

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 04/09/09

EDO CONTROL: G20090157

DOC DT: 03/16/09

FINAL REPLY:

Susan Kassouf
Peekskill, New York

TO:

Commission

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 09-0102

Carpenter, OE

DESC:

Indian Point/Entergy - Siren System
(SECY-2009-0123)

ROUTING:

Borchardt
Virgilio
Mallett
Ash
Ordaz
Cyr/Burns
Leeds, NRR
Collins, RI
Cyr, OGC
Diaz-Toro, OEDO

DATE: 03/19/09

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

OE

Carpenter

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Template: SECY-017

ERIDS: SECY-01

EDATS

Electronic Document and Action Tracking System

EDATS Number: SECY-2009-0123

Source: SECY

General Information

Assigned To: OE

OEDO Due Date: 4/9/2009 5:00 PM

Other Assignees:

SECY Due Date: NONE

Subject: Indian Point/Entergy - Siren System

Description:

CC Routing: NRR; Region I; OGC

ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming: NONE

Response/Package: NONE

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20090157, LTR-09-0102

Staff Initiated: NO

Related Task:

Recurring Item: NO

File Routing: EDATS

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

Roadmap Item: NO

Process Information

Action Type: E-mail

Priority: Medium

Signature Level: OE

Sensitivity: None

Urgency: NO

OEDO Concurrence: NO

OCM Concurrence: NO

OCA Concurrence: NO

Special Instructions:

Document Information

Originator Name: Susan Kassouf

Date of Incoming: 3/16/2009

Originating Organization: Commission

Document Received by SECY Date: 3/19/2009

Addressee: Commission

Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Incoming Task Received: E-mail

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Mar 19, 2009 07:41

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-09-0102

LOGGING DATE: 03/18/2009

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

OPA

AUTHOR:

Susan Kassouf

AFFILIATION:

NY

ADDRESSEE:

Chairman's Resource

SUBJECT:

Indian point fines for sirens

ACTION:

Direct Reply

DISTRIBUTION:

Chairman, Comrs

LETTER DATE:

03/16/2009

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

EDO/OPA for Direct Reply

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

04/09/2009

DATE SIGNED:

EDO --G20090157

Sandy Joosten

From: kassouf@bestweb.net
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2009 1:37 PM
To: CHAIRMAN Resource; CMRLYONS Resource; CMRJACZKO Resource; CMRSVINICKI Resource
Subject: Indian Point Fines for Sirens

Dear Mr. Chairman, Dear Commissioners,

Greetings. I am Peekskill resident who has been concerned for a long time about the safety and management of Indian Point. In a March 4 article in the North County News, one of our local papers, reporter Abby Luby wrote about the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's decision not to fine Entergy for failing to complete the installation of the siren system.

I was hoping you would be able to explain the reasons behind the NRC's decision. From what I understand, the new system was set up almost two years after the original deadline. Apparently, Entergy missed three deadlines in the process. Needless to say, Entergy's struggle to surmount technical difficulties does not fill me with confidence with regard to their ability to maintain and run a nuclear power plant safely.

According to the North County News article, the NRC could have imposed a fine of \$130,000 daily but chose not to. I would be interested to learn why no daily fine was imposed. It seems one commissioner, Dr. Jaczko, was concerned that a lack of regulatory enforcement by the NRC would indicate lax oversight. Dr. Jaczko's concern is correct. It would seem appropriate that the NRC require Entergy to pay the appropriate penalty for violating NRC orders.

While Commissioner Klein is quoted as saying that fining Entergy would "amount to no more than an expression of regulatory outrage," I must disagree strongly. If Entergy is not capable of running Indian Point as safely, indeed flawlessly, as possible, it endangers the health and well-being of millions of citizens, not to mention natural habitats. If the NRC does not act in a way that ensures its regulations are carried out in a careful and vigorous way, it appears to the public to be complicit with a company that has not demonstrated its competence.

As a citizen, I appreciate and thank you for the work that all of you do to make sure the nuclear industry functions as safely as possible. I would remain interested in learning, however, about the reasons behind this recent decision.

Sincerely,

Susan Kassouf
5302 Manor Dr.
Peekskill, NY
10566

Received: from mail1.nrc.gov (148.184.176.41) by TWMS01.nrc.gov
(148.184.200.145) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.291.1; Mon, 16 Mar 2009
13:37:00 -0400

X-Ironport-ID: mail1

X-SBRS: 5.3

X-MID: 457033

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AnwBAJMrvknRXmcpmWdsb2JhbACUQYEBABQEBAQEICwoHEcBWg38G

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.38,374,1233550800";
d="scan'208";a="457033"

Received: from smtp1.bestweb.net ([209.94.103.41]) by mail1.nrc.gov with
ESMTP; 16 Mar 2009 13:37:00 -0400

Received: from webmail2.bestweb.net (mailweb.bestweb.net [209.94.103.60]) by
smtp1.bestweb.net (Postfix) with ESMTPA id 46DB01254FE; Mon, 16 Mar 2009
13:36:59 -0400 (EDT)

Received: from 68.165.223.83 (SquirrelMail authenticated user
kassouf@bestweb.net) by webmail2.bestweb.net with HTTP; Mon, 16
Mar 2009 13:36:59 -0400 (EDT)

Message-ID: <5a3562e2cf251d4054f930ff4b575504.squirrel@webmail2.bestweb.net>

Date: Mon, 16 Mar 2009 13:36:59 -0400

Subject: Indian Point Fines for Sirens

From: <kassouf@bestweb.net>

To: CHAIRMAN@nrc.gov,

CMRLYONS@nrc.gov,

CMRJACZKO@nrc.gov,

CMRSVINICKI@nrc.gov

User-Agent: SquirrelMail/1.4.16

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

Importance: Normal

Return-Path: kassouf@bestweb.net