engineer and a part-time member

of the Panel.

My name is Paul Bollwerk. I'm an attorney

and the Chairman of these Licensing Boards.

With regard to this afternoon's session, I should explain that as part of our function relative to both the Vogtle ESP and COL proceedings, we are here to entertain oral limited appearance statements from members of the public in accordance with Section 2.315(a) of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations. So there will be a common understanding about what is involved in the ESP and COL adjudicatory proceedings and with respect to the limited appearance statement process, I'd like to take a few minutes to provide some background about both.

An ESP, which is a special type of NRC permit, is categorized as a partial construction permit under Section 52.21 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Its issuance however does not authorize an applicant to construct a nuclear power reactor. Rather, the focus of an ESP is the suitability of the proposed site for such a facility.

As a consequence, the Vogtle Units 3 and 4 ESP application involves various Atomic Energy Act-

NEAL R. GROSS REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

.1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Act-related environmental protection matters, as well as the facility plan for coping with emergencies.

related site safety and National Environmental Policy

2006 As result of а December intervention petition filed in the ESP proceeding by Center for a Sustainable Coast, Savannah the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Riverkeeper, Atlanta Women's Action for New Directions and Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League currently before the Licensing Board in what we generally refer to as the contested portion of the ESP proceeding are issues regarding facility cooling system impacts on the Savannah River aquatic resources, implementing a dry cooling system as a design alternative to currently proposed wet cooling water system, and the impacts of dredging the Savannah River to permit reactor components to be barred from the proposed new facilities during construction.

Last week, we conducted a four-day evidentiary hearing on these contested matters at the Doubletree Hotel and Convention Center in Augusta, Georgia. Following the submission of briefs by Southern, the joint interveners and the NRC staff outlining their positions regarding the factual and legal issues associated with these contested items,

NEAL R. GROSS

the Board currently is scheduled to issue a decision on those matters in June of 2009.

Additionally, in accord with the Agency's regulations, beginning tomorrow morning at 8:30 a.m. in this room and continuing through Wednesday of this week, this Board is scheduled to conduct a so-called mandatory or uncontested hearing regarding the Southern ESP application. During that hearing, the Board will consider whether with respect to those safety and environmental matters associated with the Southern ESP application that are not the subject of contested issues properly raised by joint interveners. The NRC staff performed an adequate Atomic Energy Act and National Environmental Policy Act review of the Southern Early Site Permit application, and made findings relative to that review with reasonable support in fact and logic.

In contrast to the contested hearing held last week, as the only parties to the ESP mandatory hearing, the NRC staff and Applicant Southern are slated to make presentations regarding the sufficiency of the Southern ESP application and the NRC staff's final environmental impact statement with a particular focus on several subject matter areas including water use impacts, radiological impacts, environmental

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

impacts of alternatives, the limited work authorization and site redress plan, the deferral of any issues from the ESP proceedings to the ongoing Combined License process, seismic evaluation, ground water impacts on safety-related structures, the site emergency plan, severe accident mitigation design alternatives, proposed NRC staff conditions on the early site permit, and the impact on the ESP application of pending revisions 16 and 17 to the AP-1000 design certification.

With regard to the ESP proceeding, any issues that are resolved in favor of Southern generally would not be subject to re-litigation in the subsequent Vogtle Units 3 and 4 Combined License proceeding, the focus of which is whether Southern should be authorized to actually construct and operate the two additional reactors at the existing Vogtle Electric Generating Plant site. Regarding the Combined License proceeding, the Southern COL application requests Agency authorization to both construct and operate the two new power reactor units.

Consistent with NRC requirements in 10 CFR Part 52, a COL application must contain information on some 45 individual items including a facility design description, a description and analysis of the

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

facility's structures, systems and components, safety and severe accident prevention functions mitigation performance, the control and limitation of radioactive effluence and radiation exposures, and a description and analysis of facility measures addressing matters fire protection, such as pressurized thermal shock, station blackout, environmental qualification of electrical equipment, primary containment leakage rate testing, reactor vessel maintenance surveillance, operator training, maintenance, quality assurance, fitness-for-duty, anticipated transients without SCRAM, and criticality The application must also describe the accidents. inspections, test, analyses and acceptance criteria, also known as ITAAC, or I-T-A-A-C, that are necessary to ensure that the plant has been properly constructed and will operate safely.

And if the application references a standard design certification, such as the AP-1000 design referenced in the Southern COL application for the proposed Vogtle facilities, the Applicant must successfully satisfy the ITAAC or the certified design and the site-specific design features prior to facility operations. Further, if the COL application references an ESP as is the case with the Vogtle COL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

granted.

4

<u>.</u>-5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Currently admitted for litigation before

the Board in the COL proceedings is a contested issue

raised by the same five joint interveners -- the

application, the Applicant must demonstrate that the

design of the facility is compatible with the ESP as

Center for a Sustainable Coast, Savannah Riverkeeper,

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Atlanta Women's

Action for New Directions and Blue Ridge Environmental

Defense League. In their November 2008 hearing

petition concerning the purported omission from

Southern's COL application of sufficient details

regarding low-level radioactive waste storage in the

face of the recent closure of the Barnwell, South

Carolina Low-Level Waste Disposal Facility to such

waste from Vogtle and most other power reactor

facilities.

Additionally, and regardless of the admissability of any of joint interveners' COL contentions as is the case in the ESP proceeding, the Agency must conduct a separate, mandatory hearing concerning the Southern COL application for proposed Vogtle Units 3 and 4. That hearing, to which only Southern and the staff would be parties would deal with matters other than those admitted for litigation

before this Board, and would provide the basis for required health and safety, environmental and common defense and security findings associated with the Combined License application and the staff's Atomic Energy Act and National Environmental Policy Act reviews of that application.

Under current Agency policy, in contrast to the ESP mandatory hearing, the mandatory hearing for the Vogtle Units 3 and 4 Combined License application will be conducted by the five-member Commission rather than by this Licensing Board.

This in a nutshell describes the NRC adjudicatory process relating to this proceeding. And this naturally prompts the question what then are the limited appearances in which the Board has invited public participation.

Under Section 2.315(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, the Board has the discretion to entertain from any person who is not a party a written or oral statement of his or her position on the issues in the proceeding. This provision, which was first adopted as part of the Agency's Hearing Rules back in 1962, recognizes there is need to provide an opportunity for input from members of the public who despite not having sought party status have an

notice scheduling today's session here in Waynesboro,

oral limited appearance statements which will be

transcribed and placed into the official Agency docket

for this proceeding are intended as an opportunity for

members of the public to express their views and may

help the Board and the parties in their consideration

of the issues in this proceeding, both with respect to

the contested and uncontested or mandatory hearing

aspects of this proceeding. Indeed, as you can see,

like the Board, the parties to both those proceedings

are here to listen to what is being said this

now to have the representatives of the parties

identify themselves for the record. Why don't we

that would like to represent them? I know they said

Why don't you come on up?

Right over here. There's a table for you.

begin with the joint interveners?

they were going to have someone.

In this regard, I'd like to take a second

Do the joint interveners have anybody here

interest in the subject matter of the proceeding.

As we indicated in the February 4, 2009

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

MS. PAUL: I'm a little underdressed. JUDGE BOLLWERK: That's all right. That's

afternoon.

NEAL R. GROSS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

www.nealrgross.com

Have a seat.

(202) 234-4433

21

1	no problem.
2	If you could then introduce yourself for
3	the record. And obviously you're going to have to
4	speak loudly so our court reporter can hear you.
5	MS. PAUL: My name is Bobbie Paul. And
6	I'm the Executive Director of now Georgia WAND,
7	formerly Atlanta WAND, which is Women's Action for New
8	Directions.
9	JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you very much.
10	How about Southern, please? And again,
11	you need to speak loudly.
L2	MR. PILCHER: Your Honor, Chad Pilcher
Ĺ3	here on behalf of the Applicant. And to my right is
L4	Mr. Chuck Pierce from Southern Nuclear.
15	JUDGE BOLLWERK: All right.
16	Then the NRC staff, please?
L7	MS. PRICE: Sarah Price with the NRC
18	Office of the General Counsel, and Mr. Mark Notich.
L9	JUDGE BOLLWERK: Sarah Price and Mark
20	Notich. Okay. Thank you very much.
21	All right. Thank you.
22	And in this regard, let me emphasize again
23	that this is an opportunity to hear from interested
24	members of the public. Consequently, the
25	representatives of the admitted parties will not be

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

making statements here this afternoon. Rather like the Board, they are here to listen.

Finally, let me say just a word about the procedure for making a statement. We had a number of individuals who pre-registered and will be afforded an opportunity to speak first at this session. we've heard from those who have pre-registered but have not previously addressed the Board, we will move on to anyone who registers here this afternoon.

For anyone who did not pre-register but wishes to make a statement, on the table in the back. there's a sheet to write your name and affiliation if any. And if you've already done that, we have the sheets. You don't need to re-register again. But if there's anyone here that didn't pre-register or didn't register when they came in, the sheets are back on the table back there with Ms. Ashley Prange who'd be glad to help you out.

We will collect these sheets from time and time and call the speakers in order as they sign in. If you did not pre-register, you must sign in if you wish to speak. I would add that if you did preregister, you don't need to sign in, although if you've already done so we have your name.

Additionally, if you wish to provide your

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

views to the Board but don't wish to make an oral statement, there are sheets on the table in the back that you can complete and leave in the box or the folder on the table. These written limited appearance statements will be reviewed by the Board Members and placed in the Agency's official docket for the proceeding as well.

With regard to the oral statements, we will keep a watch on the time each speaker is taking, and will advise you when you need to conclude your remarks.

For this session, given the number of preregistrations and the size of the audience presently, we'll begin by permitting statements up to five minutes. If however we see that the list of speakers is growing, we reserve the right to shorten the time allotted for each presentation to ensure that everyone who wishes to speak has an opportunity to do so.

Finally, as a matter of courtesy, it's important to allow the Board and the parties to hear fully the remarks of each speaker without intrusions. Accordingly, we would ask that you respect each individual's right to address the Board by not unduly interrupting with verbal comments or other sounds either supporting or opposing the viewpoint being

1 |

espoused.

Also, as a matter of courtesy to the speakers and to the Board, all cell phones should be turned off or placed on vibrate, and any cell phone conversations should be conducted outside this room.

With that explanation, let's begin with our first speaker. And in that regard, to ensure that things move along smoothly, we're going to announce several names and would ask that the additional speakers who are in the on-deck circle, so to speak, come up to the vicinity of the podium so they can begin their remarks promptly after the preceding speaker is finished.

With all that said, let's begin with our first speaker, Mr. William John Hummel, who will be followed by Curtis A. Barton and Glenn Carroll. And it's H-U-M-M-E-L.

MR. HUMMEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

My name is William Hummel. And I'm here speaking today on behalf of the Clean and Safe Energy Coalition.

CASEnergy is a grass roots organization dedicated to informing the public of the benefits of nuclear technology. Our Coalition, comprised of over 1800 individuals, elected officials and organizational

NEAL R. GROSS

members is led by our two co-chairs, former New Jersey Governor and EPA Administrator Christie Todd Whitman, and Greenpeace founder and former leader Dr. Patrick Moore. We support construction of these new reactors, and are actively engaged in generating a public dialogue to inform others about the ways nuclear power enhances America's energy security and economic growth, helps obtain cleaner air and improves the quality of life, health and economic well-being for all Americans.

Consider that today all renewable sources produce two percent of our electricity while nuclear power accounts for 20 percent. That's one in every five homes and businesses in the United States. fact, already nuclear power provides about 20 percent of electricity generation in Georgia.

With electricity demands expected to increase 25 percent nationally by 2030, the United States needs more nuclear power if it want's to keep up with our energy needs. As technology advances, our economy expands and our population increases, so too will our need for energy go.

Is it any wonder? It used to be that the only thing we carried that used electricity was a

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

_

watch. Now laptops, cell phones, Blackberrys, iPods all require electricity to recharge. And in the not-too-distant future, we may be driving cars powered by fuel cells that will also have to be plugged in.

How can we handle this enormous increase in electricity that we will need? Conservation and more efficient electrical appliances will help. And a deeper commitment to renewable sources such as wind, solar and geothermal is needed. But greater conservation and renewable energy don't provide the base load power we require to ensure that the lights go on any time we flip the switch. The reality is we will require more of these sources and all others near the head. If the housing crisis in this country has shown us anything, it is that sound economic policy must recognize the virtue of diversity. So too must a wise energy policy.

And in that diverse plan, nuclear energy is a critical component. Nuclear energy is clean. It is the only large-scale emissions-free source of electricity that we can readily expand to meet our growing energy demand. The environmental impact of nuclear plants is far lower than at many other types of power-generating plants.

Nuclear power is safe. In fact, the U.S.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

Bureau of Labor Statistics has shown that it is safer to work at a nuclear power plant than at a manufacturing center and even in the real estate or financial industries. Additionally, you would have to live near a nuclear power plant for more than 2,000 years to get the same amount of radiation exposure that you would receive from a single diagnostic x-ray.

With rising energy costs a concern for every American, nuclear energy is an affordable and reliable economic choice for electricity. Nuclear power has the lowest production costs of the major sources of electricity. Nuclear plants are more efficient on the electricity grid, and their costs are more predictable than many other energy sources.

Plus a nuclear power plant makes a good neighbor. It supports high-paying jobs directly at the plant, generates additional jobs in the community where it's located, and contributes to helping building good schools, roads and other civic improvements.

We all have a shared stake in America's energy future. And now is the time for our country to build more nuclear power plants to enable us to generate electricity with a clean, safe and dependable source of power.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you very much.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you, sir, for coming and speaking with us this afternoon.

The next speaker would be Curtis Barton, followed by Glenn Carroll, and then Adele Kushner.

MR. BARTON: Thank you. My name is Curtis

Barton, and I'm here today as a representative of the

Lake Hartwell Association.

Lake Hartwell Association is a group of approximately 2,000 businesses and family members in the Hartwell Lake area. We are not a homeowners' association. Our mission is to protect the water influence positive resources and growth and Lake Hartwell development around the lake. Association has concerns about the operation of additional nuclear reactors at the Vogtle site which we believe must be addressed before NRC issues an operating permit for the facility.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company is proposing to withdraw cooling water for the new reactors from the Savannah River. The two new reactors will require that up to an additional 83 million gallons per day be pumped from the river, and 41.6 million gallons per day would be returned to the river. The balance of 41.6 million gallons per day

would be evaporated in the cooling towers into the atmosphere. When fully operational, the four reactors—will normally consume 3.4 percent of the river flow at drought level 3 flow conditions -- 3800 cubic feet per second -- and 1.5 percent at average flow conditions.

This consumptive use of the Savannah River will have a negative impact on Lake Hartwell during drought conditions.

But Lake Hartwell Association is not opposed to the operation of nuclear-generating facilities. Assuming the proposed power generation increase is required to meet regional demands, we believe that nuclear power generation will have the least adverse environmental impacts of the viable alternatives. If a nuclear-generating plant is not constructed, a coal-fired plant with all the intended environmental issues would most likely be built to satisfy these needs.

But Lake Hartwell Association believes that the reactor design for the Vogtle project must include the best available technology for reducing water consumption. Water use is critical during drought conditions, and any significant consumptive use will impact lake levels as well as other critical basin water demands. Nuclear reactor technology is

available which can significantly reduce water

consumption for cooling purposes. SNC has proposed

that Vogtle Units 3 and 4 be based on currently

certified Westinghouse AP-1000 reactor design.

AREVA Nuclear Power has applied for NRC design certification of their Evolutionary Power Reactor which may reduce cooling water requirements significantly, perhaps as much as 50 percent. Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Plant in Maryland has applied for a Combined Operation License based on this design. LHA believes that certified technology with the least impact on the lake should be utilized.

The environmental studies done by SNC and the environmental impact statement subsequently issued by NRC did not address impacts to the Savannah River above Augusta, Georgia. Based on drought-flow conditions which have occurred in the upper Savannah River basin over the last two years, additional water withdrawals below the reservoirs will negatively impact lake levels and will have adverse environmental impacts above Augusta.

We believe the environmental report prepared by SNC should be revised to include the entire Savannah River system, and the environmental impact statement by NRC should also be revised.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Decisions regarding an operating license should be made only after this is completed.

During the construction phase of this project, large parts of the reactors will be shipped to the site by barge on the Savannah River. The Corps of Engineers has stated earlier that dredging of the river channel will not be required. However, barge traffic will require a river flow significantly above drought-flow levels. LHA believes that during drought conditions, releases from Thurmond should not be increased to support barge traffic. This also should be addressed in the SNC environmental report and in the NRC environmental impact statement.

LHA does not believe that reasonable decisions regarding water allocation and use can be made in the absence of factual information and data. Neither the States of Georgia and South Carolina nor the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have ever studied or fully assessed current and future water demands throughout the Savannah River basin.

To satisfy the needs, the following two important actions need to be taken: assessment of water needs and demands in the Savannah River basin for the next 20 to 50 years, and 2) agreement between Georgia and South Carolina on water allocations to

satisfy these demands.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A comprehensive water resource management study prepared by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was to address overall water issues in the Savannah River Basin. Phase one of this study has been completed. The second phase of the study has not been completed due to lack of funding. LHA believes that phase two should be completed to facilitate decisions on basin water use. If SNC wishes to expedite the permitting process, LHA recommends that they fund phase two of this study.

LHA believes that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers drought contingency plan is inadequate to deal with current and future forecast of drought conditions in the upper Savannah River basin. revised plan with guidelines for operation and management of Thurmond, Russell and Hartwell Lakes needs to be developed. This plan must include conservative assumptions for future climate change, must satisfy the needs of all current and future users, will require adaptive and management techniques.

An operating permit for building Units 3 and 4 should not be issued until it is determined that adequate water is available during drought conditions,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

or as an alternative the permit should require reactor 2 shutdown during specific drought conditions. In conclusion, LHA is requesting that the 3 NRC delay the issuance of any further permits for the 4 proposed Vogtle expansion until sufficient information 5 6 is available to make sound and reasonable decisions regarding the impact of the project on the Savannah 7 River and the need for mitigation of any environmental 8 9 impacts. 10 Thank you. 11 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you, sir, for coming and giving us your views this afternoon. 12 The next speaker would be Glenn Carroll --13 14 C-A-R-R-O-L-L, then Adele Kushner. and then Wayne 15 Hickman. 16 MS. CARROLL: Hello. My name is Glenn I'm with Nuclear Watch South. 17 I want to thank you for holding a hearing 18 19 on a Sunday afternoon which makes it a lot easier for 20 regular folks to come out. I want to thank our friends at the environmental groups who are conducting 21 the intervention for successfully raising many issues 22 23 which I think is also a testimony to your sensitivity 24 in hearing these issues. And we thank you because 25 we're very concerned about the new nuclear proposal.

I want you to appreciate my sense -- to share with you that this is a new day. Yucca Mountain looks pretty good. Obama's planting an organic garden on E Street.

I want to tell you a little story. Some friends in Florida called. I actually haven't ever met them, but they had heard that we had intervened a couple of times at the NRC and wanted advice about whether they should intervene in Florida. And I described very factually that it is an arduous process. It's very precise. It also sets deadlines on you whether you like it or not, and can be very expensive, very time consuming, blah blah blah. And I described in accurate detail who all the parties are.

And when I got around to the Atomic Safety Licensing Board being a body from the NRC, she goes isn't that a kangaroo court. And I said well, maybe. I haven't actually looked it up yet. But I did assert that although only one license to my knowledge has ever been denied, not a good track record in my book.

But many, many good things come out of the process. Many improvements come out of the process.

And I told her I thought it was worthwhile, but that she really did need to think carefully because it's a

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

hard path.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then I saw this article -- maybe you all say it too -- in the New York Times this week about -- I think it was about the Department of It was about bombs. Energy. It was about Yucca It's kind of all over the map. realized in that that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission was actually founded only two years before my group was founded in 1975. And I guess I put the NRC -- you know -- really up there -- really the great white father, so to speak -- you know -- monolithic, up there, know it all, can't be reached -- you know. And then I intervened at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and I do believe in the process.

It's just kind of a neat feeling. And it kind of reinforced something that I maybe wouldn't have spoken about but I actually had a dream last night. I had a dream. And we were all in this room together. It was darker. And there's better art work. But I had the sense we are working together. We are working together.

And this is big. I mean, maybe it's not a problem to everybody. It's a big deal. We all recognize that it is a big deal. This is ferocious energy and has to be handled right.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

. 3

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 BHODE ISLAND AVE. N.W.

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

that we're working together on this. And it's kind of a new day. I mean, that's only like 34 -- you're 34 years old.

And I thought that's good -- you know --

And that brings me to my next point that we haven't really finished this process. And that's what really grieves me a lot.

I mean, first off, let me back up a minute and say if ever there was a reason to reject for number two of a license, let this be it. We've got all these serious issues. We're broke -- you know. This is depending on federal money. We're broke. We don't need it.

They're projecting a 35 percent increase in energy use while -- you know -- booking about a six percent downturn in the third quarter. And the environmental impact is untenable in my view. But we don't even have a complete application. Can we at least throw it back and get a complete application?

And then that brings me to my final point and it's that we're young, and we're setting in through a young industry. And we're young environmental groups and young regulatory bodies. And we haven't got a nuclear waste solution. And the repository's looking bad. And so I wanted to suggest

that you find in the protocol at the NRC where you can 1 2 reject a license and help us get something going with 3 the nuclear waste. And along those lines, I'd like to submit 4 for the record Principles for Safeguarding Nuclear 5 Waste at Reactors. And this outlines hardening waste 6 7 in dry cask storage, getting out of fuel pools that are vulnerable terrorism and sabotage 8 to and accidental fires at a level that dry cask isn't. 9 10 it's very simple. You can see the point or a page and a half. And then you've got 120 environmental groups 11 12 from all over the country who have signed on to these simple principles. And I hope this can help frame our 13 collective work towards safeguarding nuclear waste. 14 15 Thank you very much. 16 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you very much for 17 coming. Why don't you give a copy to Ms. Bu, our 18 And we'll make sure it gets put in --19 law clerk. 20 right over there. 21 Thank you very much for coming this 22 afternoon. The next speaker is Adele Kushner -- K-U-23 H-N-E-R, then Wayne Hickman, and then Mal McKibben. 24 25 MS. KUSHNER: I hope you can hear me. My

voice is not very strong.

I would like -- I think I'm probably the oldest person in the room, and I will take advantage of that to go back in history a little bit -- way back before we had any kind of nuclear plants or radioactive waste or any such thing. Water was heated by putting a tank on the roof. And all throughout the sun belt -- Georgia and Florida and of course, the Southwest -- people got hot water just by letting the tank of water sit out there and get hot. It's very simple. I know we can't back. Okay.

At that time, there was plenty of water in the rivers. Savannah River was a mighty river, plenty of water for anything from irrigation and fishing and the whole deal. And the climate was pretty stable relatively. Of course, we had tornadoes. But -- you know. Anyway, we didn't have to worry about terrorists. We didn't have to worry about radioactive waste.

Okay. Now let's move on to the future. I didn't bring my crystal ball, but it was in my other suit or something. Anyway, I see now we're faced with diminishing water in the river. Now this water is essential for cooling nuclear plants. And as that water diminishes -- and it's already diminishing from

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

what I hear -- and that's near Augusta. There's not as much flow as there used to be. What's going to happen? How is the plant going to be cooled? I have not heard an answer to that one. Okay? We know that we can get energy from sun, from the wind -- you know. There's a wind mill. We're going to have those also. No fuel to worry about. No charge. This is freebies. And if you could just capture them and put them to work. we should be paying more attention to that. Where are you going to put the waste? I

see in the future it's going to be piling up a long, long time. You don't know what to do with it. Yucca Mountain's not going to take it. It just gets more and more. It has to be guarded.

It's not something you can just ignore. This is dangerous stuff. I mean, it could be -- I don't know -- it's a terrorist's bomb. It could just be giving off more radiation as time goes on. And it gives off heat. Again, you've got to have some way to cool all this down. We've got problems.

I think another thing that bothers a whole lot of people I know is we're being asked to pay in advance for construction of this huge plant. there's never been a nuclear plant I know of that has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

come in on budget and on schedule. It just doesn't happen. This cost is going to go up. And from what I've seen, there's no limit to how long the payments are going to go on because you don't know how much money's going to be involved. Now this is demanding a lot.

What it does, it makes me -- it's set up

What it does, it makes me -- it's set up to the rate payer. It makes me an investor. I am being asked to contribute to this -- all this expense -- with no endings in sight.

What do I get? I get radioactive waste. I get to worry about terrorists. I mean, I don't like this bargaining. And I'm not sure -- how do I get out? I can't. It's legal. I don't like a process that makes me an investor with no investment return.

This is not how it's supposed to operate. This is -- I don't know -- this is -- you're going to be left with an impaired environment, rivers with less water, extra heat to be cooled down. I don't see that we're getting much ahead. And I wish someone would tell me how this is going to improve my rub. So anytime anyone wants to tell me that, thank you very much.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming in

NEAL R. GROSS

and speaking with us this afternoon. We appreciate 2 it. 3 MS. KUSHNER: Thank you. JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you. 4 5 The next speaker is Wayne Hickman -- H-I-C-K-M-A-N, then Mal McKibben, and then Emma Ogley-6 7 Oliver. MR. HICKMAN: Good afternoon. My name is 8 Wayne Hickman. I'm the principal of Burke County High 9 10 School here in Waynesboro. I've been associated with Burke County all 11 12 of my life. And for the past 16 years, I've been a resident and an educator here. I've seen the positive 13 14 impact that Plant Vogtle's had on the Burke County 15 economy and the Burke County Public Schools through 16 the years. 17 I am in favor of this expansion. nothing but continued positive outcomes for 18 19 community in general, and the students of Burke County 20 in particular. Our partnership with Southern Company 21 has already provided our students with opportunities 22 they would likely have otherwise missed out on. This 23 expansion will serve only increase those opportunities. 24

I appreciate the opportunity to come and

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

share my opinion. 2 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming this 3 afternoon. The next speaker will be Mal McKibben, 4 5 then Emma Ogley-Oliver, and then Joanne Steele. 6 MR. McKIBBEN: Thank you very much for I am Mal McKibben. 7 coming. I'm the Emeritus 8 Executive Director of Citizens for Nuclear Technology 9 Awareness, or CNTA. 10 CNTA is the largest independent public, 11 private citizen-based nuclear education group on the 12 planet. And we are headquartered at Aiken. 13 We are in a position I think to say with 14 some confidence that we represent the views of the 15 citizens in this part of Georgia and South Carolina. 16 There's been lots of surveys made and other things. 17 And we also have hundreds of members who 18 professional nuclear experts. And I myself am a life-19 time nuclear professional. And for a life time is --20 that's a long time. But anyway, I am delighted to be here. 21 22 Our elected officials in Georgia and South 23 Carolina almost to a person have been very, very 24 supportive of our nuclear activities in this part of 25 the world. And we believe that CNTA is the true

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nuclear watchdog. We champion safety, and we champion environmental protection and the public's interest.

The public in Georgia and South Carolina is very comfortable with nuclear enterprises and facilities because we already have many excellent, safe nuclear facilities that have operated for quite a number of years. We have 11 nuclear power plants. We have a nuclear fuel manufacturing plant Columbia, South Carolina. In Barnwell, Carolina, we have a low-level waste repository as well a nuclear laundry which have been operated excellently. And these facilities, as I say, have operated safely with good environmental stewardship, some for many decades. We also have close to Savannah River site just across the river from Plant Vogtle. And we still have a lot of nuclear activity going on there. The power reactors and the other nuclear facilities in this part of the world not only have provided clean and green sources of electricity but have provided thousands of very good paying jobs which have improved our two states.

The arguments offered by many of the antinuclear activists in our view our largely either exaggeration or simply errors. They have grossly exaggerated in our opinion the effects of dredging in

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

temperature, and so on. And some of the statements on 2 3 health effects have simply been wrong. So we urge very strongly the approval of 4 these new reactors at Plant Vogtle. 5 They are our neighbors. And Georgia Power has operated these and 6 7 other facilities with exceptional skill and safety. So we have -- we think that Georgia Power has earned 8 9 the support of the people in this area, and in fact have earned that before. 10 11 Thank you very much. 12 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you, sir. We 13 appreciate you coming out and speaking with us this 14 afternoon. 15 The next speaker would be Emma Ogley-16 Oliver. It's O-G-L-E-Y -- if I'm reading this 17 correctly -- dash O-L-I-V-E-R; and then Joanne Steele. 18 MS. OGLEY-OLIVER: Thank you very much for 19 We really appreciate you just opening up your time. 20 this forum for us to come out and talk about our 21 concerns. 22 We demand that every community, every county, every city in Georgia has a strong local 23 We need strong local economies to provide 24 economy. 25 all people the necessities for a good life.

the river, of the effects of water purity

strong local economy requires that we propose strong business plans. New nuclear does not have a strong business plan. Yes, we need good schools, good jobs, and good amenities, but at what price? Let's think about it. New nuclear energy will produce even more toxic waste that we have sitting at existing reactor sites. New nuclear energy will produce more radiation that will be emitted on a daily basis. New nuclear energy will suck even more water from the Savannah River, something we cannot afford. Water is a finite resource. The two existing reactors already consume

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New nuclear energy will put Vogtle workers and surrounding residents at an increased risk for a potentially deadly outcome due to inadequate emergency. planning that is currently in place. New nuclear energy will cost rate payers a lot of money.

the same amount of water as Atlanta, Savannah and

You may laugh. A lot of money sounds a bit flaky. But that's what we're dealing with. don't know how much two new reactors will cost. Back in the '80s, we were supposed to get four reactors at the discount price of \$606 million. We ended up

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Augusta combined.

38 paying a whopping \$8 billion at least for just two 1 reactors in 1987 and 1989. We can only imagine how much two reactors will cost in this market, a market in which we do not produce reactor components. Does this sound like a strong business And private investors would agree. plan? No. Private investors are not willing to invest in new nuclear because they know the return on investment sucks.

So how do we propose to pay for a new nuclear power plant's new nuclear reactors? They'll pay, right? Why should we pay for payers. something that the free market will not support?

We'd be willing to pay for something that would provide good schools, good jobs and amenities for Waynesboro and the surrounding area, but only if locals are kept safe from a radioactive emergency, able to support businesses that conserve our finite water resources, able to drink our local water and enjoy food from their lands, able to breathe air without a radioactive component.

But this is not only a local issue. entire State of Georgia and the surrounding states will be affected by new nuclear energy. For instance, all Georgians will have to pay exorbitant amounts of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 6

.

their hard-earned money to pay for this bad business proposition. All Georgians will be affected by water that is sucked from the Savannah River to cool the reactors. All Georgians will be affected when toxic waste is transported through the state to their destination at surrounding nuclear facilities.

Why are we proposing to make more nuclear waste when we don't have a solution for the existing waste? Why should we have to live with the radiation that is emitted daily from the reactors? Why should we have to live with inadequate emergency plans? Why should we have to live with the risk of a potential meltdown, which based on statistical models of probability, we are way overdue. And finally, why should we have to pay exorbitant amounts of money to experience all of these risks?

New nuclear is a social, environmental and economic justice issue. All we want are good jobs, good schools and everyday amenities that allow us to enjoy the good life. Why should we have to sacrifice our right to social, environmental and economic justice.

I agree with Mr. Hummel. Nuclear is predictable. It's not a good plan.

Renewable sources of energy given the

NEAL R. GROSS

chance, a.k.a., given the amount of money that we pump into nuclear, would provide us with a strong local 2 3 Renewable energy would give us the jobs, schools and necessities to our life that we need to 4 5 live that we should be able to live. Nuclear is reckless spending regarding our 6 7 social, environmental and economic resources. 8 Thank you very much. JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming this 9 10 afternoon. The next speaker is Joanne Steele -- S-T-11 12 E-L-E. 13 MS. STEELE: Thank you for hearing my 14 comments. 15 Two weeks ago I was in Takoma Park, Maryland, and Washington, D.C. as part of a carbon-16 17 free, nuclear-free alliance working group. And many 18 nongovernmental organizations focused 19 efficient, renewable energy from across the United States are members of this alliance. 20 21 We're supporting the roadmap laid out by 22 Dr. Arjun Makhijani's book, Carbon Free and Nuclear 23 Free: A Roadmap for U.S. Energy Policy. Our current 24 energy policy trashes our planet and mountaintops and pollutes our lands, sea and air. We have a foreign 25

policy that is a prisoner to oil and pushes for a continuation of dangerous nuclear power.

Nuclear energy is not carbon free, clean or renewable recyclable as the nuclear energy wants us to believe. The National Academy of Sciences, the Government Accountability Office and other independent organizations state the reprocessing of spent-fuel that the Department of Energy calls recycled is an environmental disaster that would cost hundreds of billions of dollars more than current nuclear energy, and it promotes proliferation by making bomb-grade uranium and plutonium very accessible compared to those materials being in spent fuel.

The current nuclear energy is not carbon free. The mining, processing and enrichment cycles are all energy intensive. Much of the energy to produce nuclear fuel rods is produced from dirty coalfired plants or other fossil fuels.

Nuclear energy is environmental racism and genocide against indigenous peoples in the American Southwest, Australia, Brazil, Canada, India and Vanuatu. The worldwide Indigenous Uranium Summit calls for a ban on all worldwide mining, milling, processing, enrichment and fuel use citing the disproportional impacts of the nuclear fuel chain on

of

time.

American

and

health, natural resources the and cultures indigenous people this critical at intensifying nuclear threats to mother earth and all life. Clean-up compensation and reclamation from mining uranium in the 1950s to the 1970s has yet to be accomplished on tribal lands in the Southwest. The nuclear industry has yet to solve the nuclear waste issue. They keep pushing making more with plans to target indigenous lands for repositories or temporary storage. Surely if more citizens knew the genocidal and costly dangers of nuclear energy, we would demand that utility our companies legislatures change course. It's time to invest in efficiency, upgrading the grid and producing clean,

Thank you.

renewable solar and wind energy.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you very much for coming and talking with us today.

At this point, we've been going about a half an hour.

I should mention if anyone came in late, we only have one working microphone which is why I'm shouting at you. It's not because I'm trying to --I'm just trying to emote here.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Why don't we take about a five-minute
2	break? If anyone would like to sign up, we have
3	another list of speakers here. But there's a sign-up
4	list in the back. You do need to put your name on the
5	sign-up list if you want to address the Board.
6	Also, if you would prefer, if you want to
7	leave comments with us but you would prefer not to
8	speak, we also have a sheet back in the back that you
. 9	can put your name on. We will get your comments that
10	way in written form.
11	So again, let's take about a five-minute
12	break until 4:00 o'clock. And then we'll reconvene.
13	We'll begin with Amanda Hiu H-I-U, then
14	Ashley Roberts, and then Betsy Rivard.
15	Thank you. Take about a five-minute
16	break.
17.	(Whereupon, at 3:57 p.m., off the record
18	until 4:03 p.m.)
19	JUDGE BOLLWERK: Everyone needs to have a
20	seat, please. If we could come to order, please.
21	After our break, our first speaker is
22	Amanda Hui H-U-I, then Ashley Roberts and Betsy
23	Rivard.
24	MS. HILL: Hi. My name is Amanda Hill.
25	I have atrocious handwriting.

JUDGE BOLLWERK: Hill. I'm sorry. I thought it was a U. It's two Ls. All right. Hill. I'm sorry.

MS. HILL: I just -- I've been trying to get a handle on this process for about two years now.

get a handle on this process for about two years now.

And I am against nuclear power as I understand it,

mainly because of the waste issue, that we don't have

a solution, that it's historically contaminated

Europe, and the human and environmental costs.

But the reason that I'm here today is because I've come to a hearing before and stated my piece, but I met a woman who lives in Shell Bluff. She lives on Nathaniel Howard Road. She's been -- you know -- fishing out of the river all her life. And her family has. Her father worked at SRS. And she lives in the community. And she drinks the private well water that's on her land, as does everybody else in her community. And there's some issue with their water.

Her mother has stomach cancer. Her brother has recently died of stomach cancer. Two members -- cousins of her family who also live on the same road -- have pancreatic cancer. A neighbor across the street on the same water district -- not related -- has died of cancer.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

.2

| | |

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

involved in it because -- you know -- she's a friend of mine. She raised this concern to the NRC and to the staff here, and basically is asking what's going on. And there's been no response to her.

So I called people that I thought might be

Now she lives in the community and if she were to continue to voice her opinion, she'd be labeled as a troublemaker. And so I'm here because I'm not afraid to raise my voice. I feel like that I pay your salaries. I am the tax-paying citizen that you're supposed to protect. So I'm very upset that the NRC does not, in my opinion, completing an independent investigation. The NRC is continually aligning with Southern Company, so much so that the paperwork is exactly the same when they submit their documents.

So my concern is why is understanding what's going on in the community that people are afraid to bring to the table? I asked the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control what could possibly be happening in this water that these issues are occurring. Because I was like is it tritium, because I know that -- you know -- SRS has tritium. I know Plant Vogtle is releasing tritium. I know that tritium is nasty stuff, and I

legal

know it's in the river. I know there's been excessive releases of the standards that are allowable. I know that Plant Vogtle does a blow-out of the tanks to release tritium. So I -- you know -- so my concern was tritium. But he said no, it's uranium. You need to test for uranium. But how is a poor family living in Shell Bluff going to test for uranium? That's not their job. It's her job to bring it to you and say there is an issue, and you need to do something. So she came to the hearing. She heard you guys grilling the biologist about the fish. And she couldn't show today. She was afraid. She didn't understand the difference between the intervention and the hearings. She doesn't understand that. So my concern is to speak for her today.

Regardless of whether you're for nuclear power or not, Savannah River site is here. It's sucking all the water, has several reactors on site. Plant Vogtle has two existing reactors. They're sucking all the water and contaminating. There's no solution for the waste.

To put two more reactors on this river is And to dredge the river of 50 years of insane. contamination that's settled at the bottom of that

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1.

2

. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

river is even more insane. 2 Thank you. JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming and 3 4 speaking with us this afternoon. 5 The next speaker would be Ashley Roberts, then Betsy Rivard, and then Jen Kato. 6 7 MS. ROBERTS: Good afternoon. My name is 8 Ashley Roberts, and I'm the Executive Director of the 9 Burke County Chamber of Commerce. And I'm here to 10 express our sincere support for the plant expansion at 11 Plant Vogtle. 12 We continue to believe that Plant Vogtle 13 provides clean, safe, reliable electricity with a low 14 environmental impact. Obviously, as populations 15 continue to grow and our urban areas expand, the 16 demand for increased electricity grows as well. 17 that need simply must be met. 18 As Georgia attempts to lure more business 19 industry to our state, resources such as 20 electrical grid capacities will continue to be vital 21 to that expansion and growth. There is no doubt that 22 Southern Company and Georgia Power are exceptional 23 corporate citizens to so many communities in our 24 We believe that Vogtle's proposed expansion state. 25

will directly benefit citizens not only in Burke

County and its neighbors but in the entire state and region. 2 3 Thank you. JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming and 4 5 addressing the Board this afternoon. The next speaker is Betsy Rivard, then Jen 6 7 Kato, and then I think it's Alfonso Andrews, if I'm reading this correctly. 8 Good afternoon. 9 MS. RIVARD: Hi. I'm Betsy Rivard. I live in Atlanta. 10 I wanted to respond first -- I was handed 11 12 this by Case Energy Coalition. "What source of power provides more than 70 percent of carbon-free energy?" 13 Not nuclear power. That's for sure. 14 15 Nuclear power is not carbon-free. 16 carbon-free once the plant is on line. The mining of 17 uranium, the milling of the fuel and transportation of the fuel and the construction of the power plant all 18 produce emissions. And so does decommissioning of the 19 20 plant. 21 The 2006 report on Low-Level Ionizing 22 Radiation of the National Academies known as BEIR VII 23 I think it is, concluded that for the same radiation dose, women have a greater chance of getting cancer 24 25 and a greater chance of dying from cancer compared to

men. The risk to children is even more pronounced. Women and children are not considered in health studies, and furthermore health studies in a lot of communities surrounding nuclear power plants are not performed. It's kind of a don't ask, don't tell kind of attitude.

There's not a real need for a new nuclear power plant. Last winter there were stories about the financial losses suffered by Southern Company as a result of the decrease in usage of power. People are thinking about the use of power. And it's also because the use of power uses water. People are thinking about the drought and using less power. And many more opportunities exist for conservation of power.

Georgia is still suffering from a serious drought. There is no forecast that this drought will end any time soon. Nuclear power consumes a large amount of water, more than coal-fired power plants. Climate change -- not that we want that -- climate change is happening, and less and less water will be available from the Savannah River. The process of returning some of the water in which 50 to 75 percent is actually lost -- of returning some of the water to rivers results in the warming of the river that will

already be warmed from the decrease in flow. As the flow of the river decreases, salt water will impact the Savannah River estuary in a negative way.

Nuclear power plants are targets for terrorists. We already know the terrorists were flying to crash a plane into a plant outside of New York City. The plants are not protected from impact by air attack.

Projected costs do not include the cost of decommissioning. Once the plant reaches the end of a useful life -- of it's useful life -- by the way not 60 years as has been claimed by nuclear enthusiasts. The average useful life age at this time is less than 30 years.

The parts of the plant that are highly radioactive need to be put somewhere safe. The decommissioning of the San Onofre plant in California was a very complicated and drawn out process. And it's still not finished. It's still where it started.

No one wants these parts in their backyards. We still have no place to put the nuclear waste generated by nuclear power plants. Especially with the closing of Yucca Mountain, we shouldn't be making anymore.

New power plants increase nuclear

proliferation. And the increase in the number of nuclear power plants would consequently increase the likelihood of nuclear accidents. Close calls occur all the time. Valves stick, pipes leak, stuff happens. Statistically the chances of these glitches happening concurrently will increase. And once one accident happens, the public will put a stop to this nuclear expansion or regression as I would like to call it. Regression because it's not the power source of the future.

Nuclear power plants cost too much. There would be none built if the government were not offering subsidies. It cannot stand on its own. And the cost of decommissioning and the impact of public health were not factored into the cost of the plant, and neither the health of native Americans impacted by mining, not to mention the cost of dredging the Savannah Harbor and River. I don't think those costs are factored into the cost of this plant.

If renewable energy sources should be offered the same subsidies that the nuclear plants have been offered, they could be on line much earlier than a power plant. Renewable energy can provide jobs also. Wouldn't this area like to be known as the home of truly safe, truly renewable energy?

1	Thank you very much.
2	JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming and
3	speaking with us this afternoon. Thank you.
4	The next speaker, Jen Kato, then Alphonso
5	Andrews, and then Billy Hopper.
6	MS. KATO: My name is Jen Kato. I'm a
7	nurse in this area. Three generations of my family
8	live in this area.
9	And as a nurse, I'm concerned with public
10	health everybody's health. And that caused me to
11	speak about cumulative impacts of radiation.
12	All of the BEIR reports she referred to
13	BEIR Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation
14	panels since BEIR V and and of course she spoke
15	about BEIR VII have said that there's no safe dose,
16	that every radiation exposure no matter how small has
17	an effect.
18	I sat on the Savannah River Site Health
19	Effects Subcommittee for six years. I was part of
20	that committee. And this exposed me to a lot of
21	information about pathways where the radiation gets
22	into human beings through. I was the chair of the
23	pathway analysis committee there.
24	This brings to mind two major things that

I'm concerned about. Number one is the dredging.

10

11

12

20.

21

22

25

that we use like calcium or water. These are readily obtained by the human body and used in the body just in routine use. These remain a part of the human

Let's see. Of the 97 vario

There were multiple releases from Savannah River Site

between 1958 and 1988 ranging from 19,000 curies to

479,000 curies, and three minutes to 60 days in

most of these elements are in the columns where they

can be used by the human body. They resemble elements

This -- if you look at a periodic chart,

what's made at Savannah River Site, 40 percent of

radionuclides made at or that are by-products of

14 these varieties have been released into the

environment. They are there. They will be disturbed

by dredging or any disturbance to the bottom of the

17 river. They will come back up, and they will create

upstream exposures. Let's see. That is one major

19 concern of mine.

body.

Another thing, the over one billion curies at Savannah River Site equals 60 percent -- over 60 percent of all the radioactive -- radioactive waste in

23 the Department of Energy complex over the United

24 | States. Most of it's concentrated here.

In addition to that, currently at Vogtle

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 1 2

we have 1.8 percent of our nation's commercial waste. That's over 400 million curies. That means basically that there's five percent of all the radiation in the United States concentrated in CSRA.

There are routine and accidental releases from all nuclear power plants including Vogtle. And if you combine this with the continuing releases and plumes and what not that are coming from Savannah River Site, you have quite a cumulative effect. It can only conclude that we will have the highest concentrated — concentration of nuclear releases in the CSRA. Looking at the entire nation, you can say the highest concentration of nuclear releases will be in this area.

And to that we want to add two new reactors? As a nurse with the public health in mind, I am very wary of this.

The 11 percent increase in cancers in this area after Vogtle came on line is very, very significant. And this was at an already elevated level due to Savannah River Site itself.

I remember one time walking past a map at my university and just glancing at it and glancing away and walking on. And then I stopped dead in my tracks because I thought in my mind oh, this is a map

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

of Department of Energy sites. And I stopped dead in 1 2 my tracks and I realized no, no, it can't possibly be. 3 They couldn't possibly be mapping Department of Energy sites. 4 I went back and asked what they were 5 They were mapping prostate and lung cancer 6 mapping. 7 clusters. There's no fooling. The people who do risk analysis can dilute the figures as much as they want 8 to say that this risk has spread and will only matter 9 10 of fact of what it actually is. They can dilute the 11 figures but they cannot dilute the truth. 12 there's radiation, there is disease and death. 13 We don't need to try to solve one problem 14 which is global warming by creating another. 15 like trying to prevent flies from getting on the wrong 16 food by covering it with dog shit. I think it's a big 17 problem. 18 Let's see. How can we justify 19 increase of human suffering and ultimately death when 20 there are so many other viable sources of energy? 21 Thank you very much. 22 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming this afternoon and talking with us. 23 The next speaker would be Alphonso Andrews 24 25 and Bill Hopper, and then Sue Parr.

MR. ANDREWS: Good afternoon. My name is Alphonso Andrews, and I'm a County Commissioner from Burke County, District 2. I speak on behalf of adding the two reactors at Plant Vogtle. I'm a firm believer that it's a good source of electricity that is cost efficient, clean and is the best source of electricity for us in the modern day with so much in need and use. I came to Burke County in 1965 prior to the construction of the existing two reactors down there now. And I have seen nothing come out of Plant Vogtle but good. As far as the environmental risk conditions are concerned, there's plenty of green vegetation down there. There are plenty of deer running, and fish down there available to fish if you fish on Briar Creek or Savannah River. I've fished

This county here is in need of these two reactors. A few days ago, an elderly citizen of this county asked me when we were going to start the two new reactors. I told him as soon as possible because it would really help the economic impact in this

We need this. And I firmly support as a County Commissioner the addition of the two reactors

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

community.

on both.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in Burke County at Plant Vogtle. 2 Thank you. Thank you, sir, 3 JUDGE BOLLWERK: coming and addressing us this afternoon. 4 The next speaker would be Billy Hopper, .5. then Sue Parr, and then Sam Cumming. 6 7 MR. HOPPER: Thank you for the opportunity 8 to appear before you this afternoon and to make a very 9 few brief comments. 10 My name is Billy Hopper. My address is 11 413 McIntosh Drive, Waynesboro, Georgia. 12 I am a native of Burke County and have lived here my entire life except for my years in 13 14 college and my time in military service. 15 In 1965, I was employed as the City 16 Administrator for the City of Waynesboro and served in 17 that capacity for approximately eight and a half 18 years. In 1973, I became the County Administrator for 19 Burke County and was employed in that position until 20 retirement in 2002, giving me a total of approximately 21 37 years of service in local government in this I am presently serving on the Board of the 22 23 Burke County Development Authority. The construction of the first two units at 24 25 Plant Vogtle began and was completed during the time

I served as County Administrator. I had the opportunity to work very closely with the owners and managers of the project. The development and successful completion of a project of this nature and size required a great deal of cooperation between the local government and the owners of Plant Vogtle. And this cooperation is still required today.

The County government supported this project from the beginning with many county services, and Plant Vogtle has certainly provided the citizens of the county with many benefits. The relationship between the county government, the citizens of Burke County and Plant Vogtle have been excellent. I see no reason why this good relationship would not continue in the future with the addition of Units 3 and 4.

On a more personal note, I might add that I have fished in the Savannah River and the Savannah River Swamp for over 50 years, certainly before the construction of Plant Vogtle, and have continued to do so after the completion of Units 1 and 2. Although I am certainly not an environmental expert, as a layman I cannot see any harm that has been caused to the river or surrounding area, and do not feel that the addition of two new units will cause this to change.

And in closing, Burke County has been good

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

for Plant Vogtle, and Plant Vogtle has been good for 1 We look forward to the addition of 2 Burke County. 3 Units 3 and 4. Thank you. 5 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you, sir, coming this afternoon. 6 7 Sue Parr will be the next speaker, then 8 Sam Cumming, and then I think it's Lucinda Abram, but 9 I think I'm mispronouncing that. The spelling isn't clear to me. Anyway, Ms. Parr, go ahead. 10 MS. PARR: Good afternoon, gentlemen. 11 12 am the President of the Augusta Metro Chamber of 13 Commerce. We represent the interests of approximately 14 1100 businesses and organizations through the CSRA. 15 We support the construction of reactors 3 and 4 at the Vogtle Electric Generating Site. We have 16 17 confidence in the regulatory process that has occurred 18 thus far, and we believe it has provided the necessary 19 oversight to ensure the best possible outcome for our community. The environmental impact statement which 20 21 was an important step in this process supports the 22 case for proceeding. 23 Plant Vogtle has history of 24 environmental stewardship and safety. The confidence 25 the local in the abilities area

professionals who operate the plant is very strong. Augusta is home to many employees of Plant Vogtle, as 2 well as a close neighbor. And our interest is served 3 by a safe, secure and environmentally sound facility. 4 We believe that Plant Vogtle has demonstrated the 5 ability to operate in a manner that is in the best 6 7 interests of the environment and our community. addition local environmental 8 In 9 we believe that the expansion of generating capacity at Plant Vogtle has a beneficial 10 impact to the broader environment. Nuclear power is 11 our best source of dependable, cost-effective, low-12 13 emission energy. As our region grows, there is little doubt that we will need more electric power. 14 15 Vogtle is a source of that power that we can depend 16 on. 17 The Augusta Metro Chamber of Commerce is 18 pleased to support the expansion of Plant Vogtle. We 19 believe that the facility is a good neighbor, 20 supplying a needed commodity in an efficient and safe 21 fashion. 22 Thank you. 23 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you for coming and 24 speaking with us this afternoon. 25 Next, Sam Cumming.

2 Sam Cumming. I'm on the city council down in 3 Midville, Georgia. And I'm here to give my support to Georgia Power, the Southern Company because I truly 4 believe that their first priority is safety. 5 6 Just so incident -- instead of it being a 7 small incident, they was putting their security light at my house. And one of the hydraulic hoses bust. 8 9 And quickly they fell into action and then bringing 10 barrels and things in. And I didn't think it was a 11 big deal. They said nope, this is what we got to do. 12 And that went on for three or four days. And the next 13 thing I knew, they're back checking again until they 14 made sure that everything was done as it should have 15 been. And that right there shows the confidence that 16 I need that Georgia Power and Southern Company would 17 do their best to ensure the safety of this -- of their company and the residents of this state. 18 19 Thank you. 20 JUDGE BOLLWERK: Thank you, 21 coming this afternoon. 22 The next speaker -- Lucia Abram? 23 mispronouncing that? No? All right. This is the last person I had 24 25 on the list.

MR. CUMMING: Good afternoon. My name is

At this point, let's go ahead and take 2 about a ten-minute break. If there's anyone here that wants to sign 3 4 up to speak, now would be the time to do so. 5 indicated that we would be here perhaps through 5:00 o'clock, but if we didn't have any additional speakers 6 7 it might well be that we would recess this session. 8 So if you're here and you wish to speak, now would be 9 the time to sign up in the back. Or if you want to 10 leave us a written statement rather than an oral statement, feel free to do so. There's forms for that 11 12 as well. We'll go ahead and take about a ten-minute 13 break here. We'll come back about 20 until, and see 14 15 if we have any additional speakers who have signed up. 16 Thank you very much. 17 (Whereupon, at 4:28 p.m., off the record until 4:40 p.m.) 18 19 JUDGE BOLLWERK: If we could have everyone 20 come to order, please. 21 All right. This is Mr. Lucious Abrams. 22 And I'm very sorry, sir. I didn't decipher your 23 handwriting. I'm doing it pretty good because mine is really bad. But I couldn't quite get yours. 24 25 you.

MR. ABRAMS: Good evening. And we welcome very important issue. And I am a County Commissioner, and I'm in here in Burke County. And during my survey, not only in my look out for the future. Thank you.

all of you all here in Burke County today on a very,

District 1 here in Burke County. And I come here this afternoon in support of the other two new reactors

district, even my surrounding counties -- Miller, Augusta, Sylvania -- I noticed a great economic impact that Plant Vogtle and Southern Company has clearly proved themselves that they are here to be good neighbors and to make sure that the safety is beyond, surpassed, proper. The record shows for itself.

And I am in support for Plant Vogtle and the Southern Company to be here in Burke County. And it's a great source of cheap energy. And we have to

Yes, I'm saying things can happen. But we don't want to get into a situation where we sat around and like how we were in the oil price of a year ago. So, what we're doing today is looking at not only for the future for ourselves but the future for our kids.

> Thank you, JUDGE BOLLWERK: sir,

1

2

3

. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

coming and addressing us this afternoon. 1 2 At this point, that's all the listed 3 speakers or all the registered speakers we have. We'll take one more five-minute break, see 4 if there's anyone else that might have come in a 5 little later. You would need to sign up at this point 6 7 if you wish to speak. 8 Also, if you want to make a written 9 appearance statement without speaking, 10 certainly do that. There's a form for that in the .11 back. You would need to do that at this point. 12 If we don't have anymore speakers at the 13 end of the next five minutes, we're going to go ahead and recess this session. So why don't we take a five-14 minute break and see if there's anyone else who wants 15 16 to sign up? Thank you. 17 (Whereupon, at 4:43 p.m., off the record until 4:49 p.m.) 18 19 At this point, we have JUDGE BOLLWERK: 20 taken another five-minute break and we haven't had 21 anybody else sign up, so we're going to assume that 22 everyone that's in the room that wishes to speak or to leave a written statement has done so. 23 I should mention a couple things before we 24 adjourn. First of all, I appreciate everyone coming 25

out and spending a couple hours with us giving us your views. We really do appreciate your taking the time to come and address us.

We thank the parties for coming as well and listening to the views of the members of the

public who've come this afternoon.

I should mention that we will already be doing another one of these sessions tomorrow night from 7:00 to 9:00 p.m. right back here. Hopefully we'll have a working sound system at that point, although I think we've kind of struggled through this one without too much trouble. But hopefully tomorrow night we will have a working sound system. Again, 7:00 to 9:00 o'clock.

There is a sign-up sheet in the back for tomorrow evening if you want to sign up and preregister. I think we have about a half dozen folks at this point on our list for tomorrow night. You can certainly however sign up tomorrow tonight if you wish to wait until then.

A couple of other things. Briefly in terms of scheduling, as I mentioned tomorrow at 8:30 in the morning beginning right here, we're going to be getting what's called a mandatory hearing relative to the Vogtle ESP proceeding where we're going to be

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

of different subject matter areas -- seismic, emergency planning -- over the next three days. So if you're interested in a number of aspects to the plant, we're going to be covering a number of subject matter areas as I mentioned in the very beginning of the limited appearance session. So again, 8:30 tomorrow morning, and over the next three days -- Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday right here.

I should also mention there was some comments made about contacting the NRC staff or interaction with the NRC staff. It's my understanding as well that the staff counsel can clarify this if anybody has any questions about it.

On Thursday of this week down at the courthouse here in Waynesboro, the staff is going to be holding an open house to discuss the current operation of Vogtle 1 and 2 over the last year, as well as to answer any questions about the Combined License or the Early Site Permit processes. So if you want to come down and talk with the staff, there's an open house. And I don't know exactly what time it is. But Thursday is when it is. So you might contact the staff and they can certainly get back to you and give you the time down at the courthouse here in Waynesboro

dealing particularly with the operation of the current facilities, although they would be available to answer questions about the Combined License or the Early Site Permit processes as well. At this point, let me see if either Judge Trikouros or Judge Jackson have anything they wish to say. Hopefully again, when we're All right. here tomorrow night, I won't have to be yelling at We'll have a microphone system, and certainly not tomorrow in terms of the Early Site Permit hearing we're going to be holding.

I would like to take the opportunity to thank our IT specialists, Joe Deucher and Jim Cutchin, who are here today to help us out, as well as our law clerk Wen Bu, Ashley Prange who's our administrative person back in the back who's been taking down names and getting them up to us. We appreciate assistance from both of you.

Here at Augusta Technical College, the Waynesboro/Burke Campus, we have gotten invaluable help all along this process from Vicki Garrison and also Robert Rutledge who got us in here today and has been helping us with a number of administrative items. So again, thanks to both of them as well, and to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Waynesboro/Burke Campus of the Augusta Technical This is a very nice facility. We're very glad to have it. The audio problems here are our own. brought a lot of this equipment. And it's our stuff that's not working. They have nothing to do with that. So hopefully we'll get it operating by tomorrow morning. Again, if there's nothing else at this point, thank you all very much for coming and speaking with us this afternoon, listening to the comments that were made. We appreciate it. Hopefully we'll see some more folks tomorrow evening between 7:00 and 9:00 p.m. right here. And at this point, we stand adjourned. Thank you. (Whereupon, at 4:53 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Southern Nuclear Operating Co

Name of Proceeding: Limited Oral Appearances

Docket Number: 52-011-ESP;

ASLB No. 07-850-01-ESP-01

Location: Waynesboro, Georgia

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Tobias Walter

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.