UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SAN LUIS OBISPO MOTHERS FOR PEACE,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DEC 1 5 2008

F

No.

ILFD

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

08-75058

Respondents

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to F.R.A.P. 15 and 28 U.S.C. § 2342-2344, Petitioner, San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace ("SLOMFP"), hereby petitions the Court for review of four orders by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC" or "Commission"). The orders were issued in a licensing proceeding concerning Pacific Gas & Electric Company's proposal to build and operate an Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation ("ISFSI") on the site of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

The orders of which Petitioners seek review are:

 Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-08-26, ____ NRC ____ (October 23, 2008) (see Attachment 1);

- Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-08-08, 67 NRC 193 (2008) (see Attachment 2); and
- Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-08-05, 67 NRC 174 (2008) (see Attachment 3).
- Pacific Gas & Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Power Plant Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-08-01, 67 NRC 1 (2008) (see Attachment 4). SLOMFP contends that, by refusing to hold a closed hearing on whether the environmental impacts of terrorist attacks and other acts of malice or insanity against the proposed ISFSI should be addressed in an Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS"), and by refusing to address the environmental impacts of attacks on the Diablo Canyon spent fuel storage facility before approving a license, the NRC violated the Atomic Energy Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Administrative Procedure Act; and abused its discretion. Therefore, SLOMFP seeks review and reversal of CLI-08-26, CLI-08-02, CLI-08-05, and CLI-08-01.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Curran Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, L.L.P. 1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 tel.: 202/328-3500 fax: 202/328-69818 e-mail: dcurran@harmoncurran.com Attorney for SLOMFP

December 11, 2008

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SAN LUIS OBISPO MOTHERS FOR PEACE,

Petitioner,

v.

No.

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 11, 2008, copies of the foregoing PETITION FOR REVIEW were served on the following by first-class mail:

Lisa B. Clark, Esq. Molly L. Barkman, Esq. Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop – O-15 D21 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C 20555-0001 E-mail: <u>lbc@nrc.gov</u>; mlb9@nrc.gov

Jennifer Post, Esq. Pacific Gas & Electric Company 77 Beale St., B30A San Francisco, CA 94105 E-mail: <u>jlkm@pge.com</u> David A. Repka, Esq. Tyson R. Smith, Esq. Winston & Strawn, L.L.P. 1700 K St. NW Washington, D.C. 20036 E-mail: <u>drepka@winstonc.com</u>; trsmith@winston.com Dated this 11^{th} day of December, 2008

Diane Curran



MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING STATEMENT

DEC 1 5 2008

FILE DUSCA DOCKET # (IF KNOWN)

PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY.

TITLE IN FULL:	DISTRICT:	JUDGE:					
San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. U.S. Nuclear	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER:						
Regulatory Commission and United States of America	DATE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED:	IS THIS A CROSS APPEAL?					
	December 12, 2008 IF THIS MATTER HAS BEEN BEFOR PLEASE PROVIDE THE DOCKET NU	E THIS COURT PREVIOUSLY,					
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF NATURE OF ACTION A	AND RESULT BELOW:	nya ang tang tang tang tang tang tang tang					
Petition for review of an NRC decision to license a spent							
fuel storage facility at the Diablo Canyon power plant.							
PRINCIPAL ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL:							
Whether the NRC violated the Act and the Atomic Energy Ac	National Environmenta t.	l Policy					
PLEASE IDENTIFY ANY OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDING THAT MAY HAVE A BEARING ON THIS CASE (INCLUDE PENDING DISTRICT COURT POST-JUDGMENT MOTIONS):							
This case appeals an NRC decision on remand from San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC 449 F. 3d 1016 (9th Cir.2006), cert denied, 127 S Ct. 1124 (2007).							
DOES THIS APPEAL INVOLVE ANY OF THE FOL	LOWING:						
 Possibility of Settlement Likelihood that intervening precedent will control outcome of appeal Likelihood of a motion to expedite or to stay the appeal, or other procedural matters (Specify) 							
Petitioners may seek a stay of fuel loading at the facility. Any other information relevant to the inclusion of this case in the Mediation Program							
Possibility parties would stipulate to binding a	award by Appellate Commissioner in	lieu of submission to judges					

1	JU	RISDICTION		DISTRICT COURT D	ISPOSITION
FEDI	ERAL	APPELLATE	TYPE OF JUDGMI	ENT/ORDER APPEALED	RELIEF
X FEDE	ERAL STION	FINAL DECISION OF	DEFAULT JU		DAMAGES: SOUGHT \$
OTH	ERSITY ER CIFY):	INTERLOCUTORY DECISION APPEALABLE AS OF RIGHT	DISMISSAL/N	UDGMENT COURT DECISION	AWARDED \$
		INTERLOCUTORY ORDER CERTIFIED BY DISTRICT JUDGE (SPECIFY):	Automatic State St	RY JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW CIFY):	GRANTED GRANTED ATTORNEY FEES: SOUGHT \$
					AWARDED \$
	OTHER (SPECIFY):			COSTS: \$	
	petition for review of agency decision.				
			TIFICATION O	FCOUNSEL	
	CIR. RULE	E 3-2).			
(SEE 9TH) 3. A COPY 4. I UNDEI	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL.	WITH THESE FILM	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	
(SEE 9TH) 3. A COPY 4. I UNDEI	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL.	WITH THESE FILM	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	AY RESULT IN SANCTIO
4. I UNDEI	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL.	WITH THESE FILM	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	AY RESULT IN SANCTIO
(SEE 9TH 3. A COPY 4. I UNDEI INCLUDIN	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL. Signatu COUNSEL	WITH THESE FILM	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	AY RESULT IN SANCTIO
(SEE 9TH 3. A COPY 4. I UNDEI INCLUDIN NAME FIRM	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL. Signatu COUNSEL Diane Curran	WITH THESE FILM THE WHO COMPLE Spielberg &	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	AY RESULT IN SANCTIO
(SEE 9TH 3. A COPY 4. I UNDEI INCLUDIN NAME FIRM ADDRESS	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL.	WITH THESE FILM THE WHO COMPLE Spielberg & W Suite 600	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	AY RESULT IN SANCTIO
(SEE 9TH 3. A COPY 4. I UNDEI INCLUDIN NAME	OF THIS	CIVIL APPEALS DOCKETING THAT FAILURE TO COMPLY SSAL OF THIS APPEAL.	WITH THESE FILM Ire WHO COMPLE Spielberg & W Suite 600	NG REQUIREMENTS MA	AY RESULT IN SANCTIO