

Facility: HOLE CREEK

Date of Examination: 2/3-2/11 2005

Examinations Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	
-45	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204)	
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	<i>[Signature]</i>

* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Facility: Hope Creek		Date of Examination: 2/2/2009		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	QET	2	N
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	QET	2	N
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	QET	2	N
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	QET	2	N
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	QET	2	N
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	QET	2	N
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	QET	2	N
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	QET	2	N
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	QET	2	N
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	QET	2	N
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	QET	2	N
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	QET	2	N
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	QET	2	N
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	QET	2	N
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	QET	2	N
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	QET	2	N
a. Author	Archie E Faulkner / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 11/19/08	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Larry Sinclair / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 11/19/08	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	J. D. Anderson / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 1/4/09	
d. NRC Supervisor	Samuel Hausell / <i>[Signature]</i>		Date: 1/21/09	
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines			

NRC EXAM 2/2009

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/2/2009 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2-02-2009 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>ARLENE E FARROW</u>	<u>LEAD EXAM AUTHOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/23/09</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/12/09</u>
2. <u>JAMES BERGLUND</u>	<u>EXAM AUTHOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>7/23/07</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/17/09</u>
3. <u>STOE OZENS</u>	<u>EXAM AUTHOR (WRITER)</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/24/08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/13/09</u>
4. <u>JOE MORAN</u>	<u>TPS</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/18/08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/12/09</u>
5. <u>L. SINCLEAR</u>	<u>Facility Representative</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/28/08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/12/09</u>
6. <u>M. CHARLES</u>	<u>RO VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-30-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/12/09</u>
7. <u>L. KOBERLIN</u>	<u>CRS VALIDATION</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/30/08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/12/09</u>
8. <u>SCOTT C. MARK</u>	<u>RO VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-30-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-09</u>
9. <u>S. KOESICH</u>	<u>CRS Re-validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/12/08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-09</u>
10. <u>Joseph W. Baker</u>	<u>CRS Re-validation</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/12/08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-09</u>
11. <u>MARIE PARLISH</u>	<u>OTM</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-17-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/12/09</u>
12. <u>BIN CHERN</u>	<u>SW Software</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-21-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-09</u>
13. <u>Ed Johnson</u>	<u>RO valid.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-21-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>02/12/09</u>
14. <u>MARK C. ROMAN</u>	<u>R.O. LOT-EXAM VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-23-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-09</u>
15. <u>RICK FIGUEROA</u>	<u>R.O. LOT EXAM VALIDATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-23-08</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-12-09</u>

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/2/2009 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/2/09. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
S. Bridge Bay	Simulator Support	[Signature]	12/5/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
C. H. Hayes, JR	Sim Inst	[Signature]	12/8/08	Per Telecom Dept. STW	2/13/09
J. P. JONES	SPO VALIDATION	[Signature]	12/11/08	[Signature]	2/18/09
M. J. Jones	SPO Validation	[Signature]	12/12/08	[Signature]	2-12-09
S. J. Lopez	NCO	[Signature]	12-11-08	[Signature]	2-12-09
Theodore E. Hendricks	NCO	[Signature]	12-11-08	[Signature]	2-12-09
DAVID M. BEN	Simulator Support	[Signature]	1/6/09	[Signature]	2/12/09
WAYNE MURON	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	1/7/09	[Signature]	2/17/09
JIMMY D. CATLAND	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	02/02/09	[Signature]	02/17/09
SEAN FRANEK	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	2-3-09	[Signature]	2-12-09
CASULLY E	S-O-S	[Signature]	2-3-09	[Signature]	2/12/09
Bob Beal	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	2/4/09	[Signature]	2/17/09
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

Copy - ORIGINAL SIGNATURE OF
 NRC EXAM 2/2009 BIN CHERN

ES-201 Examination Security Agreement Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/2/2009 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2-2-2009 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration. I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Archie E. Fackner	LEAD Exam Admin	[Signature]	2/23/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
2. JAMES BERGLUND	EXAM ADMIN	[Signature]	7/23/07	[Signature]	2/17/09
3. STROY DZIELI	EXAM ADMIN (CONTIN)	[Signature]	2/24/08	[Signature]	2/18/09
4. JOE MURPHY	TPS	[Signature]	10/14/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
5. L. Simons	Facility Representative	[Signature]	10/20/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
6. M. CHARLES	RO VALIDATION	[Signature]	10/20/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
7. L. ROBERLEIN	CRS VALIDATION	[Signature]	10/20/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
8. SCOTT L. MAIER	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	10/20/08	[Signature]	2-12-09
9. S. KOOSICH	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/11/08	[Signature]	
10. Joseph W. Baker	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/11/08	[Signature]	
11. MARK PARLISH	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/11/08	[Signature]	4/12/09
12. BIN CHERN	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/11/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
13. Ed Johnson	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/21/08	[Signature]	2-12-09
14. MARK GORDMAN	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/21/08	[Signature]	05/15/09
15. RICK FIGARBA	CRS Re-validation	[Signature]	11/23/08	[Signature]	2-12-09

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/2/2009 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2-12-09. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. S. B. Budge, Beal	Simulator Support	[Signature]	12/1/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
2. David M. Ryan	Sim Instructor	[Signature]	12/1/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
3. J. P. [Name]	SIC INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	12/1/08	[Signature]	2/12/09
4. M. [Name]	SIC INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	12/1/08	[Signature]	2-12-09
5. S. J. Coper	NCO	[Signature]	12-11-08	[Signature]	2-12-09
6. Theodore F. [Name]	NCO	[Signature]	12-11-08	[Signature]	2-12-09
7. DAVID M. RYAN	SIMULATOR BOOTH OPERATOR	[Signature]	1/6/09	[Signature]	2/12/09
8. WAYNE MURAN	SIM SUPPORT	[Signature]	1/7/9	[Signature]	2/12/09
9. TIMOTHY J. CATALANO	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	02/02/09	[Signature]	02/17/09
10. SEAN FRANEK	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	2-3-09	[Signature]	2-12-09
11. CASULIER E	S.O.S	[Signature]	2-3-09	[Signature]	2/12/09
12. [Name]	SIM INSTRUCTOR	[Signature]	2/4/9	[Signature]	2/17/09
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

ATTACHMENT 5
EXAM SECURITY BRIEFING RECORD

Page 1 of 1

Name of Authorized Person	Date Briefed	New Authorized Person's Initials	Exam Author's Initials
Archie E Faulkner	7/23/08	QEF	QEF
JAMES BERGLUND	7/23/08	JB	QEF
Steve Dennis	7/24/08	SD	QEF
JOSEPH MURRAY	10/14/08	JM	QEF
L. Sinclair	10/30/08	L	QEF
M. CHARLES	10-30-08	MC	QEF
L. KOBERCEIN	10/30/08	LK	QEF
SCOTT L MAIOR	10-30-08	SLM	QEF
S. Kopsich	11/11/08	SK	QEF
Joseph W. Baker	11/11/08	JB	QEF
MARK W PARRISH	11/17/08	MP	QEF
Bin Chern	11/21/08	BC	QEF
Ed Johnson	11-21-08	EJ	QEF
MARK C. ROMAN	11-28-08	MR	QEF
RICK FICARRA	11-28-08	RF	QEF
S Bodee Bayl	12-5-08	SB	QEF
B. H. Havers	12-8-2008	BH	QEF
J. Parris	12/12/08	JP	✓
Theodore F. Hendricks	12/11/08	TH	✓
S. Loper	12-11-08	SL	✓
Michael Paul	12-11-08	MP	✓
DAVID M REIN	1/6/09	DMR	QEF
WAYNE MURPHY	1/7/09	WM	QEF

NOTE: This document SHALL be retained until the associated NRC security agreement has been completed at which time this form may be discarded

ATTACHMENT 5
EXAM SECURITY BRIEFING RECORD

Page 1 of 1

Name of Authorized Person	Date Briefed	New Authorized Person's Initials	Exam Author's Initials
Archie E Faulkner	7/23/08	AET	AET
JAMES R BOGGLING	7/23/08		
SCOTT L. MAIER	10-28-08	SLM	AET
MICHAEL CHARLES	10-28-08	MC	AET
ROBERT LEIN	10/28/08	RL	AET
L. Sinclair	10/28/08	2	AET
M. Parrist	11/18/08	MP	AET
MARK ROMAN	120508	MR	AET
KEVIN COLETT	12/5/08	CK	AET
RICK FICARRA	12/5/8		AET
SMARRETT	12/5/8		AET
J. PRIEST	12/12/8	JP	AET
Theodore F. Hendrichs	12/11/08	TH	AET
Michael Reed	12/11/08	MR	AET
S. Loper	12-11-08	SL	AET
TIM CATALANO	2/2/09	TC	AET
SEAN FRANEK	2-3-09	SF	
BOB BOESH	2-4-09	RB	

NOTE: This document SHALL be retained until the associated NRC security agreement has been completed at which time this form may be discarded

ILT

ATTACHMENT 4

"Sample" EXAM SECURITY BRIEFING CHECKLIST

Page 1 of 2

NOTE: The prohibited activities identified by the following items are only related to the individuals who are to be evaluated using the Examination for which specific knowledge has been obtained. Signing a LORT exam security agreement would **not** prohibit interfacing with ILT students or vice versa.

Initials

1. **DISCUSS** examples of prohibited activities for personnel who have signed the Security Agreement including the following:
 - All classroom instruction. ✓
 - Non-scheduled review or coaching sessions. ✓
 - Preparation, review, grading, and evaluation of periodic and comprehensive examinations and quizzes. (Individuals on the security agreement may prepare and grade the ILT audit / certification examination subject to an NRC review for test item duplication.)
 - On-floor instruction in the simulator. ✓
 - Participation in simulator critiques. ✓
 - ALL OJT related activities (e.g., training, practice, coaching, evaluation, watch standing, reactivity manipulations, and signoffs.) ✓
 - ALL TPE related activities (e.g., training, practice, coaching, evaluation and signoffs.) ✓
 - Practice plant walkthroughs. ✓
 - In-plant coaching. ✓
 - Development and conduct of any remediation regardless of setting. ✓
 - Evaluation of trainee performance in the simulator. ✓
 - Acting as a crew member surrogate prior to the examination. ✓
2. **DISCUSS** the following exceptions which are authorized in accordance with NUREG-1021, ES-201, D.2.b.:
 - Simulator booth operation is acceptable if the individual does **not** select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. ✓
 - Supervisors and Managers having knowledge of the examination content may continue their general oversight of the training program for the license applicants, including the review of examinations, quizzes, and remedial training programs and participation in performance review meetings. However, these supervisors and managers may **not** provide individual applicant feedback regarding the content of those examinations, quizzes or programs or participate in determination of specific training to be given to personnel. ✓

VI

ATTACHMENT 4

"Sample" EXAM SECURITY BRIEFING CHECKLIST

Page 2 of 2

3. **DISCUSS** the following in-plant JPM validation security measures: M
- VERIFY examinees will **not** be able to observe development or validation of the in-plant JPMs.
 - ENSURE personnel involved have signed the security agreement.
 - ENSURE examination material is controlled and accounted for at the completion of each validation.
 - SPEND time in additional locations to avoid being seen only in locations for specific JPMs. For example, if going in the plant to validate a DG and AFW pump JPM, also spend time in a valve aisle, additional pump room, and a Motor Control Center area.
 - For in-plant JPMs requiring the use of keys, CHECK OUT more keys than are actually used.
4. **DISCUSS** applicable lessons learned (OPEX) from other recent NRC examinations. (**Exam Author**) M
5. **ENTER** the name and date briefed in the space provided on Attachment 5 for each new Authorized Person. (**Exam Author**) A
6. **INITIAL** Attachment 5 acknowledging receipt of security briefing. (**New Authorized Person**) J
7. **SIGN** the appropriate NRC Examination Security Agreement (NUREG-1021, Form ES-201-3 or Form ES-601-1) (**New Authorized Person**) M
8. **ISSUE** the appropriate exam security badge to each new Authorized Person and **INITIAL** in the space provided on Attachment 5. (**Exam Author**) M

NOTE: There is no retention requirement for this document. It may be discarded following completion.

Facility: <u>Hope Creek</u>		Date of Examination: <u>2/2/2009</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>NRC2009</u>	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	AEF	2	1	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	AEF	2	2	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	AEF	2	2	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	AEF	2	2	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	AEF	2	2	
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	AEF	2	2	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	AEF	2	2	
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		AEF	2	2	
a. Author	<u>Archie E. Faulkner</u> <i>Archie E. Faulkner</i>	Date <u>12/12/08</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Larry D. Sinclair</u> <i>Larry D. Sinclair</i>	<u>12/12/08</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>J. D'Amico</u> <i>J. D'Amico</i>	<u>1/21/09</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>Samuel Hansell</u> <i>Samuel Hansell</i>	<u>1/22/09</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.					

Facility: Hope Creek		Date of Exam: 2/2/2009		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3		Operating Test No.: 2009 NRC		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	QET	2	✓				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	QET	✓	✓				
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	QET	2	✓				
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	QET	2	✓				
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	QET	2	✓				
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	QET	2	✓				
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	QET	2	✓				
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	QET	~	✓				
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	QET	2	✓				
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	QET	✓	✓				
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	QET	2	✓				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	QET	2	✓				
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	QET	✓	✓				
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7	6	5	QET	2	✓	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2	2	1	QET	2	✓	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4	3	2	QET	2	✓	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1	1	1	QET	2	✓	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2	2	1	QET	2	✓	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1	1	0	QET	2	✓	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2	4	3	QET	2	✓	

Facility: Hope Creek		Date of Exam: 2/2/2009		Scenario Numbers: 4-Spare		Operating Test No.: 2009 NRC			
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES							Initials		
							a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	QEF	2	✓					
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	QEF	2	✓					
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	QEF	2	✓					
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	QEF	2	✓					
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	QEF	2	✓					
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	QEF	2	✓					
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	QEF	2	✓					
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	QEF	2	✓					
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	QEF	2	✓					
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	QEF	2	✓					
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	QEF	2	✓					
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	QEF	2	✓					
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	QEF	2	✓					
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		-	-	-			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	8	1	QEF	2	✓			
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2	1	QEF	2	✓			
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	5	1	QEF	2	✓			
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2	1	QEF	2	✓			
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	3	1	QEF	2	✓			
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2	1	QEF	2	✓			
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	4	1	QEF	2	✓			

