

Fermi3CEM Resource

From: AUNTYNUKE@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2009 9:28 AM
To: Fermi3COLEIS Resource; AUNTYNUKE@aol.com
Subject: Fwd: Cooling tower collapse at Vermont Yankee nuke; contaminated water gushing
Attachments: Cooling tower collapse at Vermont Yankee nuke; contaminated water gushing

To Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

In light of computer problems, health problems, holiday season, and priorities, I did not get to read notification of the Fermi 3 application until this very morning. My serious apologies.

In light of the urgent importance of ANY expansion of nuclear [the word to be used as a noun, not an adjective, for any and all application of deadly irretrievable hazardous radioactive materials and processes], I send you this flat rejection of the permit in question.

There are the serious and seemingly-limitless questions about nuclear [past,present and future] and particularly operations [of which this FWD is only one of many, largely unreported to the public threatened] and as always the unresolved problems of radioactive waste disposal, despite attempts of the world's brilliant scientists [whose very jobs depend on permanent resolution of the catastrophic waste, the true products of nuclear industry].

Where in your responsibility as guardians of the People and the Earth do you utilize the Precautionary Principle, proving NO HARM, before approval ?

Where do you follow the standards of the International Joint Commission, by irrefutable Treaty applicable to our precious Great Lakes and Fermi's location on Lake Erie?

Where do you respect and include testimony and hearings with the many highly expert scientists and organizations such as NIRS and NEIS and Sierra, etc. etc. etc.?

Where do you present a thoroughly responsible management method for the full cycle of radioactive materials, front to back end, including its risks during transport, storage and management?

When do you sponsor open direct public discussion-debates with these experts, rather than the biased, staged "dog-and-pony-shows" which few concerned public citizens attend, partly because of distrust via past experiences, partly because advance notice of such meetings is inadequate, limited and never visibly itemized at the meetings.

Where do you recognize that THERE IS NO NET GAIN OF ENERGY IN NUCLEAR POWER?

How many "non-consequential" impacts does it take to become "consequential"?

Until, and IF ever, NRC processes act in the necessary far more scientific way, you and those processes regarding nuclear uses are to be held highly suspect and rejected for the sake of we, the living, and our grandchildren, and theirs...

Onward - adelante/allons [both our borders]
in peacism - namaste/paz/salaam/shalom/paix/ heiwa/mir [worldwide]
Corinne Carey
Grand Rapids Coordinator, Don't Waste Michigan
2213 Riverside Dr., NE Grand Rapids, Michigan 49505
phone: 616-361-6169

New year...new news. Be the first to know what is making [headlines](#).

Federal Register Notice: 73FR75144
Comment Number: 5

Mail Envelope Properties (bfe.5469d647.369615e3)

Subject: Fwd: Cooling tower collapse at Vermont Yankee nuke; contaminated water gushing
Sent Date: 1/7/2009 9:27:47 AM
Received Date: 1/7/2009 9:28:03 AM
From: AUNTYNUKE@aol.com
Created By: AUNTYNUKE@aol.com

Recipients:
"Fermi3COLEIS Resource" <Fermi3.COLEIS@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"AUNTYNUKE@aol.com" <AUNTYNUKE@aol.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: aol.com

Files	Size	Date & Time	
MESSAGE	2788	1/7/2009 9:28:03 AM	
Cooling tower collapse at Vermont Yankee nuke; contaminated water gushing			1988

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: