

HYDROLOGY OF INDIAN POINT SITE  
AND SURROUNDING AREA

METCALF & EDDY ENGINEERS

OCTOBER, 1965

REPORT PREPARED BY GEORGE P. FULTON  
UNDER DIRECTION OF HARRY L. KINSEL, P. E.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge with thanks the assistance of many public officials, including the following, in furnishing data for this report:

---

Mr. Alfred Morgan, Chief Engineer  
Palisades Interstate Park Commission

Mr. George O'Keefe, Director, Division  
of Environmental Sanitation, Rockland  
County Health Department

Mr. George Natt, Director,  
Westchester County Water Agency

Mr. Michael Frimpter, U. S.  
Geological Survey, Middletown, New York

INTRODUCTION

The hydrological features of the Indian Point site have been studied in three categories; the Hudson River, ground water and surface water reservoirs. Flow data and the flood history of the Hudson River in the vicinity of the Indian Point plant are discussed. Ground water sources within the area are generally used for industrial or commercial purposes with some limited residential usage on the west side of the river. The surface water reservoirs in the surrounding area that are used for water supplies and sources of alternate water supplies are also described.

## HUDSON RIVER

### General

The Consolidated Edison Indian Point plant is situated on the east bank of the Hudson River below Peekskill, just above Verplancks Point. In the general area of the plant, water from the Hudson River is used only for industrial cooling purposes. The nearest community utilizing the Hudson River for a public water supply at the present time is Poughkeepsie, some 30 miles upstream from the plant site.

### Flow

Flow data for the Hudson River were abstracted from a previous report of Mr. K. Kennison, submitted to Consolidated Edison on November 18, 1958 (included as an appendix to the section on hydrology). Flood data were obtained from the Survey Division of the Corps of Engineers in New York City.

In the vicinity of Indian Point, the width of the Hudson River ranges from 4,500 to 5,000 feet with maximum depths of from 55 to 75 feet. Cross sectional areas of the river from a point three quarters of a mile upstream from the plant site to a mile downstream are in the order of from 165,000 to 170,000 square feet.

Flow duration records of the Hudson River for a 17-year period preceding 1930 show the following:

<u>Rate of Flow</u> c.f.s.	<u>Percent of Time</u> Exceeded
26,000	20%
15,250	40%
10,500	60%
7,000	80%
4,000	98%

It is evident that even the highest rates of flow expected will influence depth of flow in the river to only a small degree in the vicinity of the plant. This is due to the relatively high available flow section and the width of the river. River depth is affected more by the tidal influence than it can be by any anticipated flood flows.

The Hudson River is tidal as far upstream as Troy, some 100 miles from Indian Point. The elevation of the water surface in the vicinity of the plant is so responsive to the tidal cycle that average rate of flow has little effect on depth of flow or velocity of flow.

#### Flood History

Tide elevations vary both daily and seasonally and, in addition, can be affected by atmospheric conditions such as can exist during extreme storms or hurricanes. The atmospheric conditions can cause a surge which, added to the normal tide, establishes water elevation.

The highest water elevation at the U.S.G.S. station at Verplancks Point, one-half mile below Indian Point, was 7.4 feet above MSL (mean sea level) recorded in the year 1950. A higher surge occurred in 1960, but the normal tide stage was such that actual water elevation was somewhat less than the 1950 record. In an earlier period, before 1935, the highest recorded elevation was 4.75 ft. above MSL at Verplancks Point on August 24, 1933.

Mean water elevations at Verplancks Point are just below 1.0 (MSL). The mean range of water depth stages is about 3.0 ft.. With high runoff in the Hudson River Basin, the mean range at times averages a half a foot higher during the spring period.

The highest river elevation, recorded in 1950, was about 6.5 feet higher than average river levels, or some 5.0 feet higher than average high river stages. Considering past flood history and the fact that flood stages are primarily the effect of tidal influence, flooding of the Indian Point plant site appears to be a highly unlikely possibility.

#### Contamination Potential

The hazards of contamination of water supplies by discharge of water borne wastes from the Consolidated Edison Indian Point plant are almost minimal. In the reach of the Hudson River that could be affected, river water is used only for industrial cooling.

It should be mentioned that the City of New York is now in the process of constructing a river water pumping station at Chelsea in Putnam County below Poughkeepsie. The intent is to pump Hudson River water into the City system.

## WELLS AND GROUND WATER

### General

Within a five-mile radius of the plant the only public water supply using ground water is the Stony Point system of Utilities and Industries located in Rockland County across the river from Indian Point. Reports on ground water resources within this five-mile radius indicate the existence of numerous other wells. These wells are for industrial and commercial usage and for individual water supplies for private residences. Residential usage, however, is almost entirely confined to the area on the west side of the Hudson River.

### Ground Water Geology

Water bearing strata in the area within a five-mile radius of Indian Point can be divided into unconsolidated surface deposits and consolidated bedrock. Unconsolidated deposits cover most of the bedrock in this area and range in thickness from a few feet in the hills to several hundred feet in the larger valleys. Unconsolidated deposits range from clays, which produce only meager quantities of water, to coarse sand and gravel capable of yielding several hundred gallons per minute to a well.

The bedrock underlies the unconsolidated deposits and, where these are absent, crops out at the surface. Ground water in bedrock occurs principally in fractures and solution channels.

Thus, the water bearing characteristics are generally similar, although the rocks differ widely in mineral composition and water yield.

Bedrock in Westchester County is, for the most part, metamorphic in character and includes schist and gneiss, with smaller amounts of limestone, quartzite and slate. Small injections of granite can also be found. Only minimal yields of ground water can be obtained from bedrock formations in Westchester County.

Consolidated rocks are the chief source of water in Rockland County. Principal rock units include the following:

- a) Newark Group - sandstone, shale and conglomerate.
- b) Palisade Diabase - diabase with some basalt.
- c) Cambrian and Ordovician Rocks - quartzite, limestone and dolomite.
- d) Precambrian Rocks - granite, gneiss, with some schist and diorite.

The Newark group provides the greatest source of ground water supply in Rockland County. The other units of bedrock yield only minimal quantities, as in Westchester County.

A small area of Orange County lies within the 5-mile radius being considered. Wells in this area have been drilled in bedrock formations similar to those in Westchester County where the water yield is small.

### Well Supplies

As mentioned before, the only public water supply served by wells in the 5-mile radius of Indian Point is the Stony Point System. This system serves the Villages of Haverstraw and West Haverstraw as well as portions of the Towns of Haverstraw and Stony Point. The Stony Point supply wells are located in stratified drift, an unconsolidated formation. These wells are relatively shallow, the greatest depth about 35 ft. Total yield of the wells to the system averages about 550 gpm.

Other wells in Rockland County, in the area being considered, include some wells for commercial and industrial use and many private wells serving individual residences. These wells are located in bedrock for the most part and range from 100 to 300 ft. in depth. Consumption of water from wells serving private homes will vary from 100 to 1,000 gpd (gallons per day), depending on the number of persons using the supply and the facilities using water.

There are only a few wells still in use in Westchester County within the 5-mile radius. Almost all the wells within 2 to 3 miles of Indian Point have been abandoned and connections have been made to public water systems for supply. At the fringes of the area a few private wells are used for individual residences. These wells are mostly in unconsolidated deposits with depths less than 50 ft. Some wells exist in bedrock with depths varying up to several hundred feet.

A small portion of the community of Fort Montgomery in Orange County lies within 5 miles of the plant. Homes in this community are served entirely by individual private wells in bedrock. Depth of the wells vary up to several hundreds of feet.

Contamination Potential

The bedrock formation is such that it is highly unlikely that wastes percolating into the ground from the Indian Point site will reach the water bearing formations used for water supply on the west side of the river in Rockland and Orange Counties. Most of the wells in Westchester County are shallow, in unconsolidated formations with ground surface elevations considerably higher than at the plant site. This situation would preclude the possibility of contamination of the supply through ground water flow. Bedrock wells in Westchester County are similarly at higher elevations and, for the most part, are drilled in different rock formations than exists at the plant site.

SURFACE WATER RESERVOIRS

General

The major sources of water supply in the Indian Point area are lakes and surface water reservoirs. The reservoirs within a 15-mile radius of the plant site are tabulated in Tables 1-7 along with the users, capacities and distances from Indian Point. A detailed analysis of the reservoirs within 5 miles of the plant describes alternate sources of supply to those communities served by the reservoirs.

City of Peekskill-Camp Field Reservoir

The 54-million gallon Camp Field Reservoir of the City of Peekskill system, located 2.9 miles from Indian Point, is a raw-water receiving basin for the water treatment plant. Water is pumped into this basin from Peekskill Hollow Brook. For the most part, the water supply is the continuous flow of this brook. At times of low flow the supply can be supplemented by releasing water into the stream from holding reservoirs in Wicopee (Putnam County) some 11.7 miles from Indian Point or from the Catskill Aqueduct of the City of New York, located a short distance upstream from the pump intake.

The City of Peekskill system is divided into two service pressure areas. Water for the low-pressure area flows by gravity from Camp Field Reservoir through a bank of slow-sand filters

into the system. No additional storage is provided for this section of the system. Water for the high-service area flows from the reservoir through two diatomaceous earth filters by gravity and then is pumped to a pair of elevated storage tanks with a total capacity of 800,000 gallons. The high-service system serves approximately 25 percent of the Peekskill area. The remaining area, including Standard Brands and most of the other industrial consumers, is served by the low-pressure system.

Total water consumption in Peekskill averages about 5 mgd. The largest single user is Standard Brands, at an average rate of 1.5 mgd. All water is supplied from Peekskill Hollow Brook. Two connections to other systems are available for emergency conditions. One is the above-mentioned Catskill Aqueduct connection which discharges into Peekskill Hollow Brook. This flow must be processed through the two treatment facilities for use. The other emergency connection is to the Montrose Water District system which can supply between 1.0 and 1.25 mgd from the Catskill Aqueduct to the low-service section of the Peekskill system.

Since no piping is installed to bypass Camp Field Reservoir, contamination of this basin would deprive Peekskill of its normal source of supply. Installation of a bypass would involve some 800 lin. ft. of 24-in. pipe between the inlet force mains and the outlet lines to the two filter facilities. With such a

bypass, it would be possible to take water directly to the filters from Peekskill Hollow Brook after the passage of contaminated water in the event of prolonged contamination of Camp Field Reservoir. It might be necessary to accelerate flushing out of the brook and the impoundment at the pumping station in such a situation by releasing water from either the Catskill Aqueduct or the Wicopee reservoirs.

Peekskill most likely could not depend on the Montrose connection alone. This can supply less than one-half the normal demands of the low-service system even with the assumption that Standard Brands would not operate during the emergency. The high-service system has only 800,000-gallon storage, which would last less than 24 hours after shutting down the Peekskill Hollow Brook supply.

As presently arranged, the City of Peekskill would be practically deprived of a water supply with elimination of Peekskill Hollow Brook as a source. A study will soon be made under the auspices of the Westchester County Water Agency and the State of New York to determine the feasibility of connecting the Peekskill system to a proposed transmission main crossing northern Westchester County from the Delaware Aqueduct of the City of New York. This proposal could furnish an independent source of water in sufficient supply to serve all the needs of the City of Peekskill in the event of an emergency.

Palisades Interstate Park Commission - Queensboro Lake

Queensboro Lake, some 5 miles from Indian Point, serves as the year-round water supply for Bear Mountain Inn. The inn facilities include the offices of the Palisades Interstate Park Commission as well as a hotel and restaurant. Three other lakes feed into Queensboro Lake through stream flow or by pipe connection. Only Queensboro Lake is connected directly to the water system and no bypass is available to route water around the lake from a more distant location.

In case of contamination of Queensboro Lake, Bear Mountain Inn would be deprived of its water supply. A neighboring community, Fort Montgomery, is served entirely by individual private wells. This would seem to indicate that installation of an emergency well supply for Bear Mountain Inn would be feasible.

Stony Point Water System - Utilities and Industries

The Stony Point supply of Utilities and Industries, an investor-owned water company, serves the towns of Stony Point and Haverstraw as well as the villages of Haverstraw and West Haverstraw. Total average consumption is about 1.8 mgd with 1.0 mgd from a surface supply and 0.8 mgd from wells.

The impounding reservoir of the surface supply of 4.5 million gallon capacity is located some 3.5 miles from Indian Point. With contamination of this supply, the system would be left with only the wells which furnish about 45 percent of total consumption.

Negotiations are now under way for purchase of the Stony Point supply by the Spring Valley Water Company, an investor-owned utility serving most of the remaining areas of Rockland County. This company derives water from a well system of 13 to 15 mgd capacity and up to 7 mgd from De Forest Lake outflow some 10.8 miles from Indian Point. Plans have been completed for construction this fall of a connection between the Spring Valley Water Company system and the Stony Point system. This connection will furnish well water from the Spring Valley supply to the Stony Point network.

As far as can be ascertained from public records, the above three systems comprise the only surface water usage within a 5-mile radius of the Indian Point power plant except for industrial cooling water usage of the Hudson River. All other supplies are reported as originating in wells or from surface storage outside the 5-mile limit.

WATER STORAGE RESERVOIRS  
 WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT  
WESTCHESTER COUNTY

TABLE 1

<u>Code</u>	<u>Reservoir</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Capacity Million Gallons</u>	<u>Distance Surface</u>	
				<u>Miles</u>	<u>Acres</u>
W-8	Indian Brook	Ossining WB.	101	6.5	17
W-18	Pocantico Lake	New Rochelle Wat. Co.	200	11.9	63
W-14	Fergusons Lake	Pocantico Hills Est.	40 *	13.5	28
W-13	Tarrytown Res.	Tarrytown	313	14.0	85
W-13	Open Res. - 2	Tarrytown	1.75 & 1.10	14.0	1
W-1	Croton Res.	New York City (See List)	65,300 (Inside 15 mi.)		4059
W-10	Whippoorwill La.	New Castle Wat. Co.	25 *	13.3	8
W-11	Byram Lake	Mt. Kisco	950	15.0	133
W-11	Open Res.	Mt. Kisco	10 *	14.0	2
W-5	Lake Shenorock	Amawalk-Shenorock WD.	90 *	11.1	16
W-6	Open Res.	Lincoln Hall School	25 *	11.9	6
W-1A	Amawalk	NYC (See List)	10,000 (Included in W-1)	11.6	588
W-4	Camp Field Res.	Peekskill	54	2.9	11

\* Estimated

## WATER STORAGE RESERVOIRS

TABLE 2

## WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT

PUTNAM COUNTY

<u>Code</u>	<u>Reservoir</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Capacity Million Gallons</u>	<u>Distance Miles</u>	<u>Surface Acres</u>
P-20	Lake Mahopac	See List	5,000 *	12.7	577
P-10	Oscawanna Lake	See List	3,500 *	9.5	362
P-21	Pelton Pond	N.Y.S. Fahnestock Park	125 *	14.0	11
P-6	Cold Spring	Cold Spring	150 *	13.0	25
B-3	Cargill Res.	Beacon	160	15.0	22
B-2	Mt. Beacon Res.	Beacon	180	14.5	17
B-1	Melzingah Res.	Beacon	60	13.3	8
W-4	Wicopee	Peekskill	1,200	11.7	166
P-5	Lake Secor	Carmel WD #5	350 *	10.8	50

\* Estimated

WATER STORAGE RESERVOIRS  
WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT

TABLE 3

ORANGE COUNTY

<u>Code</u>	<u>Reservoir</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Capacity Million Gallons</u>	<u>Distance Miles</u>	<u>Surface Acres</u>
0-11	Lusk Res.	U.S. M.A.	50 *	7.5	16
0-4	Intake Res.	Highland Falls	2.5	6.5	
	Bog Meadow	" "	80	8.3	43
	Little Bog	" "	4.5	7.5	2
	Jims Pond	" "	40	8.4	16
0-12	Turkey Hill La.	Palisades Int. Park	150	5.9	58
	Nawahunta La.	" " "	22	6.7	16
0-20	Silvermine La.	Palisades Int. Park	465	6.0	84
	Queensboro La.	" " "	56	5.0	37
0-16	Lake Stahahe	Palisades Int. Park	230	11.1	90
0-16	Summit Lake	Palisades Int. Park	110	8.3	34
	Barnes La.	Pal.Int.Pk. & U.S.M.A.	24	8.0	18
	Te'ata La.	Pal. Int. Pk.	77	7.7	32
	Upper Twin La.	" " "	105	7.7	24
	Lower Twin La.	" " "	88	7.6	26
	Massawiepa La.	" " "	104	7.7	29
0-17	Lake Tiorati	Pal.Int. Pk., Tiorati & Cohasset	1,500	6.7	296
0-10	Cromwell Lake	Woodbury	80	11.2	55
0-2	Walton Lake	Chester	300	14.6	129
0-5	Lake Mombasha	Monroe	1,750	13.0	324
0-1	Echo Lake	Arden Farms	40 *	9.5	30
0-7	Op Res.	Sterling Forest	60 *	13.7	42

## ORANGE COUNTY (CONT'D.)

TABLE 3 (CONT'D)

<u>Code</u>	<u>Reservoir</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Capacity Million Gallons</u>	<u>Distance Miles</u>	<u>Surface Acres</u>
0-8&9	Tuxedo Lake	Tuxedo & Tuxedo Pk.	2,500	14.5	294
0-3	Aleck Meadow	Cornwall	23	9.2	9
	Arthur's Pond	Cornwall	115	9.2	20

\* Estimated

WATER STORAGE RESERVOIRS  
 WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT  
ROCKLAND COUNTY

TABLE 4

<u>Code</u>	<u>Reservoir</u>	<u>User</u>	<u>Capacity Million Gallons</u>	<u>Distance Miles</u>	<u>Surface Acres</u>
R-14	Lake Sebago	Sebago Lake, Pal. Int. Pk.	1,100	10.8	300
R-18	Lake Welch	Welch Lake	1,000	7.2	209
R-13	Breakneck Pond	Breakneck Lake, Pal. Int. Pk.	100	9.2	63
R-3	Sec. & Third Res.	Letchworth Vill.	100	8.5	40
R-1	Open Res.	Utilities & Ind.	4.5	3.5	5
R-7	Hillburn Res.	Hillburn	1.0	14.7	4
R-6	DeForest Lake	Hackensack Wat. Co. Spring Val. Wat. Co.	5,500	10.8	960

TABLE 5

MULTIPLE USERS OF WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS  
WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT  
WESTCHESTER COUNTY

New Croton Aqueduct (New York City)

Ossining Water Board  
Sing Sing Prison  
Village of North Tarrytown  
New Rochelle Water Company  
    Village of Bronxville  
    Town of Eastchester  
    Village of North Pelham  
    Village of Pelham  
    Village of Pelham Manor  
    Village of Tuckahoe  
Village of Irvington  
Village of Briarcliff Manor  
New Castle Water District #1  
Village of Tarrytown

Old Croton Aqueduct (New York City)

Ossining Water Board  
    Village of Ossining  
    Town of Ossining  
    Sing Sing Prison

TABLE 5 (CONT'D.)

Kensico Reservoir (New York City)

City of White Plains

North Castle District #1

Westchester Joint Water Works No. 1

Village of Mamaroneck

Town of Harrison

Town of Mamaroneck

City of Rye

City of New Rochelle

Village of Larchmont

Village of Scarsdale

Village of Pelham Manor

Harrison District #1

Catskill Aqueduct (New York City)

Grasslands (Westchester Co.)

Hawthorne Improvement District

Hawthorne

Town of Mt. Pleasant

Valhalla W D

Valhalla

Town of Mt. Pleasant

City of Yonkers

Village of Scarsdale

New Rochelle Wat. Co. (same as Pocantico Lake)

TABLE 5 (CONT'D.)

Amawalk Reservoir (New York City)

Yorktown W S D D

Amawalk Heights W D

Town of Somers

Town of Yorktown (13 Water Districts)

Peekskill System (City of Peekskill)

City of Peekskill

Village of Buchanan

Town of Cortlandt

Indian Brook Reservoir (Ossining Water Board)

Village of Ossining

Town of Ossining

Sing Sing Prison

Whippoorwill Lake (New Castle Water Co.)

Town of New Castle (Part)

Town of North Castle (Part)

Pocantico Lake (New Rochelle Water Co.)

Village of Ardsley

Village of Dobbs Ferry

Town of Greenburgh

Village of Hastings

Village of Scarsdale

Village of Eastchester

TABLE 5 (CONT'D.)

Tarrytown Reservoir

Village of Tarrytown

Glenville W D.

Town of Greenburgh

Eastview

Town of Mount Pleasant

Village of North Tarrytown

TABLE 6

MULTIPLE USERS OF WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS  
WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT  
PUTNAM COUNTY

Lake Oscawanna

Hiawatha Improvement Co.  
Hilltop W D  
Wildwood Knolls W D  
Oscawanna Lake (Private Homes)

Lake Mahopac

Lake Gardens  
Lake Mahopac Woods  
Mahopac Hills  
Mahopac Old Village  
Lake Mahopac (Private Homes)  
Lake Mahopac Ridge  
Lake View Park  
Mahopac School

TABLE 7

MULTIPLE USERS OF WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS  
WITHIN 15 MILE RADIUS OF INDIAN POINT  
ROCKLAND COUNTY

De Forest Lake

Hackensack Water Co.

Spring Valley Water Co.

Town of Clarkstown (Part)

Town of Ramapo

Town of Orangetown

Nyack

Village of Nyack

Village of South Nyack

Upper Nyack

Town of Clarkstown (Part)

Stony Point Supply (Utilities and Industries)

Town of Stony Point

Town of Haverstraw

Village of Haverstraw

Village of West Haverstraw

KARL R. KENNISON  
CIVIL AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEER  
361 CLINTON AVE., BROOKLYN, N. Y.

Nov. 18, 1955

Mr. G. R. Milne  
Mechanical Engineer  
Cons. Edison Co. of N. Y.  
4 Irving Place  
New York 3, N. Y.

Dear Sir :

You have described to me the general features of the atomic-energy power plant which you are planning to construct on the east bank of the Hudson River below Peekskill. I understand that you wish me to report on such hydrologic features of the site as may affect your plans.

From the information that you have made available to me I conclude that the most useful information I can give you is that which relates to the amount and character of the flow in the river. At the proposed site the river has a width of about 4500 to 5000 feet, a maximum depth of 55 to 75 feet at less than 1000 feet off shore, and a cross-sectional area of about 165,000 to 170,000 square feet. Sheet 1 shows a number of cross sections of the river, plotted from the U.S.C.&G.S. charts, at intervals of 1500 feet, from 3750 feet upstream to 5250 feet downstream from the proposed plant.

At this site the effect of the tides is all important and so far outweighs any other consideration that, at least for present purposes, the information already available on the day-by-day variation of the runoff from the tributary watershed is adequate.

On Sheet 2 I have plotted an approximate flow-duration curve from data I had already calculated covering a period of