

12/31/08

(4)

73 FR 80440

**PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** February 24, 2009  
**Received:** February 23, 2009  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** 808b608e  
**Comments Due:** March 18, 2009  
**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** NRC-2008-0672

Environmental Impact Statement; Availability, etc.: Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3, Buchanan, NY; License Renewal and Public Meeting

**Comment On:** NRC-2008-0672-0001

Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3; Notice of Availability of the Draft Supplement 38 to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants and Public Meeting for the License Renewal of Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit Nos. 2 and 3

**Document:** NRC-2008-0672-DRAFT-0001

Comment on FR Doc # E8-31161

**Submitter Information****Name:** american citizen**Address:**

na

na, NJ, 00000

**Submitter's Representative:** american citizen**Organization:** american citizens**Government Agency Type:** Federal**Government Agency:** NRC

RECEIVED

2009 FEB 24 PM 1:22

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
12000**General Comment**

i do not believe the standard of care is careful enough when granting renewal permits. i note that these nuclear plants are allowed to kill fish in the millions. i note this plant was leaking toxics into the area too. i believe this agency is acting as a rubber stamp for the industry instead of truly regulating, kind of like the sec which allowed the wall street master of the universe to get out of control. we need a heavy hand to keep nuclear safe. i don't think that heavy hand has been present by this commission. tighten up and do your job right please.

SONSI Review Complete  
 Template= ADM-013

FRIDS=ADM-03  
 Add = A. Stuyvenberg (ALS3)