

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

December 22, 2008 NOC-AE-08002373 10CFR50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

South Texas Project
Unit 2
Docket No. STN 50-499
Licensee Event Report 2008-002
Valid Actuation of Safety Systems

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73, the STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submits the attached Unit 2 Licensee Event Report 2008-002 to address a valid actuation of some of the safety systems listed in 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B). The valid actuation resulted in the loss of residual heat removal capability for a brief period of time.

This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

There are no commitments contained in this event report. Resulting corrective actions will be implemented in accordance with the Corrective Action Program.

If there are any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Ken Taplett at (361) 972-8416 or me at (361) 972-8902.

Ken Coates

Il Crate

Plant General Manager

kjt/

Attachment: South Texas Unit 2 LER 2008-002

STI: 32411719 MRP

cc:

(paper copy)

Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 612 East Lamar Blvd, Suite 400 Arlington, Texas 76011-4125

Mohan C. Thadani Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North (MS 7 D1) 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Senior Resident Inspector U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: MN116 Wadsworth, TX 77483

C. M. Canady
City of Austin
Electric Utility Department
721 Barton Springs Road
Austin, TX 78704

Richard A. Ratliff
Bureau of Radiation Control
Texas Department of State Health Services
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756-3189

(electronic copy)

A. H. Gutterman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Mohan C. Thadani U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Kevin Howell Catherine Callaway Jim von Suskil NRG South Texas LP

Ed Alarcon
J. J. Nesrsta
R. K. Temple
Kevin Pollo
City Public Service

Jon C. Wood Cox Smith Matthews

C. Kirksey City of Austin

NRC FOR	RM 366			U.S. NUCLE	AR R	GULATO	RY COMM	SSION	AF	PROVE	D BY OMB:	NO. 3150-0	104	E)	XPIRES:	06/30/2007
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information										
(See reverse for required number of						collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.							ne NRC may			
1. FACILITY NAME South Texas, Unit 2						2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. 05000499			3. PAGE	. <b>PAGE</b> 1 OF 5						
4. TITLE																
5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE				8, OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED												
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	1	монтн	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY <b>NA</b>	NAME	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		]	0500 0500	00
10	25	2008	2008	- 002 -	00	12	22 2008			FACILITY <b>NA</b>	NAME		٠	.	0500 0500	
9. OPER	ATING	MODE	11	THIS REPO	RT IS	SUBMITT	ED PURS	UANT T	0	THE RE	QUIREME	ENTS OF 10	CFR§: (Ch	eck	all that a	pply)
5									(B) A) ct below							
NAME	12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER  NAME    TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)															
Ken	Ken Taplett (Licensing Engineer) (361) 972-8416															
	13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT															
CAUSE SYS		SYSTEM	СОМРО	NENT FACT			RTABLE CAU		AUS	SE	SE SYSTEM CO		MANU- FACTURE		REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
			OUDDI	EMENTAL D	- DOD	FYDEO	TED.				45 5	(DEOTED				
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED  ☐ YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)				] N	15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE			MONTH		DAY	YEAR					
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)  At 2344 on October 25, 2008, with Unit 2 in Mode 5 during the end of a refueling outage, a valid Safety Injection actuation signal occurred. The actuation resulted in automatic start of all three Engineered Safety Features Diesel Generators, a Containment ventilation isolation, a Containment phase A isolation and tripping of the running Residual Heat Removal pumps.																
The actuation was the result of removing a block for the low steam pressure actuation signal during a maintenance activity to replace a circuit card in the Solid State Protection System. The actuation was not part of a pre-planned sequence during testing or reactor operation. The removal of the signal block was unintended. Although the action was unintended, the signal was a valid signal initiated in response to a parameter satisfying the requirements for initiation of the safety function of the system.																
There was no discharge of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) into the Reactor Coolant system because the ECCS pumps had been properly removed from service for the plant condition. Residual heat removal capability was restored within 4 minutes of the actuation.																
				1) the outag (2) the work												
Corrective actions include implementing plant procedures to specify requirements for addressing emergent work during outages and assessing the impacts associated with shutdown risk; and revising the Planner's Guide to develop defense-in-depth strategies																
This eve	ent did	not have	an adve	erse affect o	n the l	health an	d safety	of the p	oub	lic.						

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE		
South Texas, Unit 2	05000499	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	2	OF	5
		2008	002	00			

### NARRATIVE

### DESCRIPTION OF REPORTABLE EVENT

### A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

This event is reportable pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) as an event or condition that resulted in a valid automatic actuation of systems listed in paragraph (a)(2)(iv)(B) of this section of 10 CFR 50.73. The actuation was not part of a pre-planned sequence during testing or reactor operation. The actuation resulted in automatic start of all three Engineered Safety Features (ESF) Diesel Generators, a Containment ventilation isolation, a Containment phase A isolation and tripping of the running Residual Heat Removal (RHR) pumps.

- B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE EVENT
  - At the time of the event, Unit 2 was in MODE 5 with two RHR pumps running.
- C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

The Solid State Protection System (SSPS) that initiated the actuation signal was inoperable as planned at the initiation of the event.

D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

At approximately 1600 on October 25, 2008, with Unit 2 in MODE 5 during the end of a refueling outage, a test switch on the SSPS failed to operate as expected during the performance of a surveillance. It was determined that an A416 general logic card had failed. A decision was made to replace the failed circuit card as emergent work to support the outage MODE ascension plan.

The repair was considered to have little risk in the plant condition existing at the time of the event provided the SSPS cabinets were removed from service. No formal risk assessment was conducted. It was decided to combine the surveillance procedure with the maintenance activity to replace the circuit card in the work package instructions.

