Rancho Seco

Final Status Survey Summary Report

February 22, 2009

## Central Industrial Area Paved Roadways

## Survey Unit F8000106

Prepared By:_	D. Anderson	_Date:_	<u>alas/2009</u>
۰ .	FSS Engineer		
Reviewed By:	Robul & Drecher Lead FSS Engineer	_ Date:	2/24/09
Approved By:_ Disr	5.7 mantlement Superintendent,	_ Date:_ Radiolo	2-27-09 gical

#### FINAL STATUS SURVEY SUMMARY REPORT

#### Survey Unit:

F8000106, Central Industrial Area Paved Roadways

#### Survey Unit Description:

Operating History: Paved areas within the Industrial Area included the access road, parking lots, foundation pads from demolished temporary structures, and on-site transportation corridors used to transport materials across the site, including radioactive material. Both operational surveys and the HSA document contaminated asphalt and concrete within the IA and potential contamination events outside the IA.

Site Characterization: Paved areas were surveyed using gas flow proportional detectors with more than 300 direct measurements taken. Mean levels of contamination reported were 2,630 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup> with a maximum value of 5,262 dpm/100 cm<sup>2</sup>. Additionally, the access roads were gamma scanned using a multiple detector array with the east road showing <0.17 pCi/g Cs-137 and the west road showing <0.23 pCi/g Cs-137. Based on classification procedure (DSIP-0020) and the levels of activity found on asphalt and concrete surfaces, the general paved areas of the site were classified as Class 3. The classification for this survey area was increased from Class 3 to Class 2 not based on viable characterization data but as a conservative measure as the survey unit bounds a Class 1 land area.

#### Survey Unit Design Information:

The Survey Unit Design Parameters are presented in Table 1 below. The survey unit and measurement locations are depicted on the maps in Attachment 1. Direct measurement locations were determined using a random-start, fixed grid pattern and 782 m<sup>2</sup> were scanned for approximately 21% coverage. ISOCS gamma measurements were collected at each direct measurement location. The instrumentation used for the survey along with the MDC values are listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Attachment 2.

FSS Summary Report

Survey Design Parameter	Value	Comment
Survey Area:	F800	Central Industrial Area
		Paved Roadways
Survey Unit:	0106	Open Land Area
Class:	2	LTP Table 5-4
<b>SU Area</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	3,680	
Evaluator:	D. Anderson	
DCGL Cs-137 surrogate	51.2	
(pCi/g):		
Area Factor:	N/A	Class 2
<b>Design DCGLemc</b> (pCi/g):	N/A	Class 2
LBGR (pCi/g):	39.5	Adjusted
<b>Design Sigma</b> (pCi/g):	3.90	DTBD-06-001, Table 5-
		4C
Type I Error:	0.05	
Type II Error:	0.05	
Nuclide:	Cs-137	
Sample Area (m <sup>2</sup> ):	263	Class 2
<b>Total Area Scanned</b> (m <sup>2</sup> ):	782	
Scan Coverage (%):	21.3%	Class 2
$Z_{1-\alpha}:$	1.645	
$Z_{1-\beta}$ :	1.645	
Sign P:	0.99865	
Calculated Relative Shift:	3.0	
Relative Shift Used:	3.0	Uses 3.0 if Rel Shift >3
N-Value:		
Design N-Value $+ 20\%$ :		NUKEG-15/5 Table 5-5
Grid Spacing L:	16.2	Class 2

# Table 1. Survey Unit Design Parameters

## **Survey Results:**

A total of 14 direct measurements were made in F8000106. The results including mean, median, standard deviation and range are shown in Table 2. All of the direct measurements were less than the DCGL. None of the ISOCS gamma scan measurements on the asphalt roadway surfaces indicated areas of elevated activity. Co-60 was not identified above the MDC. The highest scan activity was 7.74E-01 pCi/g Cs-137.

