Sheet 1 of 8

REV 0

Condition Report Number:

Assigned Department:

CR-IP2-2005-03986 and IP2-2005-04151

Radiation Protection Radiation Protection

PROBLEM STATEMENT: (The WHAT)

Onsite monitoring wells indicated elevated to trace levels of tritium radioactivity. MW-111(IP2 transformer yard well) showed tritium concentrations of 211,000 pCi/l and IP3 wells(near the Unit 3 turbine bldg. and discharge canal) showed tritium concentrations ranging from 417 to 960 pCi/l, and two core bore wells beneath the Unit 3 turbine bldg showed tritium concentrations ranging from 703 to 1,590 pCi/l. No other plant related radioisotopes were identified in all samples.

A four hour notification report was made to the NRC pursuant to 10CFR50.72, and several other governmental agencies and other stake-holders were also notified.

EXPLANATION OF PROBLEM: (The HOW)

On September 29,2005(date of sample), tritium radioactivity from an onsite monitoring well was found to have 211,000 pCi/l tritium, which is above the ODCM reporting limit of 30,000 pC/l. This well was previously established in early 2000 for the monitoring of contaminates such as oil and PCBs, in preparation for the sale of IP2 to Entergy. The well (MW-111), is located inside the site protective area in the Unit 2 transformer yard, an area near both the Unit 1 and 2 facilities. The well was last sampled for radioactivity (tritium and gamma spectra analysis) in March 2000. and the results showed no detectable plant related radioisotopes. In addition, three other onsite monitoring wells were sampled (MW-107,108 and 111) to investigate past leakage associated with the Unit 1 spent fuel pools. These samples also showed no detectable plant related radioisotopes. None of these wells were subsequently sampled for radioactivity until October 2005 as part of the investigation into the apparent Unit 2 spent fuel pool liner leak. These wells were sampled periodically for oil and PCBs only. In mid October 2005; five additional wells were sampled in the general vicinity of the Unit 3 turbine bldg, and discharge canal. Trace concentrations of tritium were identified as discussed above. On November 3, 2005, a 30-day report was filed with the NRC describing these issues and future corrective actions. For perspective, the EPA drinking water regulations (40CFR141) limits tritium to 20,000 pCi/l. All of the onsite wells are for monitoring only and not sources for drinking water for onsite workers or the public

Since discovery of elevated tritium activity in these wells, a weekly sampling program was established. Tritium concentrations in MW-111 have essentially remained constant except for a one week period of heavy rains in mid October. During that period, tritium concentrations significantly dropped to 6,820 pCi/l. However, one week later, its concentration returned to 284,000 pCi/l, and has generally remained constant between 250,000 to 300,000 pCi/l as of November 10, 2005. Tritium concentrations in the other Unit 3 wells also varied somewhat since discovery. U3-1, U3-2, and U3-4 wells now are less than detectable and have been for the last four weeks. U3-3 well is still exhibiting very low levels of tritium at 471 pCi/l, and the two core bore well samples beneath the Unit 3 turbine bldg. are showing low levels of tritium at 563(T-1) and 1635(T-2) pCi/l respectively. T-1 samples were less than detectable for the last four weeks and now(11/10/05) is detectable, where as T-2 has consistently showed tritium concentrations ranging from 1420 to 1600 pCi/l. T-2 is at the north end of the five foot elevation and T-1 is at

Sheet 2 of 8

the south end five foot elevation. Of interest, is T-2 tritium concentrations did not vary significantly after the site heavy rains from mid-October 2005.

IPEC has an offsite radiological environmental monitoring program (REMP) which routinely samples offsite drinking water sources and other special water sources for radioactivity. Quarterly drinking water samples are taken the Campfield reservoir in Peekskill, NY and the Croton reservoir. Further, samples are taken from an abandoned well (5th street well) in Verplanck, which is no longer used as a drinking water source. Monthly special water samples are also taken from two near site outfalls (Algonquin and Gypsum streams), both of which discharge directly to the Hudson River just of the plant's owner control area. Also, samples are taken at an abandoned flooded rock quarry located in Verplanck. These special sample locations were chosen specifically to monitor any potential offsite tritium releases from the known Unit 1 SFP leakage. Historical sampling results for all of these locations have shown no detectable plant related radioactivity for the past ten years or since new monitoring locations were added to the REMP program. The Algonquin outfall was first sampled in 1996 and the 5th street well in August 2002. There are no other known well water drinking water sources near the site.

Special independent samples of MW-111 were analyzed by Teledyne and confirmed the accuracy of IPEC's laboratory and Fitzpatrick's laboratory for tritium and gamma spectra analysis. Further this well was sampled for Sr-90 and Ni-63, two additional hard-to-detect isotopes of interest as it relates to plant operations, and no detectable activity was identified. The NY Department of Conservation split samples with IPEC at all wells where tritium was detected and their results were in very good agreement with IPEC's results.

To date, IPEC has contracted with a hydrologist firm and other knowledgeable consultants to determine the source(s) of groundwater contamination, the general groundwater flow direction and flow rates, and to determine what additional monitoring is necessary. Currently, an onsite well monitoring modification project has been approved for the installation of nine new wells. These wells are currently being installed.

An evaluation of the potential radiation doses to offsite receptors from the ground water contamination was done assuming the water went directly to the Hudson River and was not diluted via the discharge canal. Only near site dilution was considered. The exposure pathways considered are the ingestion of contaminated drinking water and of fresh-water fish. The calculations showed potential doses to any organs of an offsite receptor were less than 1.0 E-04 mrem/quarter, significantly lower than the ODCM quarterly limits of 1.5 mrem to the whole body and 5.0 mrem to any organ.

The following actions are necessary in order to determine final cause(s) of the tritium groundwater contamination to onsite monitoring wells;

- Complete the K-T root cause analysis already underway to determine final causes of tritium contamination to onsite monitoring wells—Engineering/WPO
- Complete installation of the onsite monitoring well modification project, Phase one— Facilities
- Complete hydrologist study of site ground water physical parameters such as water flow

Sheet 3 of 8

- rate, direction and discharge points to offsite environment-Eng
- Determine if additional onsite monitoring wells are necessary(Phase 2) in order to determine more accurate ground water flow/direction and sources of ground water contamination, plume definition, and potential site remediation-Eng
- Determine if tritium ground water contamination warrants remediation--RP
- Develop and implement ground water tracer program for various onsite systems or facilities of interest to determine sources of ground water contamination-Eng
- Develop a long term onsite well monitoring program including sample frequency, training, procedures methodology, equipment needs, and sampling types-RP.
- Update site licensing documents(FSAR, drawings, etc.) to capture onsite monitoring well modification--Eng
- Identify all onsite underground piping or equipment/tanks, which contain radioactive liquids which may be a contributor to tritium ground water contamination-Eng.
- Update 30-day report to NRC-Licensing
- Update ODCM and RG 1.21 report to reflect needed changes/outcomes from the well
 monitoring program results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to
 offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted for--Chem.
- Identify existing site unlined sumps and radioactive storage tanks (i.e., RWST, Waste Distillate tanks, etc.) which may contribute to ground water contamination for inspection, repair (if necessary) and ongoing PM--Eng.
- Update 10CFR50.75(g) file based on outcome of this investigation--RP
- Operations to benchmark other PWRs/BWRs SFP inventory practices as it relates to pool inventory, boron mass balance and leak/level monitoring capabilities--Ops.
- Add new monitoring well, MW-138(P-9) to the offsite REMP program and revise sampling procedure as necessary. Well shall be sampled to the same criteria as the other eight onsite wells—RP/NEM.
- Obtain technical assistance from EPRI organization as it relates to their experience in onsite well monitoring programs and procedures—RP
- RP, Chemistry, Operations and Engineering to discuss this CR during its continual training programs
- Develop OE package for dissemination to INPO—CA&A.

APPARENT/CONTRIBUTING CAUSE(S): (The WHY)

AC1 The apparent cause(s) of this event is currently undetermined. A special investigation team has been established to investigate these issues and a K-T root cause investigation is currently underway. The team has met several times and continues to meet as new information becomes available. A separate corrective action is issued to WPO engineering to complete the K-T analysis and issue additional corrective actions, if necessary, not discussed in this report.

Contributing causes were as follows:

CC-1)--Ineffective utilization of existing onsite monitoring wells for radioactive contamination of ground water

Sheet 4 of 8

EXTENT OF CONDITION:

The EOC is limited to onsite ground water contamination only as no offsite contamination of any plant related isotopes was identified. The site never had any onsite well monitoring program for testing for radioactivity.

COMPLETED CORRECTIVE ACTION(S): (see Procedure step 5.4[2](e))

| ISSUE / PROBLEM | SOLUTION / RESOLUTION / ACTION / COMPLETED [note any Work Orders, MODs, other] | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CA1.determine offsite dose impact to public from HTO contamination | .Completed—offsite dose assessment made and radiological impact was determined to be significantly lower than the ODCM quarterly limits. | | | | |
| CA2.determine initial EOC of HTO ground water contamination | Establish weekly monitoring program for all wells were HTO was identified | | | | |
| | • | | | | |

PROPOSED/ASSIGNED CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

| ITEM # | ISSUE/CAUSE | SOLUTION / RESOLUTION [note any Work Orders, MODs, other] | TYPE CA | Assigned Department | Due Date | PCRS CA# |
|--------|---|--|---------|------------------------|----------|-------------|
| CA1 | .determine apparent cause(s) | Complete the initial K-T root cause analysis already underway to determine final causes of tritium contamination to onsite monitoring wells— Engineering/WPO | Perform | Eng | 12/15/05 | |
| CA2 | Need additional onsite monitoring wells to characterize plume, water flow and direction | Complete installation of the onsite monitoring well modification project, Phase one—Construction | Perform | Faclities | 2/28/06 | |
| CA3 | Need additional site hydrology information | Complete hydrologist study of site ground water | Perform | Eng | 3/31/06 | |

Sheet 5 of 8

| _ | | • | | | | | |
|---|---------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|---|-----|
| | · | | physical parameters | | | A | |
| | | | such as water flow | | | 1. | t . |
| | | | rate, direction and | | | | |
| l | ٠. إ | | discharge points to | . , | | | |
| 1 | | | offsite environment- | . , | | | |
| 1 | · · · . | * | Eng | : : | | | |
| | | | | ŧ | • | | |
| | | · · | | | | | |
| - | | Are the new | Determine if | | | | ٠. |
| | | additional nine wells | additional onsite | Perform | Eng | 6/25/06 | |
| | CA4 | sufficient to | monitoring wells are | ٠. | | | |
| | 9 | characterize site | necessary(Phase 2) | | | | |
| | , | ground water flow? | in order to determine | | · | , | |
| 1 | | ground water now: | more accurate | • | | | |
| | | ; | | | | 1 | |
| | 1 | | ground water | | | | |
| | | | flow/direction and | • | | · | |
| ŀ | | ran Amerika | sources of ground | | | | |
| | | eng अर्थितार्थि है। स | water contamination, | , | | | |
| | | · · | plume definition, and | | | | |
| ł | | · | potential site | , | • | | 1. |
| | , | | remediation-Eng | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ī | CA5 | HTO ground | Determine if tritium | Perform | RP | 4/15/2006 | |
| İ | | contamination | ground water | | - | | |
| . | | exceeds EPA | contamination | | | | |
| l | | standards | warrants | | | · | |
| | | Staridards | remediationRP | | | | |
| | | | Terriculation | | · | | |
| ŀ | CA6 | Identify which HTO | Develop and | Perform | Eng | 3/31/2006 | |
| | | source is | implement ground | | 3 | _,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| | • | contaminating MW- | water tracer | | | | |
| | | 111 | program for various | , | | | |
| - | | 1.4.1 | onsite systems or | | | | |
| l | | | facilities of interest | , | ş* | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | to determine | | | | |
| | | · | sources of ground | | | | |
| | • | | water | | | | |
| 1 | | | contamination-Eng | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - |
| | CA7 | Need site well | Develop a long term | Perform | RP | 2/28/2006 | |
| | | monitoring program to | onsite well | | | | |
| | | meet objectives | monitoring program | , | | | |
| | | | including sample | i i | | | |
| Ì | | | frequency, training, | | | | |
| ١ | • | | procedures | | • | | |
| | | | methodology, | | | | : |
| L | | <u> </u> | inourousy, | L | [| I | |

Sheet 6 of 8

| | r | | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|------------|---|--|---|-----------|------------|-----|
| | | equipment needs, | | | , | ٠. |
| | | and sampling types- | | · · | | |
| | | RP | • . | | • | |
| CA8 | Well modification | Update site licensing | Perform | Eng | 4/15/2006 | |
| J OAO | program needs to be | documents(FSAR, | 1 01101111 | - =9 | 77 10/2000 | |
| 1 1 1 1 mg | , | | | | 1 - 1 | |
| | reflected in licensing | drawings, etc.) to | | | | |
| | bases | capture onsite | | | | ŀ . |
| | | monitoring well | | · | | |
| | | modificationEng | | • | | |
| | | | | | | |
| CA9 | Several underground | Identify all onsite | Perform | Eng | 3/31/2006 | |
| | piping systems | underground piping | | , | | |
| | contain HTO and may | or equipment/tanks, | | . · | | |
| | be a contributor | which contain | | | | |
| | | radioactive liquids | | | | |
| | | which may be a | · | | | , |
| | | contributor to tritium | | , | | |
| | | ground water | | | | |
| | | , — | | | | |
| 0.140 | NIDO CO. I | contamination-Eng | - · | 1 | 4/4 5/0000 | |
| CA10 | NRC 30-day report | Update 30-day | Perform | Licensing | 4/15/2006 | |
| | needs updating | report to NRC- | | | | |
| | | Licensing | | | · | · |
| | | | | | | |
| CA11 | ODCM may need | Update ODCM and | Perform | Chem | 4/15/2006 | |
| , | updating/modification | RG 1.21 report to | | | | |
| | if HTO is identified as | reflect needed | • | | | |
| | new release point | changes/outcomes | | , | | |
| | • | from the well | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| l l | | monitoring program | ŕ | | | |
| | | monitoring program | | | · | |
| - | | results, tracer study | | | | |
| - | | results, tracer study results and | | | | |
| | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as | | | | |
| - | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite | | | | |
| | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations | | | | |
| | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases | | | | |
| | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous | | | | |
| | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted for | | | | |
| | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem | | | | |
| CA12 | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | sumps are unlined | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site unlined sumps and | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | sumps are unlined | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site unlined sumps and | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | sumps are unlined and holding tanks | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site unlined sumps and radioactive storage | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | sumps are unlined and holding tanks may also be degraded all of which | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site unlined sumps and radioactive storage tanks(i.e., RWST, Waste Distillate | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | sumps are unlined and holding tanks may also be degraded all of which contain significant | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted for-Chem Identify existing site unlined sumps and radioactive storage tanks(i.e., RWST, Waste Distillate tanks, etc.) which | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |
| CA12 | sumps are unlined and holding tanks may also be degraded all of which | results, tracer study results and hydrologist report as it relates to offsite dose calculations from HTO releases not previous accounted forChem Identify existing site unlined sumps and radioactive storage tanks(i.e., RWST, Waste Distillate | Perform | Eng | 2/28/2006 | |

Sheet 7 of 8

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | <u> </u> | , |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|------------|----|
| 1 | | contamination for | | | | |
| | _ : | inspection, repair(if | - | | | |
| | | necessary) and | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| 0.4.0 | 184 11 11 11 | ongoing PMEng | | | 1/1 1/0000 | |
| CA13 | Wells identified with | Update | Perform | RP | 4/14/2006 | |
| • | HTO are not captured | 10CFR50.75(g) file | | | | |
| | in 10CFR50.75(g) | based on outcome | | • | | |
| | | of this investigation | | | | |
| | | RP | | | · | |
| | | 1 " | | | | |
| CA14 | U2 SFP does not | Operations to | Perform | One | 4/15/2006 | |
| CAIT | | | renoim | Ops | 4/15/2006 | |
| | have a tell-tail drain to | benchmark other | | - | | '. |
| | quickly identify a leak | PWRs/BWRs SFP | | e e p | | |
| | and SFP water | inventory practices | | | | - |
| | inventory practices | as it relates to pool | | | | . |
| | need to be re- | inventory, boron | | - | | |
| | evaluated | mass balance and | | | | |
| | | leak/level monitoring | · | • | | |
| | • | capabilitiesOps | | , | | |
| CA15 | One of the new pine | | Dorform | DD/NEM | 0/04/0006 | |
| CAIS | One of the new nine | Add new monitoring | Perform | RP/NEM | 3/31/2006 | |
| | wells is located offsite | well, MW-38(P-9) to | | | | |
| | and represents a | the offsite REMP | | • | ÷ | |
| | potential indicator for | program and revise | , | | · | |
| | offsite radiological | sampling procedure | | | • | |
| | impact | as necessary. Well | | | | |
| , | . | shall be sampled to | | | | |
| | | the same criteria as | | | | |
| | | the other eight | | • | | |
| | • | 1 ' | | | | • |
| | | onsite wells— | | | | |
| | | RP/NEM. | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| CA16 | IPEC lacks | Obtain technical | Perform | RP | 3/31/2006 | |
| | experience in onsite | assistance from | | | | |
| | ground water | EPRI organization | | ; · · | ; ; | |
| | monitoring for HTO | as it relates to their | | | | |
| | 3.0 | experience in onsite | | | | |
| | | well monitoring | | | | . |
| | | . • | | | r | . |
| | • | programs and | | · . | | |
| • . | | procedures—RP | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| CA17 | Varies department | RP, Chemistry, | Perform | RP Eng | 4/15/2006 | |
| CA18 | need to brief staff on | Operations and | , | Chem | | |
| CA19 | lessons learned from | Engineering to | | Ops | | |
| CA20 | this CR | discuss this CR | | Opo | | ' |
| | 1113 011 | | | | | |
| | • | during its continual | | | | |
| L | | training programs | | | | |

Sheet 8 of 8

| | | | | | • |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Share OE with | Develop OE | Perform | CA&A | 3/31/2006 | <i></i> |
| industry | package for | | - | | |
| | dissemination to | | • | | |
| | INPO—CA&A. | | | | |
| en de la companya de | | | | | |
| | | | | ļ. | |
| | industry | industry package for dissemination to INPO—CA&A. |