

	Nuclear Regulatory Commission	
	Exhibit # - SNC000055-00-BD01	
	Docket # - 05200011	
	Identified: 03/16/2009	
Admitted: 03/16/2009		Withdrawn:
Rejected:		Stricken:

Exhibit SNC 000055

EPA Fact Sheet



FACT SHEET

COOLING WATER INTAKE STRUCTURES - SECTION 316(b) Final Regulations for Cooling Water Intake Structures at Large Power Plants (Phase II)

On February 16, 2004, EPA established location, design, construction and capacity standards for cooling water intake structures at large power plants. The Clean Water Act calls for EPA to establish the best technology available to protect fish, shellfish and other forms of aquatic life. The final rule sets standards but provides flexibility by offering several alternatives for power plants to comply.

Background

Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires EPA to ensure that the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available to protect aquatic organisms from being killed or injured by impingement (being pinned against screens or other parts of a cooling water intake structure) or entrainment (being drawn into cooling water systems and subjected to thermal, physical or chemical stresses).

EPA divided this rulemaking into three phases: Phase I for new facilities was completed in December 2001. This rule, Phase II, is for existing electric generating plants that use large amounts of cooling water. Phase II addresses large existing power plants that are designed to withdraw 50 million gallons per day or more and that use at least 25 percent of their withdrawn water for cooling purposes only. Scheduled for proposal in November 2004, Phase III will apply to electric generating plants using smaller amounts of cooling water and for other industrial sectors.

The withdrawal of cooling water removes billions of aquatic organisms from waters of the U.S. each year, including fish, fish larvae and eggs, crustaceans, shellfish, sea turtles, marine mammals, and many other forms of aquatic life. Most impacts are to early life stages of fish and shellfish.

When the quantity of water withdrawn is large relative to the flow of the source waterbody, more organisms will be affected. Intakes in coastal waters, estuaries, and tidal rivers tend to have greater ecological impacts than those in freshwater lakes and offshore ocean intakes, since these areas are usually more biologically productive and have more aquatic organisms in early life stages.

Summary of the Final Rule

The final rule requires protection against these losses. For example, impingement requirements call for the number of organisms pinned against parts of the intake structure to be reduced by 80 to 95 percent from uncontrolled levels. Entrainment requirements call for the number of aquatic organisms drawn into the cooling system to be reduced by 60 to 90 percent from uncontrolled levels. Large power plants have flexibility to comply and to ensure energy reliability. The rule provides several compliance alternatives, such as using existing technologies, selecting additional fish protection technologies (such as screens with fish return systems), and using restoration measures.

Environmental Benefits and Costs

EPA conducted rigorous scientific and economic analyses to develop this rule. The Agency worked with states, industry groups, and environmental organizations to determine, on a national basis, how best to protect the aquatic life that are critical to the environment and to commercial and recreational activities. EPA considered the costs and financial impacts to industry and its ability to produce energy in developing flexible compliance alternatives. The rule will not interfere with the supply, distribution, or use of energy produced by these power plants.

This rule protects more than 200 million pounds of aquatic organisms annually from death or injury by cooling water intake structures. The impingement and entrainment reduction benefits range from \$73 million to \$83 million per year. These benefits are primarily from improvements to commercial and recreational fishing. There are likely to be other benefits, for example, more robust and productive aquatic ecosystems, although these are harder to quantify. EPA estimates that this rule affects about 550 facilities and costs about \$400 million per year.

How to Get Additional Information

For more information, please call Debbi Hart at 202-566-6379 or send an email to hart.debbi@epa.gov. You can also learn more about this final rule and other actions addressing cooling water intake structures by visiting EPA's Internet web site at <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/316b>.