Non. Propietary

Divider Plate Cracking in Steam Generators

Results of Phase II: Evaluation of the Impact of a Cracked Divider Plate on LOCA and Non-LOCA Analyses

1016552

Technical Update, November 2008

EPRI Project Manager

H. Cothron

ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE 3420 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304-1338 • PO Box 10412, Palo Alto, California 94303-0813 • USA 800.313.3774 • 650.855.2121 • askepri@epri.com • www.epri.com

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

ORGANIZATION(S) THAT PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT

Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC

NOTE

For further information about EPRI, call the EPRI Customer Assistance Center at 800.313.3774 or e-mail askepri@epri.com.

Electric Power Research Institute, EPRI, and TOGETHER...SHAPING THE FUTURE OF ELECTRICITY are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

COPYRIGHT © 2008 ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

CITATIONS

This report was prepared by

Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC Nuclear Service Division P.O. Box 158 Madison, PA 15663

Principal Investigator C. Cassino

This report describes research sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).

The report is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner:

Divider Plate Cracking in Steam Generators: Results of Phase II: Evaluation of the Impact of a Cracked Divider Plate on LOCA and Non-LOCA Analyses. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2008. 1016552.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Cracking in the steam generator divider plate to stub runner welds has been reported by Electricité de France (EdF) plants. EPRI Report 1014982 describes results of a Phase I conservative detailed analysis of a crack in the divider plate to stub runner weld of a domestic Westinghouse-designed steam generator. Results of the Phase I analysis show that a completely degraded divider plate to stub runner weld would impact tube sheet displacement. Therefore, Phase II work began in 2008 to better understand crack initiation and growth in a divider plate to stub runner weld and the impact on plant accident analyses, American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) stress report fatigue limits, alternate repair criteria, and installed plugs and sleeves. This technical update provides a detailed analysis of the effect of a cracked divider plate on the behavior of a steam generator during normal and accident operating conditions.

Results and Findings

The conclusion of the analysis in this report is that a cracked divider plate is not a safety concern with respect to loss of coolant (LOCA) and non-LOCA transients in the affected steam generator or loop.

Challenges and Objectives

This report is intended for steam generator analysts and engineers in nuclear power. The report is primarily applicable to nuclear power plants that have Westinghouse-designed steam generators, without center stays or floating divider plates. The overall purpose of this report is to establish that divider plate cracking is not a safety significant issue for domestic plants. The specific goal of the analysis in this report is to determine the

- Bounding crack opening area (COA) that should be used in transient analyses for the case of a steam generator with a cracked divider plate
- Impact of steam generator divider plate cracking on LOCA analyses
- Impact of steam generator divider plate cracking on non-LOCA analyses

Applications, Values, and Use

The final report in 2009 will address:

- ASME Code stress report assumptions and an analysis of whether any structural criteria would be violated if the divider plate to stub runner weld is degraded
- Critical flaw sizes that must be detected if inspection of divider plate welds proves necessary
- The impact of a degraded divider plate on field repairs such as tube plugs

EPRI Perspective

The Westinghouse-designed steam generators are the only susceptible steam generators in the domestic fleet, and this is the only ongoing study on the impact of a degraded divider plate in the United States.

Approach

Investigators relied on finite-element analysis to define the input conditions for a variety of thermal-hydraulic models. They adjusted the models as necessary to accommodate a flow path in the steam generator through the divider plate during transient conditions.

vi

Keywords

Divider Plate Cracking LOCA Stub Runner Weld Westinghouse Steam Generators Steam Generator Transients

CONTENTS

7 INTRODUCTION
2 BOUNDING CRACK OPENING AREA ANALYSIS2-1
3 ANALYSIS OF LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT FORCES
Impact of Steam Generator Vertical Divider Plate Cracking on LOCA Forces
Impact of Steam Generator Vertical Divider Plate Cracking on Post-LOCA Long Term
Cooling Analyses
Calculation of the Minimum Mixed Mean Sump Boron Concentration
Hot Leg Switchover Time Calculation3-1
Flow Verification
Conclusions
4 ANALYSIS OF NON-LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT TRANSIENTS4-1
Qualitative Scoping Analysis of Non-LOCA Transients for Steam Generator Divider Plate
Crack Open Condition
Plant Conditions with Divider Plate Crack Open
Qualitative Assessment of Bypass Flow
Category #1
Category #24-3
Category #34-3
Category #4
Category #54-4
Category #64-4
Category #74-4
Category #8
Conclusions of Qualitative Assessment on Non-LOCA Transients4-5
5 QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF NON-LOCA STEAM GENERATOR TRANSIENTS5-1
Evaluation for Steady-State Conditions with the COA5-1
Transient with the COA5-2
-Conclusions5-3
6 CONCLUSIONS
7 REFERENCES

¢

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Plot of COA FEA Estimate for FLB Loading Assuming Zero Displacement of the Lower Crack
Edge

ix

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 COA Summary	2-2
	d Non-LOCA Design Basis Events4-7

1 INTRODUCTION

There have been several documented cases of crack indications in the divider plate to stub runner weld in steam generators in operation outside of the United States [1]. The function of the divider plate in most Westinghouse steam generators is to provide a separation between the cold and hot legs of the channel head as the primary water enters the steam generator. The divider plate is not considered a primary pressure boundary in the context of this analysis. In most Model F, Model D and Model 51 steam generators the divider plate is also not considered a structural component of the lower steam generator complex.

In most Model F, Model D and Model 51 Westinghouse pressurized water reactor (PWR) steam generators the divider plate is initially welded to the channel head and then attached to the tubesheet via a weld to a strip of metal on the primary side of the tubesheet called the stub runner. The weld between the stub runner and the divider plate is subject to bending and tension during regular operation of the steam generator. The tension on the divider plate occurs as the tubesheet bows from the difference between the primary and secondary operating pressures. The bending on the divider plate occurs because there is typically a temperature and a pressure difference between the hot leg and cold leg side of the tubesheet and divider plate. The weld that connects the stub runner and the divider plate in some steam generators consists of Alloy 600 material. This metal is susceptible to primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC).

EPRI began Phase II work in 2007 to identify the impact of a degraded divider plate to stub runner weld on plant accident analyses, ASME stress report fatigue limits, alternate repair criteria, and installed plugs and sleeves.

This report summarizes a detailed analysis of the effect of a cracked divider plate on the behavior of the steam generator during normal operating and accident conditions. Section 2 provides a bounding crack opening area (COA) analysis. The final COA value is used in the accident analyses. Section 3 summarizes the impact of a degraded divider plate on loss of coolant accident (LOCA) forces. Section 4 summarizes the impact of a degraded divider plate on non-LOCA transients. Section 5 summarizes the quantitative analyses that were necessary for a few of the transient operating conditions.

2 BOUNDING CRACK OPENING AREA ANALYSIS

The static finite element analysis (FEA) in Reference 1 calculated the displacements of the tubesheet assuming a constant maximum difference in pressure between the primary and secondary side of the tubesheet.

Figure 2-1 Plot of COA FEA Estimate for FLB Loading Assuming Zero Displacement of the Lower Crack Edge

The COA calculations assume that the lower edge of the crack is horizontal and the upper edgeof the crack conforms to the tubesheet displacements. See Reference 1 for a sketch of the assumed crack geometry for the calculations. The COA for the divider plate crack is calculated using the trapezoidal rule, assuming that the displaced shape of the upper edge of the crack can be accurately represented by a series of trapezoids. This is a conservative assumption because approximating the curved shape using straight edges will tend to over estimate the COA. The area of a trapezoid is calculated using the following equation:

Equation 2-1

Bounding Crack Opening Area Analysis

Table 2-1 COA Summary

3 ANALYSIS OF LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT FORCES

Impact of Steam Generator Vertical Divider Plate Cracking on LOCA Forces

Impact of Steam Generator Vertical Divider Plate Cracking on Post-LOCA Long Term Cooling Analyses

There are three types of analyses performed to ensure long term cooling following a postulated LOCA.

Calculation of the Minimum Mixed Mean Sump Boron Concentration

Hot Leg Switchover Time Calculation

Analysis of Loss of Coolant Accident Forces

Flow Verification

Conclusions

. .

.

4 ANALYSIS OF NON-LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT TRANSIENTS

Qualitative Scoping Analysis of Non-LOCA Transients for Steam Generator Divider Plate Crack Open Condition

A qualitative analysis was performed to determine if any Non-LOCA transients would be affected by a cracked divider plate. The qualitative analysis suggested that the following conditions could be adversely affected by an open crack in the SG divider plate to stub runner weld:

Quantitative analysis of the above events was necessary to determine the impact to the safety margins. These events can be analyzed with the existing licensing basis RETRAN (Reactor Transient Analysis) models, with some modifications required to appropriately model the leakage pathway created by the cracked divider plate.

In the assessment of the non-LOCA transients, cracking is assumed in the weld between the steam generator tube sheet and the divider plate such that an opening is created along the top of the divider plate. No cracking is assumed between the divider plate and the channel head bowl. The cracking is assumed only in one steam generator, and no cracking is assumed in other steam generators. It is also assumed that the SG tubes are not excessively bent or deformed by any lifting of the tube sheet.

Plant Conditions with Divider Plate Crack Open

It is necessary to first determine the amount of the loop flow bypassing the steam generator tubes as a result of the bounding COA between the steam generator tubesheet and the divider plate. The larger the amount of the bypass flow, the more pronounced the effect of the COA is expected. Hence, the minimum possible value of the form loss coefficient is assumed for the bypass geometry.

Qualitative Assessment of Bypass Flow

Category #1

For the Non-LOCA transients in this category, the most limiting conditions for the primary criteria occur in a very short time, i.e., within one reactor coolant system (RCS) loop cycle. As a result, the reduced SG heat transfer by the COA would have either no impact or a negligible impact.

Category #2

The most limiting conditions for the primary criteria for the Non-LOCA transients in this category occur after several loop cycles. These transients assume that all loops are available, i.e., all reactor coolant pumps running. Asymmetric steam generator tube plugging (SGTP) conditions are analyzed with the maximum +/-5% difference among the loop flow rates. As these Non-LOCA events are primary system heat-up events due to reactivity anomalies (either positive or negative reactivity addition), the primary heat generation is more dominate than the reduction in steam generator heat transfer area. Consequently, these Non-LOCA transients would have either no impact or an insignificant impact due to the COA. Hence, the current analyses results remain unchanged.

Category #3

Category #4

COA Resulting in Less Cooling in the Faulted Loop

COA Resulting in Cooler Water on the Intact Loop

Category #5

. . (

Category #6

Category #7

Category #8

· · ·

Conclusions of Qualitative Assessment on Non-LOCA Transients

4-7

۲

Table 4-1 Non-LOCA Transients Based on Standard Non-LOCA Design Basis Events

 Table 4-1 (continued)

 Non-LOCA Transients Based on Standard Non-LOCA Design Basis Events

5 QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF NON-LOCA STEAM GENERATOR TRANSIENTS

The qualitative evaluation of the non-LOCA analyses for the impacts of the crack opening between the steam generator divider plate and tubesheet summarized in Section 4 is documented in Reference 4. The qualitative evaluation stated that the COA would reduce the steam generator heat transfer due to some of the loop flow bypassing the active heat transfer region of the tubes. The reduction of the heat transfer was expected to be small, and therefore, the reduced heat transfer would not have an impact for a short duration. However, longer transients may have been adversely affected by the COA, and the evaluation identified the following transients that may be adversely affected by the COA:

Evaluation for Steady-State Conditions with the COA

Quantitative Evaluation of Non-LOCA Steam Generator Transients

Transient with the COA

. .

Quantitative Evaluation of Non-LOCA Steam Generator Transients

Conclusions

6 CONCLUSIONS

7 REFERENCES

- 1. Divider Plate Cracking in Steam Generators: Results of Phase I: Analysis of Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking and Mechanical Fatigue in the Alloy 600 Stub Runner to Divider Plate Weld Material. EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2007. 1014982.
- 2. Letter from Westinghouse to NRC, NSD-NRC-97-5092, "Core Uncovery Due to Loop Seal Re-Plugging During Post-LOCA Recovery," March 1977.
- 3. J. Tuunanen, et al., "Experimental and analytical studies of boric acid concentrations in a VVER-440 reactor during the long-term cooling period of loss-of-coolant accidents", Nuclear Engineering and Design, Vol. 148, 1994, pp. 217-231.
- 4. LTR-CRA-07-257, Safety evaluation related to Steam Generator Divider Plate Cracking, December 5, 2007.

Export Control Restrictions

Access to and use of EPRI Intellectual Property is granted with the specific understanding and requirement that responsibility for ensuring full compliance with all applicable U.S. and foreign export laws and regulations is being undertaken by you and your company. This includes an obligation to ensure that any individual receiving access hereunder who is not a U.S. citizen or permanent U.S. resident is permitted access under applicable U.S. and foreign export laws and regulations. In the event you are uncertain whether you or your company may lawfully obtain access to this EPRI Intellectual Property, you acknowledge that it is your obligation to consult with your company's legal counsel to determine whether this access is lawful. Although EPRI may make available on a case-by-case basis an informal assessment of the applicable U.S. export classification for specific EPRI Intellectual Property, you and your company acknowledge that this assessment is solely for informational purposes and not for reliance purposes. You and your company acknowledge that it is still the obligation of you and your company to make your own assessment of the applicable U.S. export classification and ensure compliance accordingly. You and your company understand and acknowledge your obligations to make a prompt report to EPRI and the appropriate authorities regarding any access to or use of EPRI Intellectual Property hereunder that may be in violation of applicable U.S. or foreign export laws or regulations.

© 2008 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), inc. All rights reserved. Electric Power Research Institute, EPRI, and TOGETHER...SHAPING THE FUTURE OF ELECTRICITY are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

Printed on recycled paper in the United States of America

The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)

The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), with major locations in Palo Alto, California; Charlotte, North Carolina; and Knoxville, Tennessee, was established in 1973 as an independent, nonprofit center for public interest energy and environmental research. EPRI brings together members, participants, the Institute's scientists and engineers, and other leading experts to work collaboratively on solutions to the challenges of electric power. These solutions span nearly every area of electricity generation, delivery, and use, including health, safety, and environment. EPRI's members represent over 90% of the electricity generated in the United States. International participation represents nearly 15% of EPRI's total research, development, and demonstration program.

Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity

1016552

Electric Power Research Institute

3420 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94304-1338 • PO Box 10412, Palo Alto, California 94303-0813 • USA 800.313.3774 • 650.855.2121 • askepri@epri.com • www.epri.com