



EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Brian T. Larson	Facility:	DC	Date of Written Exam:	2/6/2009	
Start of Op Test:			2/9/2009	End of Op Test:		2/13/2009
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility			
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes		
8/8/2008	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	8/8/08	BR			
10/3/2008	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	9/26/08	BR			
10/3/2008	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	9/26/08	BR			
10/3/2008	Corporate Notification Letter sent	7/24/08	BR	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE Revised 11-7-08		
11/7/2008	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	BR	ES-201 Att-3		
11/21/2008	Integrated exam outlines due	11/18/08	BR			
11/28/2008	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	12/9/08	BR	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC		
11/28/2008	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	12/10/08	BR	delayed due to RB exam		
12/19/2008	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	12/10/08	BR			
1/2/2009	Peer review of written exam complete	12/24/08	BR	Document review on ES-401-9		
1/2/2009	Preliminary license applications due	1/2/09	BR	NRC Forms 398/396		
1/9/2009	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	1-15-09	BR			
1/9/2009	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	1-15-09	BR			
1/9/2009	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	1-15-09	BR			
1/5/2009	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	1/9/09	BR			
1/23/2009	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	2/5/09	BR	ES-201-4 prepared by LA		
1/30/2009	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	2/5/09	BR			
1/30/2009	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	2/5/09	BR	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)		
1/30/2009	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	2/5/09	BR			
2/2/2009	Exam material to exam team	2/5/09	BR			
2/9/2009	Administer Operating Test	2/12/09	BR			
2/20/2009	Facility post-exam documentation due	2/20/09	BR			
2/20/2009	NRC written exam grading completed	2/17/09	BR	ES-403-1 to BC		
2/20/2009	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	2/20/09	BR			
2/27/2009	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	2/20/09	BR	Signed ES 303's to BC		
3/6/2009	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	2/20/09	BR			
3/13/2009	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	2/20/09	BR	2		
3/13/2009	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	2/20/09	BR			
3/13/2009	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	2/20/09	BR	print Report-21		
3/27/2009	Examination Report Issued	3/24/09	BR	produced by CE		
4/3/2009	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	3/20/09	BR	SUNSI checklist to LA		
4/10/2009	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	BR			

Facility: Diablo Canyon		Date of Examination: 02/09/09		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	RF	00	ARL
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	RF	00	BR
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	RF	00	BR
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	RF	00	BR
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	00	BR
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	RF	00	ARL
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	RF	00	BR
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	RF	00	BR
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	RF	00	BR
a. Author <u>Ron Fortier / Gary Hutchison</u> Printed Name/Signature  Date <u>11/18/08</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Orrin Oliver</u> <u>11-18-08</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>BRIAN T. LARSON</u> <u>12-9-08</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>Steve Garwood / SM Dave</u> for Ryan Lane <u>12-9-08</u>				
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Facility: Diablo Canyon Power Plant		Date of Exam: 02/06/2008		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initial				
	a	b*	c#		
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	RF	00	BR		
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	RF	00	BR		
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	RF	00	BR		
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			BR		
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or X the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	RF	00	BR		
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	RF	00	BR		
	Bank	Modified	New		
	24 28 - RO	10 8 - RO	41 24 - RO		
	4 - SRO	3 - SRO	18 21 - SRO		
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	RF	00	BR		
	Memory	C/A			
	45.3%(34) - RO	54.7%(41) - RO			
	24 32% (8) - SRO	76 68% (17) - SRO			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	RF	00	BR		
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	RF	00	BR		
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	RF	00	BR		
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	RF	00	BR		
a. Author		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)		Ron Fortier <i>[Signature]</i>		02/04/09	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)		Orrin Oliver <i>[Signature]</i>		02/04/09	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor		BRIAN T. LARSON <i>[Signature]</i>		2-4-09	
		BOB STAPLETON <i>[Signature]</i>		2-5-09	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: Diablo Canyon Power Plant		Date of Examination: 02/09/2008		Operating Test Number: L061C	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	Ⓟ	oo	AR	
3. Simulator Criteria		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		Ⓟ	oo	AR	
		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a.	Author	Gary Hutchison / 		12/8/08	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	Orrin B. Oliver / 		12/8/08	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON / 		2/4/09	
d.	NRC Supervisor	BERNARD STAPLETON / 		2/5/09	
NOTE:		* The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.			




Facility: Diablo Canyon Power Plant Date of Exam: 02/09/2008 Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3 Operating Test No.: L061C				
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo	NR
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6/8/16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/2/2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3/3/AB4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1/1/1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2/2/1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0/1/1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3/3/3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	oo NR

Facility: DCPP

Date of Exam: 02/06/09

Exam Level: RO SRO

Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RF	OO	AR
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	n/a	n/a	N/A BR
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RF	OO	AR
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	RF	OO	AR
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	n/a	n/a	N/A AR
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RF	OO	AR

	Printed Name/Signature	Date
a. Grader	<u>Ron Fortier</u> 	<u>02/06/09</u>
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>Orrin Oliver</u> 	<u>02/06/09</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>BRIAN LARSON</u> 	<u>2-17-09</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>BERN STABLETON</u> 	<u>2/20/09</u>

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

15:29:56

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2009 To 02/28/2009

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
01/05/2009	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2009301 TAC #: X02427		Prep		LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
01/05/2009	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2009301 TAC #: X02428		Prep		LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
02/09/2009	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2009301 TAC #: X02427	SROI - 2	Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
02/09/2009	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2009301 TAC #: X02428	SROI - 2	Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
02/16/2009	Diablo Canyon / 05000275 / 2009301 TAC #: X02427		Doc		LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
02/16/2009	Diablo Canyon / 05000323 / 2009301 TAC #: X02428		Doc		LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. TINDELL, BRIAN W.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2009 To 02/28/2009

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Date

Summary By Date	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 0
01/2009	01/2009	01/2009	01/2009	01/2009	01/2009
02/2009	02/2009	02/2009	02/2009	02/2009	02/2009
	RO - 0	SROI - 4	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Diablo Canyon: 4

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2009 To 02/28/2009

Page 3 of 4
02/20/2009
Report 21
15:29:56
Region: 4
Phase Code: 5

Summary By Site

DIAB - Diablo Canyon
RO - 0 SROI - 4 SROU - 0 LSRO - 0 Total for Diablo Canyon: 4

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2009 To 02/28/2009

15:29:56

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4	RO - 0	SROI - 4	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 4
----------	--------	----------	----------	----------	-----------------------

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 02/06/2009 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to these applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/6 - 2/12/09. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. RON FORTER	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/7/08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/12/09	
2. GARY HUTCHISON	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/11/08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/12/09	
3. DAVID BUNN	TECH TRAINING MANAGER	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/15/08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-27-09	
4. DAVID OLSON	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>[Signature]</i>	7-15-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/12/09	
5. DAVID BEALS	SHIFT FOREMAN	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-10-8	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-25-09	
6. RICKY BLANCHARD	S.C.O.	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-11-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2/18/09	
7. ROBERT KLING	S.C. CONTROL OPERATOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-11-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-18-09	
8. MATTHEW ANDERSON	CONTROL OPERATOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-11-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-18-09	
9. DAN BERRY	S.C.	<i>[Signature]</i>	9-11-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-18-09	
10. JAMES BIGGS	SIM ENG.	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-18-09	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-19-09	
11. TIM MARTIN	C.O.	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/31/08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-21-09	
12. JAMES MOYER	C.O.	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/31/08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-21-09	
13. MATTHEW BENDIS	C.O.	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-31-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-23-09	
14. A. J. CHARBIL	S.C.O. / S.M.	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-31-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-23-09	
15. JOY SIKAGES	S.F.M. S.R.O.	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-31-08	<i>[Signature]</i>	2-23-09	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 02/06/09 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to these applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/6-2/12/09. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	DAN STERNER	SFM SRO	<i>D. Sterner</i>	11-14-08	<i>D. Sterner</i>	2-17-09	
2.	Jim Lamp	WCL SRO	<i>Jim Lamp</i>	11-14-08	<i>Jim Lamp</i>	2-17-09	
3.	Dave Gavrie	SFM / SRO	<i>Dave Gavrie</i>	11/14/08	<i>Dave Gavrie</i>	2/17/09	
4.	Fred Barthell	SFM / SRO	<i>Fred Barthell</i>	11/14/08	<i>Fred Barthell</i>	2/17/09	
5.	Jole Lessard	SIM SPEC	<i>Jole Lessard</i>	2/10/09	<i>Jole Lessard</i>	2-17-09	
6.	Steve Mackleman	Surrogate (Sim)	<i>Steve Mackleman</i>	2-10-9	<i>Steve Mackleman</i>	2-12-9	
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES: