EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: 02/12/09

EDO CONTROL: G20090038

DOC DT: 01/23/09

FINAL REPLY:

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison

TO:

Schmidt, OCA

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

* GRN **

CRC NO: 09-0025

Borchardt, EDO

DESC:

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, Units 3 and 4 Combined License Application - Direct Payment (EDATS: SECY-2009-0037)

DATE: 01/26/09

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRO

Johnson

ROUTING:

Borchardt
Virgilio
Mallett
Ash
Ordaz
Cyr/Burns
Collins, RIV
Schmidt, OCA

Cyr, OGC Shoop, OEDO

Williams, OEDO

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

EDATS Number: SECY-2009-0037

Source: SECY

General Information

Assigned To: NRO OEDO Due Date: 2/12/2009 5:00 PM

Other Assignees: **SECY Due Date:** 2/17/2009 5:00 PM

Subject: Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company, Units 3 and 4

Combined License Application - Direct Payment

Description:

CC Routing: Region IV; OGC; OCA

ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming: NONE Response/Package: NONE

Other Information

Staff Initiated: NO Cross Reference Number: G20090038, LTR-09-0025

Related Task: **Recurring Item:** NO

File Routing: EDATS Agency Lesson Learned: NO

Roadmap Item: NO

Process Information

Priority: Medium Action Type: Letter

Sensitivity: None

Urgency: NO Signature Level: EDO

OEDO Concurrence: NO **OCM Concurrence: NO OCA Concurrence:** NO

Special Instructions:

Document Information

Originator Name: Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison **Date of Incoming:** 1/23/2009

Originating Organization: Congress **Document Received by SECY Date: 1/26/2009**

Addressee: Rebecca Schmidt, OCA Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Incoming Task Received: Letter

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Jan 26, 2009 10:32

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-09-0025

LOGGING DATE: 01/26/2009

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

AUTHOR:

SEN Kay Bailey Hutchison

AFFILIATION:

CONG

ADDRESSEE:

Rebecca Schmidt

SUBJECT:

Constituent correspondence - concerning ultimate direct payment for the EIS envolvement cost

for the proposed STP nuclear units 3 and 4

ACTION:

Signature of EDO

DISTRIBUTION:

OCA to Ack

LETTER DATE:

01/23/2009

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

02/17/2009

DATE SIGNED:

KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON

United States Senate

COMMITTEES:

APPROPRIATIONS

COMMERCE, SCIENCE,
AND TRANSPORTATION

RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

VETERANS' AFFAIRS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-4304

January 23, 2009

RESPECTFULLY REFERRED:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Ms. Rebecca L. Schmidt Director of Congressional Affairs Washington, D.C. 20555

Amy Powell- Congressional Affairs Officer

Dear Ms. Schmidt:

The attached communication was forwarded to Senator Hutchison by a constituent who is concerned about a matter that falls within your agency's jurisdiction. I would appreciate it if appropriate inquiries could be initiated on this individual's behalf, and if a full response could be prepared for me to report to the constituent.

It would be very helpful if the attached were to accompany your response. In the event you require more information, please do not hesitate to contact me in Austin at 512/916-5834 or by fax at 512/916-5839.

Thank you for your courtesy.

Office of Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison Attention: Robyn Parker 961 Federal Building 300 East 8th Street Austin, Texas 78701

Enclosure

2033 DEC -2 17 4: 38

November 20, 2008

Dear Honorable Congressmen Hutchinson Cornyn Paul

Re: USACE/NRC EIS cost

Dear Congressmen:

Please find enclosed my sequiencal correspondance effort to obtain an answer re the subject ultimate direct payment for the EIS envolvment cost for the proposed STP nuclear units 3 and 4: NRC?, USACE?, or the STP power company? Point being, isn't the NRC agency capable enough to perform the required safeguards. But for the USACE to invite themselves to get in on the NEPA, act seems to be creating overkill expense. Then have the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality stacked on top of that.

Perhaps my FAX numbers to your Washington offices are out of date; or something, so I am mailing this "package" to your district offices.

Respectfully,

John Corder 313 CR 912

Brazoria, TX 77422



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 29, 2008

Mr. Fred L. Anthamatten Chief, Regulatory Branch Department of the Army Galveston District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers P.O. Box 1229 Galveston, TX 77553-1229

SUBJECT:

REQUEST TO COOPERATE WITH THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR THE SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, UNITS 3 AND 4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Anthamatten:

This letter responds to your letter dated July 07, 2008, in which you requested that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) be designated as a cooperating agency for the environmental impact statement (EIS) related to the application for a combined license for the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC), Units 3 and 4. We agree to include the USACE as a cooperating agency on this project with the NRC as the lead agency.

The NRC's environmental project manager for the South Texas Project application is Mr. Paul Kallan. Mr. Kallan can be reached at (301-415-2809) or via email at (Paul Kallan@nrc.gov); he will be the principal NRC point of contact for interactions with the USACE on this project.

Sincerely.

Scott Flanders, Division Director
Division of Site and Environmental Review

Office of New Reactors

cc: See next page

September 24, 2008

Mr. Fred L. Anthamatten Chief, Regulatory Branch Department of the Army Galveston District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers P.O. Box 1229 Galveston, TX 77553-1229

Re: REQUEST TO COOPERATE WITH THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COOMISSION ON THE ENVIROMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT FOR THE SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, UNITS 3 AND 4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Anthamatten:

In your request that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) be designated as a cooperating agency for the environmental impact statement (EIS) related to the application for a combined license for the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) Units 3 and 4 did you provide a cost estimate for the USACE involvement? Because STPNOC is a for profit company will the cost be billed to the company?

Sincerely,

John Corder 313 CR 912

Brazoria, TX 77422

cc Scott Flanders, Division Director
Division of Site and Environmental Review
Office of New Reactors

Pala

M. A. McBurnett
VP. Oversight/Regulatory Affairs
STP Nuclear Operating Company

J.J. Sheppard
President & CEO
STP Nuclear Operation Company

November 2, 2008

Mr. Fred L. Anthamatten Chief Regulatory Branch Department of the Anny Galveston District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers P.O. Box 1229 Galveston, TX 77553-1229

Re: Corps EIS Cost Involvement - STP Units 3 & 4

Dear Mr. Anthamatten:

I do not recall having received your response to my subject letter dated September 24, 20008. I did not request a detailed cost accounting at that time and under the circumstances in Galveston, I can understand such but, please give me an indication if the Corps has/is providing a financial value for it's Environmental Impact Study (EIS) participation.

Sincerely,

John Corder 313 CR 912

Brazoria, TX 77422

cc Scott Flanders, Division Director
Division of Site and Environmental Review
Office of New Reactors

M. A. McBurnett
VP, Oversight/Regulatory Affairs
STP Nuclear Operating Company

J. J. Sheppard
President & CEO
STP Nuclear Operation Company

Bridget C. Bohac Director, Public Assistance, TCEQ P.O. Box 13087, Austin, TX 78711-3087 e-mail Billie Pirner Garde, Esq. Washington, D. C. e-mail

Brazoria Co Commissioners Crt Angleton, TX 77515 FAX

Ms. Erin Mckeon The FACTS 720 S. Main, Clute, Tx 77531 e-mail November 12, 2008

The Honorable Kay Bailey Hutchison SR-284
Washington, D.C 20510-4304

+ FAX (202) 224-0776

The Honorable John Cornyn SH-517 Washington, D.C. 20510-4302

+FAX (202) 228-2856

Re: Lack of Response - United States Army Corps of Engineers; NRC

Dear Senators:

Under these now trying recent economic times I am more concerned than ever when I first ask if the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), which volunteered to assist with the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the STP proposed new nuclear Units 3 and 4 and was accepted, what would the cost be to the taxpayers. Isn't the Nuclear Regulatory Commission capable to manage the EIS?

I have not received a response as of now from the USACE person of record. Please ask around and determine if the USACE assistance is really necessary – particularly since they decided to voluntarily ask to get evolved. Nice vs necessary? Thank you,

Respectfully,

John Corder 313 CR 912

Brazoria, TX 77422

cc: See next Page

Second page: Corder letter to Senators Hutchison and Cornyn USACE / NRC Dated 11-13-08

cc: The Honorable Ron Paul, U.S. Representative

Mr. Fred L. Anthametten, USACE

Scott Flanders, NRC

James P. Biggins, Esq., NRC

M. A. McBurnett, STP

J. J. Sheppard, STP

Bridget C. Bohac, TCEQ

Billie Pircer Garde, Esq.

Brazoria County Commissioners Court

Erin McKeon

encl: Corder letters to Anthematten; 9-24-08 & 10-24-08

Flanders letter to Anthematten; 8-29-08, w/o NRC mailing list



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY GALVESTON DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS P. O. BOX 1229 GALVESTON TX 77553-1229

NOV I 4 2008

Regulatory Branch

SUBJECT: NEPA Review of STP Units 3 & 4

Mr. John Corder 313 CR 912 Brazoria, Texas 77422

Dear Mr. Corder:

This concerns your request for information pertaining to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review pursuant to the South Texas Project Units 3 and 4 (STP).

At this time, the South Texas Project has not applied for a Department of the Army permit. However, pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Galveston District has agreed to be a Cooperating Agency and will provide the comments appropriate to our jurisdiction by law and our special expertise with respect to any jurisdictional impacts the proposed STP may have. Other than the time it takes to review and comment on matters within its purview, the Corps will not provide any financial value for the NRC's Environmental Impact Statement.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact Mr. Jayson M. Hudson at the letterhead address or by telephone at 409-766-3108 or email at jayson m.hudson@swg02.usace.army.mil.

Sincerely,

Fred L. Anthamatten Chief, Regulatory Branch November 19, 2008

Mr. Jayson M. Hudson
Department of the Army
Galveston District, Corps of Engineers
P. O. Box 1229
Galveston, TX 77553-1229

Re: USACE Participation Cost – EIS STP
USACE Purview Review, Comments Costs

Dear Mr. Hudson:

I noticed that Mr. Anthamatten did not cc to you the enclosed letter to me. Please contact him for the three (3) letters concerning cost that the USACE expects to incur in cooperating with the NRC. Did the USACE coordinate with the NRC on the STP Units 1 and or 2?

What is your understanding about the USACE billing STP or the NRC for services? Is it mandatory for the NRC to involve the USACE in the NEPA? Under the Memorandum of Understanding between the USACE and NRC, has the Galveston District Corps of Engineers prepared a cost budget to cover their review and comments under the District's purview?

Sincerely,

John Corder 313 CR 912

Brazoria, TX 77422

cc: Mr. Paul Kallan & second sheet w/o encl

hill

encl: Anthamatten to Corder letter, dated November 14, 2008

KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON

United States Senator • Texas

J. E.	A 100 TO		TEACT - ICACL
TO:	US Nuclear Regulatory Commission		
,	Congressiona	L Liaison - Ar	my Powell
FAX #:	301,415-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FROM; □Josh C	□Andi Ball □ Joh ortez □Cori Modisett	n Etue □Jennifer Barke □Lindsey Howe Parham	•
PHONE:	(512) 916-5834	DATE: Jan 2	<u>3</u> , 2009
' AX:	(512)916-5839		
AGES:	(including this cover sheet)		
	IO	NOTE	
	,		
	i i		