

**Rulemaking Comments**

---

**From:** Mark Miller [plentybz@btl.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 02, 2009 11:17 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking Comments  
**Subject:** nuclear waste comments

Dear people at NRC:

I am writing to you with much concern about the continuation of production of Nuclear waste, with no known lifetime safe disposal method or site available. As I understand some of your recent proposed changes simply do not fit the standards to which I hold myself as a Professional Engineer. As an engineer, we are responsible first and foremost for the safety of the public, and taking unwarranted risks goes against all we stand for. Your language indicates to me that you are taking those unwarranted risks! Namely:

Finding 2: The Commission finds reasonable assurance that sufficient mined geologic repository capacity can reasonably be expected to be available within 50-60 years beyond the licensed life for operation (which may include the term of a revised or renewed license) of any reactor to dispose of the commercial high-level radioactive waste and spent fuel originating in such reactor and generated up to that time.

Finding 4: The Commission finds reasonable assurance that, if necessary, spent fuel generated in any reactor can be stored safely without significant environmental impacts for at least 60 years beyond the licensed life for operation (which may include the term of a revised or renewed license) of that reactor in a combination of storage in its spent fuel storage basin and either onsite or offsite independent spent fuel storage installations.

50 – 60 years is quite simply a very small and almost insignificant amount of time compared to the life of the nuclear waste. This takes an unwarranted risk with the lives of generations to come, and I must oppose this action. Given the mass body of information that we have, the only good engineering answer to this problem is to halt production of such waste immediately.

Mark Miller  
Patton Pennsylvania

Template = SECY-067

Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by TWMS01.nrc.gov  
(148.184.200.145) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.291.1; Mon, 2 Feb 2009  
11:17:37 -0500

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 3.9

X-MID: 25321940

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AksCABCrhknIIPgLi2dsb2JhbACCRSyLA4YkAQEBCgsKGLZ8hBQG

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.37,365,1231131600";

d="scan'208,217";a="25321940"

Received: from orchid.btl.net ([200.32.248.11]) by mail2.nrc.gov with ESMTP;  
02 Feb 2009 11:17:37 -0500

Received: from Plenty (btl-new-ip-33.btl.net [190.197.39.33] (may be forged))

(authenticated bits=0)

by orchid.btl.net (Switch-3.3.2/Switch-3.3.2) with

ESMTP id n12G34r4011679

for <rulemaking.comments@nrc.gov>; Mon, 2 Feb 2009

10:03:05 -0600 (CST)

From: Mark Miller <plentybz@btl.net>

To: <rulemaking.comments@nrc.gov>

Subject: nuclear waste comments

Date: Mon, 2 Feb 2009 10:17:29 -0600

Message-ID: <005901c98551\$bfe79440\$3fb6bcc0\$@net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="----=\_NextPart\_000\_005A\_01C9851F.754D2440"

X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0

Thread-Index: AcmFUb5ZDPzYu/bYQcerNzN+nuh7rA==

Content-Language: en-us

X-MASF: 0.00%

'X-Spam-Analysis': 'v=1.0 c=1 a=asriYTzXumPWbfynqSsA:9 a=mNm09KUpu\_4chq1OmX8A:7

a=9lspDCoFkH\_pf\_tCY8X1EUTRBYoA:4 a=9OHTkwyHC8cA:10 a=yMhMjIubAAAA:8

a=SSmOFEACAAAA:8 a=BA8ZTJHHYiXqXyIKPV0A:9 a=c6oCKvhom-TPjVolybWA:7

a=JIPrYuK13flg\_2nkftvWvDacg2sA:4 a=37WNUvjkh6kA:10'

Return-Path: plentybz@btl.net