IPRenewalCEmails

From: Howard [samgrand1999@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 4:26 AM
To: IndianPointEIS Resource
Subject: re-licensing of Indian Point

Hello,

I was told by Riverkeeper that one can send comments to this e-mail address. I am only one person living in Brooklyn, NY, so perhaps I don't know that much. But from what Riverkeeper has reported, there are many problems with Indian Point concerning strontium in the water affecting fish and possibly drinking water, various leaks into the Hudson, not enough safety measures in place, possible radiation leaks, and security concerns. I cannot imagine what your job is like and what reports and documents and hearings you must follow. It just seems that if we have an opportunity here to correct problems or prevent problems, it might be wise to do so, instead of just re-licensing Indian Point quickly.

I don't know how much New York relies on Indian Point for power. It just seems that after Three Mile Island in Pennsylvaina and Chernobyl all those years ago, we should make sure all safety precautions are in place, all parts are modernized and up to specifications, or we should just not re-license Indian Point. I don't understand all aspects of or the benefit of nuclear power, but I am sure Riverkeeper has more extensive and thorough information. If you do not consider my comments, I hope that you will listen to more knowledgeable people such as the people who are trying to protect us and keep us safe, at Riverkeeper and other organizations. Thank you for reading this and considering my comments.
Howard Hassman

Federal Register Notice: 73FR80440
Comment Number: 20

Mail Envelope Properties (123048.19054.qm)

Subject: re-licensing of Indian Point
Sent Date: 3/5/2009 4:26:12 AM
Received Date: 3/5/2009 4:26:13 AM
From: Howard

Created By: samgrand1999@yahoo.com

Recipients:
"IndianPointEIS Resource" <IndianPoint.EIS@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: web35402.mail.mud.yahoo.com

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	1454	3/5/2009 4:26:13 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: