

## EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Brian T. Larson	Facility:	RB	Date of Written Exam:	11/21/2008
Start of Op Test:			12/1/2008	End of Op Test: 12/10/2008	
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility			Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
5/23/2008	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	5/5/08	BTZ		
7/18/2008	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	7/16/08	BTZ		
7/18/2008	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	7/16/08	BTZ		
7/18/2008	Corporate Notification Letter sent	7/28/08	BTZ	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE	
8/22/2008	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A	BTZ	ES-201 Att-3	
9/5/2008	Integrated exam outlines due	8/29/08	BTZ		
9/12/2008	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	9/12/08	BTZ	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC and Am op test delayed 1 wk by hurricane	
9/12/2008	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	9/12/08	BTZ		
10/3/2008	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	10/2/08	BTZ		
10/17/2008	Peer review of written exam complete	10/14/08	BTZ	Document review on ES-401-9	
10/17/2008	Preliminary license applications due	10/16/08	BTZ	NRC Forms 398/396	
10/24/2008	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	10/24/08	BTZ		
10/24/2008	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	10/24/08	BTZ		
10/24/2008	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	10/24/08	BTZ		
10/27/2008	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	10/31/08	BTZ	2 appx reviewed	
11/7/2008	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	11/6/08	BTZ	ES-201-4 prepared by LA	
11/14/2008	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	11/6/08	BTZ		
11/14/2008	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	11/19/08	BTZ	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)	
11/14/2008	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	11/19/08	BTZ		
11/24/2008	Exam material to exam team	11/20/08	BTZ		
12/1/2008	Administer Operating Test	12-5-08	BTZ		
12/1/2008	Facility post-exam documentation due	12-5-08	BTZ		
12/1/2008	NRC written exam grading completed	12-11-08	BTZ	ES-403-1 to BC	
12/1/2008	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	12-11-08	BTZ		
12/24/2008	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	12-11-08	BTZ	Signed ES 303's to BC	
12/31/2008	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	12-15-08	BTZ		
1/7/2009	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	12-15-08	BTZ	1 deferral	
1/7/2009	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	12-15-08	BTZ		
1/7/2009	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	12-12-08	BTZ	print Report-21	
1/21/2009	Examination Report Issued	1-21-08	BTZ	produced by CE	
1/28/2009	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	1-21-08	BTZ	SUNSI checklist to LA	
2/4/2009	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A	BTZ		

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, Supp 1, Forms ES-201-1 and ES-501-1

Facility: River Bend Station		Date of Examination: 12/1/2008		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	Ans	8	AR
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	Ans	8	AR
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Ans	8	AR
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Ans	8	AR
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	Ans	8	AR
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Ans	8	AR
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	Ans	8	AR
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	Ans	8	AR
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	Ans	8	AR
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Ans	8	AR
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	Ans	8	AR
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Ans	8	AR
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Ans	8	AR
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	Ans	8	AR
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Ans	8	AR
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Ans	8	AR
a. Author <u>Angela M. O'Gorman / Angela M. O'Gorman</u> b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>John Fralick</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>Ryan Cantz / Ryan Cantz</u>		Date 8-27-08 8-27-08 9-5-08 9/9/08		
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines				

Facility: River Bend Station		Date of Exam: 12/1/2008		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c#	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.				Ans	8	BR	
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.				Ans	8	BR	
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401				Ans	8	BR	
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).				Ans	8	BR	
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)				Ans	8	BR	
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.		Bank	Modified	New	Ans	8	BR
		18 / 75	6 / 75	51 / 75			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.		Memory	C/A		Ans	8	BR
		BR 33 / 75 37	BR 32 / 75 38				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				Ans	8	BR	
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.				Ans	8	BR	
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				Ans	8	BR	
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.				Ans	8	BR	
Printed Name / Signature				Date			
a. Author				10-1-08			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)				10-2-08			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)				11-19-08			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor				11-20-08			
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility: River Bend Station		Date of Exam: 12/1/2008		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c#	
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.				Amo	8	MR	
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.				Amo	8	MR	
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401				Amo	8	MR	
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).				Amo	8	MR	
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)				Amo	8	MR	
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.		Bank	Modified	New	Amo	8	MR
		0 / 25	0 / 25	25 / 25			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.		Memory	C/A		Amo	8	MR
		MR 8 / 25 10	MR 11 / 25 15				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				Amo	8	MR	
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.				Amo	8	MR	
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				Amo	8	MR	
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.				Amo	8	MR	
Printed Name / Signature				Date			
a. Author Angela M. Orgeron / Angela M. Orgeron				10-1-08			
b. Facility Reviewer (*) John Fratich / John Fratich				10-2-08			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson				11-19-08			
d. NRC Regional Supervisor RUAN LANTZ / Ruan Lantz				11-24-08			
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility: River Bend Station		Date of Examination: 12/1/2008		Operating Test Number: 1	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	Ans	8	BR	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	Ans	8	BR	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	Ans	8	BR	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	Ans	8	BR	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	Ans	8	BR	
2. Walk-Through Criteria			--	--	--
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Ans	8	BR	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	Ans	8	BR	
3. Simulator Criteria			--	--	--
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		Ans	8	BR	
			Printed Name / Signature		
			Date		
a.	Author	Angel M. Oregan / Angel M. Oregan		10-1-08	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	John Frolitz / John Frolitz		10-2-08	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson		11-19-08	
d.	NRC Supervisor	RYAN LANTZ / Ryan Lantz		12/15/08	
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: River Bend Station		Date of Exam: 12-1-2008 Operating Test No.1		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials			
			a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	Ans	8	AR		
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	Ans	8	AR		
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>	Ans	8	AR		
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	Ans	8	AR		
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	Ans	8	AR		
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	Ans	8	AR		
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	Ans	8	AR		
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	Ans	8	AR		
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	Ans	8	AR		
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	Ans	8	AR		
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	Ans	8	AR		
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	Ans	8	AR		
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	Ans	8	AR		
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	5 / 6 / 6 / 5		Ans	8	AR
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2 / 1 / 2		Ans	8	AR
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 4 / 2 / 2		Ans	8	AR
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1		Ans	8	AR
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 2 / 2		Ans	8	AR
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 2 / 1 / 1		Ans	8	AR
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 2 / 3		Ans	8	AR

Facility: <u>RIVER BEND STATION</u> Date of Exam: <u>11-21-2008</u> Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>Amo</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BR</u>
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>Amo</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BR</u>
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations) <u>100% BR</u>	<u>Amo</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BR</u>
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>Amo</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BR</u>
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>Amo</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BR</u>
Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	<u>Angela M. Orgeron / Angela M. Orgeron</u>	<u>11-21-2008</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>John Fralick / John Fralick</u>	<u>11/24/08</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson</u>	<u>12.11.08</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>RYAN LANTZ / Ryan Lantz</u>	<u>12/15/08</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

Facility: <u>RIVER BEND STATION</u>		Date of Exam: <u>11-21-2008</u>		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>Asno</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BTZ</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>Asno</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BTZ</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations) <u>100% BTZ</u>	<u>Asno</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BTZ</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>Asno</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BTZ</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>Asno</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>BTZ</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>Angela M. Ogeron / Angela M. Ogeron</u>	<u>11-21-2008</u>			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>John Fralick</u>	<u>11/21/08</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson</u>	<u>12-11-08</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>RYAN LANTZ / Ryan Lantz</u>	<u>12/15/08</u>			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					



Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 10/01/2008 To 09/30/2009

Region: 4      Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
10/27/2008	River Bend / 05000458 / 2008301 TAC #: X02393		Prep	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T.
12/01/2008	River Bend / 05000458 / 2008301 TAC #: X02393	RO - 7 SROU - 3	Admin	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T.
12/08/2008	River Bend / 05000458 / 2008301 TAC #: X02393		Doc	FFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 10/01/2008 To 09/30/2009

Summary By Date

10/2008	RBS - River Bend				
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for River Bend: 0
10/2008					
	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 10/2008: 0
12/2008	RBS - River Bend				
	RO - 7	SROI - 2	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for River Bend: 12

**Operator Licensing Exam Schedule**  
From 10/01/2008 To 09/30/2009

**Summary By Site**

RBS - River Bend	SROI - 2	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for River Bend: 12
RO - 7				

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 10/01/2008 To 09/30/2009

Region: 4      Phase Code: 5

Summary By Region

Region 4				
RO - 7	SROI - 2	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 12

ES-201

## Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 12/12/08 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 12-5-08. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>Angela M. Oyster</u>	<u>Exam Development Team Lead</u>	<u>Angela M. Oyster</u>	<u>5-30-08</u>	<u>Angela M. Oyster</u>	<u>12-5-08</u>
2. <u>Carol McGinnis</u>	<u>Exam Development Team</u>	<u>Carol McGinnis</u>	<u>5-30-08</u>	<u>Carol McGinnis</u>	<u>12-5-08</u>
3. <u>John W. Cook</u>	<u>Exam Development Team</u>	<u>John W. Cook</u>	<u>7/13/08</u>	<u>John W. Cook</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
4. <u>Tony DeGard</u>	<u>Simulator Support</u>	<u>Tony DeGard</u>	<u>7/24/08</u>	<u>Tony DeGard</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
5. <u>John D. Lee</u>	<u>Simulator Support</u>	<u>John D. Lee</u>	<u>7/24/08</u>	<u>John D. Lee</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
6. <u>HAILE TESSAY</u>	<u>Simulator Support</u>	<u>HAILE TESSAY</u>	<u>7/24/08</u>	<u>HAILE TESSAY</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
7. <u>John Frank</u>	<u>Facility Review</u>	<u>John Frank</u>	<u>8-7-08</u>	<u>John Frank</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
8. <u>John Haggerty</u>	<u>Exam Development</u>	<u>John Haggerty</u>	<u>8/18/08</u>	<u>John Haggerty</u>	<u>12-8-2008</u>
9. <u>JOEY CHARLIE</u>	<u>Exam Development</u>	<u>JOEY CHARLIE</u>	<u>9/19/08</u>	<u>JOEY CHARLIE</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
10. <u>Jeff Higgins</u>	<u>Exam Development</u>	<u>Jeff Higgins</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>	<u>Jeff Higgins</u>	<u>12-8-08</u>
11. <u>David L. Starks</u>	<u>Exam Development</u>	<u>David L. Starks</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>	<u>David L. Starks</u>	<u>12-8-2008</u>
12. <u>ASSFEL TADARONAK</u>	<u>Exam Validation</u>	<u>ASSFEL TADARONAK</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>	<u>ASSFEL TADARONAK</u>	<u>12-8-2008</u>
13. <u>COIT SHULTZ</u>	<u>Exam Validation</u>	<u>COIT SHULTZ</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>	<u>COIT SHULTZ</u>	<u>12-8-2008</u>
14. <u>ALFONSO CROGEE</u>	<u>Exam Validation</u>	<u>ALFONSO CROGEE</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>	<u>ALFONSO CROGEE</u>	<u>12-8-2008</u>
15. <u>Terry Wynne</u>	<u>Exam Validation</u>	<u>Terry Wynne</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>	<u>Terry Wynne</u>	<u>12-8-2008</u>

NOTES: