Rancho Seco

Final Status Survey Summary Report

January 7, 2009

Cooling Tower Buffer (East)

Survey Unit F8080033

Prepared By: Kin L. Brown Date: 1/7/2009

FSS Engineer

Date: 1/11/09 ohu **Reviewed By:**

Lead FSS Engineer

Date: 2-4-09 Approved By:___

Dismantlement Superintendent, Radiological

FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT

Survey Unit:

F8080033, Cooling Tower Buffer (East)

Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: The cooling towers and basins were part of the condenser cooling water system. The paved area comprising the basins was not reported to have been used for the storage of radioactive material. Operating records and the HSA document one event with the potential for a release of radioactivity associated with this survey area.

Site Characterization: Direct measurements were made of the exterior surfaces of the structure which confirmed the presence of plant-derived radionuclides. Direct structure measurements showed a mean gross activity level of 4,952 dpm/100 cm2 and a maximum value of 6,289 dpm/100 cm2. Based on the classification procedure (DSIP-0020) and levels of gross activity reported, the area was determined to be a Class 2 area.

HSA Events: PDQ-930036.

Survey Unit Design Information:

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were determined using a random-start, fixed grid pattern and 1300 m² were scanned for approximately 20% coverage. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values are listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

| Survey Design Parameter | Value | Comment |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Survey Area: | F808 | Cooling Tower Buffer |
| | | (East) |
| Survey Unit: | 0033 | Open Land Area |
| Class: | 2 | LTP Table 5-4 |
| SU Area (m ²): | 6481 | |
| Evaluator: | Erin L. Brown | |
| DCGL Cs137 surrogate (pCi/g): | 51.2 | |
| Area Factor: | N/A | Class 2 |
| Design DCGLemc (pCi/g): | N/A | Class 2 |
| LBGR (pCi/g): | 25.6 | Default = 50% DCGL |
| Design Sigma (pCi/g): | 0.92 | LTP Table 5-4C, Rev. No. 1 |
| Type I Error: | - 0.05 | |
| Type II Error: | 0.05 | |
| Nuclide: | Cs137 | |
| Sample Area (m ²): | 462.9 | Class 2 |
| Total Area Scanned (m ²): | 1300 | |
| Scan Coverage (%): | 20.1% | Class 2 |
| $Z_{1-\alpha}:$ | 1.645 | |
| Z _{1-β} : | 1.645 | |
| · Sign P: | 0.99865 | |
| Calculated Relative Shift: | 27.8 | |
| Relative Shift Used: | 3 | Uses 3.0 if Rel Shift >3 |
| N-Value: | 11 | |
| Design N-Value + 20%: | 14 | NUREG-1575 Table 5-5 |
| Grid Spacing L: | 21.5 | Class 2 |

Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

Survey Results:

A total of 16 direct measurements were made in F8080033. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. All 46 ISOCS scans were less than or equal to the MDC values listed in Table 2-1.

| Measurement ID | Cs137 MDA | Cs137 Activity | Uncertainty |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|
| Mean: | | 9.52E-01 | |
| Standard Deviation: | | 3.63E-01 | |
| Range: | | 8.84E-01 to 1.00E00 | |
| F8080033 A0009GD | 9.04E-01 | < 9.04E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0008GD | 9.03E-01 | < 9.03E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0007GD | 8.97E-01 | < 8.97E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0006GD | 9.69E-01 | < 9.69E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0005GD | 9.36E-01 | < 9.36E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0004GD | 9.53E-01 | < 9.53E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0003GD | 9.63E-01 | < 9.63E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0002GD | 9.69E-01 | < 9.69E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0001GD | 9.93E-01 | < 9.93E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0016GD | 9.73E-01 | < 9.73E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0015GD | 9.80E-01 | < 9.80E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0014GD | 9.66E-01 | < 9.66E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0013GD | 1.00E00 | < 1.00E00 | |
| F8080033 A0012GD | 9.69E-01 | < 9.69E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0011GD | 9.80E-01 | < 9.80E-01 | |
| F8080033 A0010GD | 8.84E-01 | < 8.84E-01 | |

 Table 2. Direct Measurement Results (all activity values in pCi/g)

Survey Unit Data Assessment:

The survey design required 16 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 3. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was less than the design standard deviation so no additional samples were required.

| Survey Results Parameter | Value | Comment |
|--|----------|---------|
| Actual Direct Measurements (N): | 16 | |
| Median (pCi/g): | 9.68E-01 | |
| Mean (pCi/g): | 9.52E-01 | |
| Standard Deviation (pCi/g): | 3.63E-02 | |
| Maximum (pCi/g): | 1.00E00 | |
| Sign Test Final N Value: | 16 | |
| S+ Value: | 16 | |
| Critical Value: | 11 | |
| Sufficient Samples Collected: | Yes | |
| Maximum Value < DCGL: | Yes | |
| Median Value < DCGL: | Yes | |
| Mean Value < DCGL: | Yes | |
| Maximum Value < DCGLemc: | N/A | Class 2 |
| Standard Deviation <= Sigma: | Yes | |
| Pass the Sign Test? | Yes | |
| Reject the Null Hypothesis? | Yes | |
| The survey unit passes all conditions? | Yes | |

Table 3. Data Assessment Results

F8080033

Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

No investigations were required for either direct or scan measurements and no investigation results are reported.

ALARA Statement:

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL and the EMC criterion has been met), the ALARA criterion has been met.

Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 2 land survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was less than the pharacterization data used for survey design. No potential areas of elevated activity were detected.

Conclusion:

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 2 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. No investigations were required.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F8080033 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.

Attachment 1

Maps

January 7, 2009

•

Survey Unit F8080033



Page 2 of 4

Att. 1 Maps

F8080033



F8080033

Att. 1 Maps



Page 4 of 4

Att. 1 Maps

F8080033

Attachment 2 Instrumentation January 7, 2009 Survey Unit F8080033

| Instrument | Detector Model No. | Detector Serial No. | MDC |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Inspector | N/A | 08051294 | 1.00E00 pCi/g Cs-137 1.01E00 pCi/g Co-60 |
| ISOCS | N/A | 1983920 | 3.61E-01 pCi/g Cs-137 2.65E-01 pCi/g Co-60 |
| ISOCS | N/A | 2983947 | 3.37E-01 pCi/g Cs-137 /2.50E-01 pCi/g Co-60 |

Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

| Instrument | Parameter | Value |
|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ISOCS | Investigation Criteria - Scan | 23 Cs-137 |
| All | DCGLw | 51.2 Cs-137 12.6 Co-60 |
| All | DCGL _{EMC} | N/A |

Att. 2 Instrumentation

Attachment 3

Investigation

January 7, 2009

Survey Unit F8080033

(none required)

Attachment 4

Data Assessment

January 7, 2009

Survey Unit F8080033

Page 2 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment





Page 3 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment





3

F8080033





Page 4 of 4