

HLWYM HEmails

From: Wesley Patrick
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2004 12:49 PM
To: Marissa Bailey
Subject: RE: Management Review Board vote on groundwater panel recommendation

Marissa,

Frankly, I could go either way. Following is my equivocation on the issue.

1. Personally, I do not believe that the YMRP needs to be changed. The SOC makes a strong case for only one acceptable method. I disagree with the Panel conclusion that either method is acceptable.
2. Because I don't know why the Panel thought both methods are acceptable, it may make sense to revise the YMRP or include this in any ISG that is prepared. This would make the staff position abundantly clear.

Bottom line: I wouldn't change the YMRP of this is the only topic, but if other things are being addressed anyway, this should be easy to include.

Wes.

-----Original Message-----

From: Marissa Bailey [mailto:MGB@nrc.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2004 8:29 AM
To: wpatrick@cnwra.swri.edu
Subject: RE: Management Review Board vote on groundwater panel recommendation

Wes -

Thanks for your comments.

On the panel's recommendation 6 & 7 ("mean of the peaks" and "peak of the means"), you disagree with the panel's conclusion the "mean of the peaks" is also acceptable; but the panel recommended that no changes to the YMRP are needed - do you agree with that or do you believe that the YMRP needs to be changed to provide clarification?

Marissa

>>> Wesley Patrick <wpatrick@cnwra.swri.edu> 11/22/2004 6:47:20 PM >>>
All,

I have completed my evaluation of the subject matter, which was brought to the HLW Management Board November 18th. My evaluation and observations were requested in my capacity as an advisor to the HLW Board. I appreciate the opportunity to provide input on this important matter.

Attached is a completed table that provides the information requested by Marissa in her note of November 19th (see below). In addition, I have prepared a more complete assessment of the Panel recommendations on this important and complex issue. Both documents are attached for your use.

Please contact me if there are any questions on the attached. Please recall that Budhi Sagar is on travel and has not been able to participate in these discussions.

Wes.

-----Original Message-----

From: Marissa Bailey [mailto:MGB@nrc.gov]

Sent: Friday, November 19, 2004 10:24 AM

To: WPATRICK@cnwra.swri.edu; C.W. (Bill) Reamer; Elmo Collins; Frederick Brown; Gregory Hatchett; Janice Moore; Jack Guttmann; Lawrence Kokajko; Mitzi Young; N King Stablein

Cc: Kien Chang; Omid Tabatabai

Subject: Management Review Board vote on groundwater panel recommendation

As Lawrence pointed out at the end of yesterday's meeting, we have three options:

- (1) Accept the all of the panel's recommendations
- (2) Reject the all of panel's recommendations
- (3) Partially accept/reject the panel's recommendations

If you choose Option 2 or 3 (or even Option 1, if you'd like), please give me your vote on each recommendation, comment/concern with each recommendation, and proposed solution/path forward in the format shown on the attached document.

Please provide your input by COB Wednesday, November 24.

Thank you.

Marissa

Hearing Identifier: HLW_YuccaMountain_Hold_EX
Email Number: 1224

Mail Envelope Properties (wpatrick@cnwra.swri.edu20041123124845)

Subject: RE: Management Review Board vote on groundwater panel
recommendation

Sent Date: 11/23/2004 12:48:45 PM

Received Date: 11/23/2004 12:48:45 PM

From: Wesley Patrick

Created By: wpatrick@cnwra.swri.edu

Recipients:
"Marissa Bailey" <Marissa.Bailey@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office:

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3079	11/23/2004 12:48:45 PM

Options

Priority:	Standard
Return Notification:	No
Reply Requested:	No
Sensitivity:	Normal
Expiration Date:	
Recipients Received:	