

Facility: NMP 2

Date of Examination: 10/19/08

Examinations Developed by:  Facility  NRC (circle one)

12/6-12/12/2008

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials	
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	<i>J</i>	
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)		
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)		
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)		
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]		
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)		
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)		
-45	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)		
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)		
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)		
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)		
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)		
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)		
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204)		
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)		
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)		<i>J</i>

\* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.  
 [ ] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Facility: <u>Nine Mile Point Unit 2 SRO Retake</u>		Date of Examination: <u>Oct 6, 2008</u>			
Item	Task Description	Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	NA	NA	✓	
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	NA	NA	✓	
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	NA	NA	✓	
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	NA	NA	✓	
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	NA	NA	✓	
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	NA	NA	✓	
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	NA	NA	✓	
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	AB	GB	✓	
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	AB	GB	✓	
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	AB	GB	✓	
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	AB	GB	✓	
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	AB	GB	✓	
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	AB	GB	✓	
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	AB	GB	✓	
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	AB	GB	✓	
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	AB	GB	✓	
a. Author	<u>Gerald Bobka</u>	Printed Name/Signature	<u>Gerald Bobka</u>	Date	<u>9/23/08</u>
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>GARY T. BOY</u>		<u>Gary Boy</u>		<u>10/2/08</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>J. D. ...</u>		<u>J. D. ...</u>		<u>9/27/08</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>Samuel L. Hamill</u>		<u>Samuel L. Hamill</u>		<u>9/30/08</u>
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines					

Facility: <u>Nine Mile Point Unit 2</u>		Date of Examination: <u>Oct 6, 2008</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>Rebke</u>	
1. General Criteria			Initials		
			a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	AB	GB	W	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	AB	GB	W	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	AB	GB	W	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	NA	NA	W/A	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	W/S	GB	W	
2. Walk-Through Criteria					
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• initial conditions</li> <li>• initiating cues</li> <li>• references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>• reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>• operationally important specific performance criteria that include:                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>- system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>- statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>- criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>- identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>- restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	AB	GB	W	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	AB	GB	W	
3. Simulator Criteria					
	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	NA	NA	W/A	
		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a.	Author	<u>Gerald Bobka / Gerald Bobka</u>		<u>9/23/08</u>	
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>GARY T. Box / Gary T. Box</u>		<u>9/23/08</u>	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>J. D'AMICO / J. D'Amico</u>		<u>9/29/08</u>	
d.	NRC Supervisor	<u>Samuel L. Hansell Jr. / Samuel L. Hansell Jr.</u>		<u>9/30/08</u>	
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Attachment 1, Examination Security Agreement

1. Pre-Examination ; Unit 2 NRC op Test Refake Exam.

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Oct 2008 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised. In addition, I understand by signing that any violation of this agreement will result in disciplinary action up to an including discharge. This may also result in civil penalties imposed by the USNRC.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Gerald Bobko	Exam Developer	Gerald Bobko	8/1/08			
2. Thomas R. Hooper Jr.	Exam Developer	TH Hooper	8/2/08			
3. Gail G. DeWaldich	Exam Support	Gail G. DeWaldich	8/2/08			
4. Albert Newell	Exam Support	Albert Newell	8/2/08			
5. Steve Dennis	Exam Reviewer	Steve Dennis	8/2/08			
6. CAROL KLASINSKI	HARDWARE SP	Carol Klasinski	8/2/08			
7. GEAR BARAKES	Review Exam Developer	Gear Barakes	8/2/08			
8. GARY BOY	IT Support	Gary Boy	9/12/08			
9. John Mandy	Exam Reviewer	John Mandy	9/12/08			
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: This note indicates that the individual was personally briefed as to their responsibilities in complying with this security agreement.

Attachment 1, Examination Security Agreement

1. Pre-Examination ; Unit NRC optest Retake Exam.

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Oct 6, 1985 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised. In addition, I understand by signing that any violation of this agreement will result in disciplinary action up to an including discharge. This may also result in civil penalties imposed by the USNRC.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of Oct 6, 1985. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE/RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Gerald Borker	Exam Developer	Gerald Borker	8/1/85	Gerald Borker	10/1/85	NA
2. Thomas R. Hanger Jr.	Exam Developer	THAS	8/1/85	THAS	10/1/85	NA
3. Eugene DeBorja	Sim Support	Eugene DeBorja	8/1/85	Eugene DeBorja	10/1/85	NA
4. Albert Newell	Sim Support	Albert Newell	8/1/85	Albert Newell	10/1/85	NA
5. Steve Dennis	Exam Developer	Steve Dennis	8/1/85	Steve Dennis	10/1/85	NA
6. Chris Kossowski	Exam Developer	Chris Kossowski	8/1/85	Chris Kossowski	10/1/85	NA
7. Gary Box	IT Support	Gary Box	8/1/85	Gary Box	10/1/85	NA
8. John Maugh	SM Exam Reviewer	John Maugh	9/1/85	John Maugh	10/1/85	NA
9. Claire Malone	Admin/General	Claire Malone	9/1/85	Claire Malone	10/1/85	NA
10. Carrie Marino	Admin/General	Carrie Marino	10/1/85	Carrie Marino	10/1/85	NA
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						

NOTES: This note indicates that the individual was personally briefed as to their responsibilities in complying with this security agreement.