AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC	CATION OF CONTRA	BPA NO.		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGE
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE See Block 16C	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NRC-42-07-481-016 09748116159	NO.		i. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY CODE	3100	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other tha	an Item	n 6) COE	DE 3100
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Div. of Contracts Attn: Kala Shankar Mail Stop: TWB-01-B10M Washington, DC 20555		U.S. Nuclear Reg Div. of Contract Mail Stop: TWB-0 Washington, DC 2	rulat s 01-B	tory Commission	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State	e and ZIP Code)		(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION	ON NO.
N J NUMARK ASSOCIATES INC NUMARK ASSOCIATES, INC.				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
1220 19TH ST NW STE 500				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRA NRC-42-07-481 0016	
WASHINGTON DC 200362444				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE DUNS 78824737	FACILITY CODE		Х	04-11-2008	
11. THIS ITEI	M ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF SC	)LIC	ITATIONS	
13. THIS ITEM AP	E DESIGNATED FOR THE Fue of this amendment you de nakes reference to the solicit  RR: 925-15-171-103 anding: \$15,000  PLIES ONLY TO MOD  THE CONTRACT/ORE	RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOF sire to change an offer alread ation and this amendment, and the same of the s	R TO  By sub  App  TRA  ED	THE HOUR AND DATE SPONIITED, such change may be received prior to the opening prop. 31x0200  CTS/ORDERS, IN ITEM 14.	PECIFIED MAY pe made
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED T SET FORTH IN ITEM 14. PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FA		CHANGES (such as changes in pa	aying of	ffice, appropriation date, etc.)	······································
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PUR	SUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
1-	suant to FAR Clause ual Agreement of Bot				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, x i	s required to sign this docum	ent and return 2	соріє	es to the issuing office.	
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by U) The purpose of this modification is to and 3. add \$15,000 in incremental funds Task Order Ceiling Amount: \$326,983 (ch Total Amount Obligated: \$310,861 (change) Period of Performance: 04/11/08-08/10/0	<ol> <li>reflect the addit</li> <li>anged)</li> <li>red)</li> </ol>			e SOW; 2. increase	task order ceiling
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referer	nced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore ch	T			
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)  Nell J. Numarly Resides	<del>+</del>	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRA Kala Shankar Contracting Offi		G OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	5C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	1/12/09	BY KULA SULAN (Signature of	<u>CV</u>	racting Officer)	- 1/12/09

NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITION NOT USABLE

The purpose of this modification is to (1) increase the ceiling by \$31,122 from \$295,861 to \$326,983, (2) obligate \$15,000 in incremental funds (3) add subtask 4a to SOW and incorporate the revised SOW to the task order. Accordingly, the subject task order is hereby modified as follows:

Paragraphs 2 and 3, page 2 of 2 under the base task order 16, are hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Task Order No. 16 shall be in effect sixteen months from date of award, with a cost ceiling of \$326,983. The amount of \$308,288 represents the estimated reimbursable costs, and the amount of \$18,695 represents the fixed fee.

The amount obligated by the Government with respect to this task order is \$310,861, of which \$293,265 represents the estimated reimbursable costs, and the amount of \$17,596 represents the fixed fee.

A summary of obligations for this task order, from award date through the date of this action is given below:

Total FY08 Obligation Amount: \$295,861.00
Total FY09 Obligation Amount: \$15,000.00
Cumulative Total of NRC Obligations: \$310,861.00

\*\*\*ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SUBJECT TASK ORDER REMAIN UNCHANGED\*\*\*

### TASK ORDER STATEMENT OF WORK

Jeffrey Poehler	(301) 415-8353	Jeffrey.Poehler@nrc.gov	
David.D'Abate  NRC Technical Monitor (TM)	(301) 415- <b>0667</b>	<u>David.DAbate@nrc.gov</u>	
NRC Task Order Project Officer (F	PO)		
RX0240	<b>925-</b> 15-171-103	5.2.3, 6.1.2, <b>6.2.2</b> , 6.5.2, 9.1.1, 9.1.2, 9.1.3, 9.3.2, 9.3.4, 9.5.4, 10.4.6, 10.4.8 and 15.0.3 (independent confirmatory pH calculation of post-accident water chemistry)	
TAC No.	B&R Number	SRP Section(s) or ESRP	
Technical Assistance for Cl	nemical Engineering Portion	of Design Certification Review	
Title/Description			
AREVA NP Inc	U.S. EPR	5200020	
Applicant	Design/Site	Docket No.	
Q-4159	Numark Associates	s NRC-42-07-481 (16 Mod 2)	
JCN	Contractor	Task Order No.	

# 1.0 BACKGROUND

Combined Operating License (COL) Applications are submitted pursuant to Section 52 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 52), "Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants." The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) reviews COL Applications based on information furnished by electric utility companies pursuant to 10 CFR 52.79, "Contents of Applications Technical Information."

A Standard Review Plan (NUREG-0800) is prepared for the guidance of staff reviewers in the Office of New Reactors in performing safety reviews of applications to construct or operate nuclear power plants and the review of applications to approve standard designs and sites for nuclear power plants. The principal purpose of the SRP is to assure the quality and uniformity of staff safety reviews.

An Environmental Safety Review Plan (NUREG-1555) is prepared for the guidance of staff reviewers in performing environmental reviews of applications related to nuclear power plants. The ESRPs are companions to regulatory guides that address siting and environmental issues. As with NUREG-0800 the purpose of the ESRP is to assure the quality and uniformity of environmental reviews.

The staff publishes the results of these reviews in a Safety Evaluation Report (SER).

# 2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this task order is to obtain technical expertise from the contractor to assist the staff in determining the adequacy of the DCD application relating to the AREVA NP Inc USEPR reactor.

Specifically, a review of SRP subsections 5.2.3, 6.1.2, **6.2.2**, 6.5.2, 9.1.1, 9.1.2, 9.1.3, 9.3.2, 9.3.4, 9.5.4, 10.4.6, 10.4.8 and 15.0.3 (independent confirmatory pH calculation of post-accident water chemistry) is required to insure that the plant design meets regulatory requirements. The review shall focus on the compatibility and stability of the materials of construction with service conditions and reactor water chemistry (primary and secondary), suitability of protective

## Outline and Format for the Technical Evaluation Report

#### X.Y.Z Title of Section

## X.Y.Z.1 Regulatory Criteria

Develop an outline that follows the format and topics presented in the AREAS OF REVIEW section of the appropriate SRP section. This information will correspond to the SRP sections that are the subject of this Task Order. For each unique SRP review area contained in the TER, the laboratory should specify the acceptance criteria that were used for its review. Summarize the applicable regulations and other regulatory references, including regulatory guides, generic letters, or NRC staff positions, that are relevant to this topic.

Technical reviewers are encouraged to use the descriptions of acceptance criteria from previously issued Safety Evaluation Reports for completed design certifications (e.g., NUREG-1793 for the AP1000 Final Safety Evaluation Report) when applicable.

## X.Y.Z.2 Summary of Technical Information

Describe the key technical points that were made in the application. It is not necessary to restate the application verbatim or to address all the details in the application.

# X.Y.Z.3 <u>Technical Evaluation</u>

Document the laboratory's evaluation of the application against the relevant regulatory criteria. The evaluation should support the laboratory's conclusions as to whether the regulations are met. State what the laboratory did to evaluate the applicant's submittal. The laboratory's evaluation may include verification that the applicant followed applicable regulatory guidance, performance of independent calculations, and validation that the appropriate assumptions were made. The laboratory may state that certain information provided by the applicant was not considered essential to the laboratory's review and was not reviewed by the laboratory. While the laboratory may summarize the information offered by the applicant in support of its application, the laboratory should clearly articulate the bases for its conclusions.

# X.Y.Z.4 Conclusions

Summarize the laboratory's conclusions regarding the application, including words such as the following. As set forth above in Sections X.Y.Z.2 and X.Y.Z.3 of this report, [provide specific bases for conclusions that follow]. Accordingly, the staff concludes that the application meets [or, if applicable, does not meet] the relevant requirements of 10 CFR Part XX and is [or, if applicable, is not] acceptable.