Facility: Hope Creek			Date of Exam: 2/2/09			Operating Test No.: NRC2009												
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)			
		1			2			3			4-Spare							
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
													R	I	U			
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1										2		1	1	1	0
	NOR		--												1	1	1	1
	I/C		3, 6											2, 4	5	4	4	2
	MAJ		7											8, 9	2	2	2	1
	TS		--												0	0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1										2		1	1	1	0
	NOR		--												1	1	1	1
	I/C		3, 6											2, 4	4	4	4	2
	MAJ		7											8, 9	2	2	2	1
	TS		--												0	0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX					2									1	1	1	0
	NOR					--									1	1	1	1
	I/C					3, 5								6, 8	4	4	4	2
	MAJ					6								7	2	2	2	1
	TS					--									0	0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX									1					1	1	1	0
	NOR									--					1	1	1	1
	I/C					5, 6, 8				4, 5, 6				5, 6, 10	6	4	4	2
	MAJ					7				7				8, 9	2	2	2	1
	TS					--				--					0	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Hope Creek			Date of Exam: 2/2/09			Operating Test No.: NRC2009												
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)			
		1			2			3			4-Spare				R	I	U	
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P					
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX								1						1	1	1	0
	NOR						1								1	1	1	1
	I/C						4, 5, 7			4, 5, 6					6	4	4	2
	MAJ						6			7					2	2	2	1
	TS						--			--					0	0	2	2
RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX			--		2									1	1	1	0
	NOR			2		--									1	1	1	1
	I/C			5, 6, 8		3, 5							5, 6, 10		5	4	4	2
	MAJ			7		6							8, 9		2	2	2	1
	TS			--		--									0	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX	--				2									1	1	1	0
	NOR	1				--							2		1	1	1	1
	I/C	3, 4, 5, 6				3, 5							3, 5, 7		6	4	4	2
	MAJ	7				6							7, 8		2	2	2	1
	TS	4, 5				--							3, 4		2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX	--							1						1	1	1	0
	NOR	1													1	1	1	1
	I/C	3, 4, 5, 6							4, 5, 6				3, 5, 7		7	4	4	2
	MAJ	7							7				7, 8		2	2	2	1
	TS	4, 5							--				3, 4		2	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Hope Creek			Date of Exam: 2/2/09			Operating Test No.: NRC2009													
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)				
		1			2			3			4-Spare								
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N								
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U		
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1		--									2		1	1	1	0
	NOR		--		2											1	1	1	1
	I/C		3, 6		3, 4, 5										2, 4	5	4	4	2
	MAJ		7		6										8, 9	2	2	2	1
	TS		--		3, 4											2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX				--			1								1	1	1	0
	NOR				2			--								1	1	1	1
	I/C				3, 4, 5			4, 5, 6								6	4	4	2
	MAJ				6			7								2	2	2	1
	TS				3, 4			--								2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX		1					--						2		1	1	1	0
	NOR		--					1								1	1	1	1
	I/C		3, 6					3, 4, 6							2, 4	5	4	4	2
	MAJ		7					7							8, 9	2	2	2	1
	TS		--					3, 4								2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX				2			--								1	1	1	0
	NOR				--			1								1	1	1	1
	I/C				3, 5			3, 4, 6								5	4	4	2
	MAJ				6			7								2	2	2	1
	TS				--			3, 4								2	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Hope Creek		Date of Exam: 2/2/09									Operating Test No.: NRC2009						
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M (*)		
		1			2			3			4-Spare						
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/> MAJ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RX	--												0	1	1	0
	NOR	1												1	1	1	1
	I/C	3, 4, 5, 6												4	4	4	2
	MAJ	7												1	2	2	1
	TS	4, 5												2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/> MAJ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RX			--										0	1	1	0
	NOR			2										1	1	1	1
	I/C			3, 4, 5										3	4	4	2
	MAJ			6										1	2	2	1
	TS			3, 4										2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/> MAJ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RX						--							0	1	1	0
	NOR						1							1	1	1	1
	I/C						3, 4, 6							3	4	4	2
	MAJ						7							1	2	2	1
	TS						3, 4							2	0	2	2
RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	RX														1	1	0
	NOR														1	1	1
	I/C														4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

- Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO *additionally* serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Hope Creek Date of Examination: 2/2/09 Operating Test No.: NRC2009																
Competencies	APPLICANTS															
	RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/> SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9				
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All				
Operate Control Boards (2)	All	All	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	All	All	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	All	All	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9				
Communicate and Interact	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All				
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	NA	NA	NA	NA	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9				
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	NA	NA	NA	NA	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4, 5	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4	3, 4, 5				
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: HOPE CREEK		Date of Exam: 2/12/09		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	QET	2	1		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	QET	2	1		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	QET	2	1		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	QET	2	1		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	QET	2	1		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	QET	2	2		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	ARCHIE E. FAULKNER / <i>Arch E Falk</i>	2/12/09			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	Larry D. Sinclair / <i>Larry D Sinclair</i>	2/13/09			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	J. DANON / <i>J Danon</i>	3/3/09			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	Sam Hansell / <i>Sam Hansell</i>	3/6/09			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	QET	2	N			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	QET	2	N			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	QET	2	N			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	QET		Y			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	QET	2	N			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	QET	2	N
	4/1/11	6/1/2	28/1/2			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		CIA	QET	✓	N
	34/1/8		41/1/7			
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	QET	2	N			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	QET	2	N			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	QET	2	N			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	QET	2	N			
a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Regional Supervisor		Printed Name / Signature Archie E. Faulkner Larry D. Sinclair J. D. Simpson Samuel Hansell / SCJ		Date 12/12/08 12/12/08 1/21/09 1/22/09		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						