The preparation of the emergent work package included a turnover from the day shift to the night shift. During the transfer of information, the requirements to have SSPS out of service and actuation trains in a test condition prior to replacing the failed circuit card were not communicated clearly to the on coming shift. The work package was reviewed by an individual who had very limited familiarity with the SSPS. During the process to gain permission to start the work, it was decided that all prerequisites of the surveillance procedure were required. The performance of all the prerequisites placed both logic trains of the SSPS in normal and all actuation trains in operate.

Work start permission was received and the surveillance prerequisite steps were completed. Upon removal of the failed A416 circuit card on October 25, 2008 at 2344 hours, a low steam pressure actuation signal block was removed from the actuation circuitry. This condition provided a valid Safety Injection (SI) actuation signal. The

#### (6-2004)

# **LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE			
South Texas, Unit 2	05000499	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	3	OF	5	
		2008	002	00				

NARRATIVE

actuation resulted in automatic start of all three Engineered Safety Features (ESF) Diesel Generators, a Containment ventilation isolation, a Containment phase A isolation and tripping of the running Residual Heat Removal (RHR) pumps. The RHR pumps trip because their power supplies are stripped from the ESF electrical buses in response to an SI signal. No safety system that was aligned to actuate in response to the signal failed to actuate.

There was no discharge of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) into the Reactor Coolant System (RCS). The High Head Safety Injection Pumps were in pull-to-lock as required by the Technical Specifications for this plant MODE. The Low Head Safety Injection pumps were in pull-to-lock to meet the requirements of plant procedures for the plant condition.

It was concluded immediately that the maintenance activity resulted in the SI actuation. The actuation trains were placed in a test condition and the SI actuation signal was reset. The first RHR pump was restarted in approximately four minutes following the tripping of the pump. The second RHR pump was restarted in approximately six minutes following the tripping of the pump. The interruption of RHR flow resulted in a RCS temperature rise of 7°F (i.e. 147°F to 154°F) based on monitoring core exit thermocouple temperatures.

E. THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE, OR PROCEDURAL OR PERSONNEL ERROR

The unintended SI actuation was self-revealing as a result of the performance of the maintenance activity when the failed circuit card was removed from the SSPS.

## II. COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES

- A. FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AND EFFECTS OF EACH FAILED COMPONENT

  This valid actuation of safety systems was not a result of a failed component.
- B. CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE
   Not applicable.
- C. SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTIONS THAT WERE AFFECTED BY FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS
   Not applicable.
- D. FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION Not applicable.

### III. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

A. SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED

Although a valid SI actuation signal was generated and safety systems were unnecessarily challenged, the actuation was not required for the plant condition. Proper performance of

(6-2004)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER				3. PAGE		
South Texas, Unit 2	05000499	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	4	OF	5	
		2008	002	00				

#### NARRATIVE

the maintenance activity should have resulted in continuing to block the actuation signal. The RHR system was in service. The SI actuation resulted in loss of this system.

### B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY

The first RHR loop was out of service for approximately four minutes. The second RHR loop was out of service for approximately six minutes.

### C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS

The event did not have an adverse affect on the health and safety of the public.

There was no discharge of the ECCS into the RCS.

Residual heat removal capability was lost for a brief period of time. The loss of RHR cooling occurred 21 days after shutdown with the core reloaded for the next operating cycle. The RCS was pressurized and all four steam generators were available for heat removal. Using conservative assumptions based on plant data, sufficient heat removal capability existed to keep the RCS from boiling for 31 hours. A bounding evaluation determined that the Conditional Core Damage Probability for this event was much less than 1E-06.

This event resulted in no personnel injuries, no offsite radiological releases, and no damage to other safety-related equipment.

### IV. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

- The outage process did not have specific requirements for processing emergent work or evaluating the associated risk impact on plant conditions.
- Work planning guidance lacked sufficient rigor. Ownership for work package preparation
  and review was not clearly established. Mitigation strategies were not formalized to ensure
  that the technical content of the work package was correct. The technical expertise
  required for a work package review was not specified.
- Combining the surveillance procedure with the maintenance activity to replace the circuit card complicated the work coordination. The increased level of complexity in the work package instructions resulted in the SSPS being in service instead of being removed from service for the circuit card replacement.

### V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- Residual heat removal capability was restored.
- Work instructions were revised to configure the SSPS so that the logic cabinets would be out of service and the actuations trains in test to support the A416 circuit card replacement.

(6-2004)

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	(	3. PAGE				
South Texas, Unit 2	05000499	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER			5	OF	5
		2008	002	00			

#### NARRATIVE

- A plant procedure will be implemented to specify requirements for addressing emergent
  work during outages including requirements for assessing any shutdown risk created by
  the work activity. The procedure will list roles and responsibilities of key individuals for
  providing input, review and approval of emergent work packages.
- The Planner's Guide will be revised to include:
  - a. Requirements to avoid using Operations procedures as work instructions to ensure work instructions are kept simple for review of technical requirements pertaining to the performance of the maintenance activity. If Operations procedures are used, the Planners Guide will provide for a formalized process and required technical justification regarding using this approach.
  - b. A defense in depth strategy in work package preparation identifying such attributes as review responsibilities and error mitigation strategies.

## VI. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There have been no STPNOC Licensee Event Reports in the past three years regarding the valid automatic actuation of safety systems.

### VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The 10 CFR 50.72 notification to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 27, 2008, Event Number 44605, stated the removal of the block for the low pressurizer pressure signal initiated the actuation. Upon further review of the event, the removal of the block for the low steam pressure signal, as stated in Section I.D above, initiated the actuation.