Measurement ID	Cs137 MDA	Cs137 Activity	Uncertainty
Mean: Median:		2.90E-01 2.90E-01	
Standard Deviation: Range:	2	1.39E-02	
F8000106A0001GD	2.95E-01	< 2.95E-01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
F8000106A0002GD	2.84E-01	< 2.84E-01	· ·
F8000106A0003GD	3.08E-01	< 3.08E-01	
F8000106A0004GD	2.67E-01	< 2.67E-01	
F8000106A0005GD	2.90E-01	< 2.90E-01	
F8000106A0006GD	2.92E-01	< 2.92E-01	
F8000106A0007GD	2.98E-01	< 2.98E-01	
F8000106A0008GD	2.73E-01	< 2.73E-01	
F8000106A0009GD	2.84E-01	< 2.84E-01	
F8000106A0010GD	2.82E-01	< 2.82E-01	
F8000106A0011GD	2.73E-01	< 2.73E-01	
F8000106A0012GD	2.90E-01	< 2.90E-01	
F8000106A0013GD	3.08E-01	< 3.08E-01	
F8000106A0014GD	3.13E-01	< 3.13E-01	

## Table 2. Direct Measurement Results

(all activity values in pCi/g)

FSS Summary Report

### **Survey Unit Data Assessment:**

The survey design required 14 direct measurements for the Sign Test. The critical value and the results of the Sign Test are presented in Table 3. The sample mean and median values were less than the DCGL. The sample standard deviation was less than the design standard deviation so no additional samples were required.

Survey Results Parameter	Value	Comment
Actual Direct Measurements (N):	14	
Median (pCi/g):	2.90E-01	
Mean (pCi/g):	2.90E-01	•
Direct Measurement Std Deviation (pCi/g):	1.39E-02	
Maximum (pCi/g):	3.13E-01	·
Sign Test Final N Value:	14	
S+ Value:	14	
Critical Value:	10	
Sufficient Samples Collected:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Median Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Mean Value < DCGL:	Yes	
Maximum Value < DCGLemc:	N/A	Class 2
Standard Deviation <= Sigma:	Yes	
Pass the Sign Test?	Yes	
<b>Reject the Null Hypothesis?</b>	Yes	· · ·
Does the Survey Unit Pass All Criteria?	Yes	

### Table 3. Data Assessment Results

### Survey Unit Investigations and Results:

No investigations were required for either direct or scan measurements and no investigation results are reported.

### ALARA Statement:

As stated in Chapter 4 of the LTP, as long as the residual activity within the survey unit is less than the DCGL (i.e. the survey unit average activity is less than the DCGL and the EMC criterion has been met), the ALARA criterion has been met.

### Changes in Initial Survey Unit Assumptions:

The survey unit was designed as a Class 2 land survey and the sample results are consistent with that classification. The variability of the survey results was less than the characterization data used for survey design. No potential areas of elevated activity were detected.

### Conclusion:

The FSS of this survey unit was properly designed as a Class 2 survey based on Table 5-4 of the LTP. The required number of direct measurements was made and the scan coverage met the requirement of Table 5-6 of the LTP. All of the direct measurements were less than Unity. No investigations were required.

The direct measurement data support rejection of the null hypothesis, providing high confidence that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria and that the data quality objectives were met.

It is concluded that survey unit F8000106 meets the release criteria of 10CFR20.1402.

Attachment 1

Maps

February 22, 2009

# Survey Unit F8000106



Page 2 of 8

Att. 1 Maps





Page 4 of 8

Att. 1 Maps

. .



Page 5 of 8

Att. 1 Maps



Page 6 of 8

Att. 1 Maps



Page 7 of 8

Att. 1 Maps



Page 8 of 8

Att. 1 Maps

Attachment 2 Instrumentation February 22, 2009 Survey Unit F8000106

Instrument	Detector Model No.	Detector Serial No.	MDC
ISOCS	N/A	1983920	Asphalt – 3.37E-01 pCi/g Cs-137 Asphalt – 2.68E-01 pCi/g Co-60
ISOCS	N/A	1983920	Concrete - 995 dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> Cs-137 Concrete - 701 dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> Co-60

# Table 2-1. Survey Unit Instrumentation

# Table 2-2. Investigation Criteria and DCGL

Instrument	Parameter	Value
ISOCS	Investigation Criteria - Scan	Asphalt – 20 pCi/g Cs-137
ISOCS	Investigation Criteria - Scan	Concrete – 4,300 dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup> Cs-137
All	DCGL <sub>W</sub>	51.2 Cs-137 12.6 Co-60
All	DCGLw	43,000 dpm/100 cm <sup>2</sup>
All	DCGL <sub>EMC</sub>	N/A

Att. 2 Instrumentation

Attachment 3 Investigation February 22, 2009 Survey Unit F8000106

(none required)

ſ

Attachment 4

Data Assessment

February 22, 2009

Survey Unit F8000106



Page 2 of 4

Att. 4 Data Assessment





Att. 4 Data Assessment