

BellefonteRAIsPEm Resource

From: Joseph Sebrosky
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2008 2:00 PM
To: BellefonteRAIsPEm Resource
Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 141 RELATED TO SRP
SECTION 2.3.4 FOR THE BELLEFONTE UNITS 3 and 4 COMBINED LICENSE
APPLICATION
Attachments: BLN-RAI-LTR-141.doc

Hearing Identifier: Bellefonte_COL_RAI_Public
Email Number: 128

Mail Envelope Properties (B4ECC0E252653F48B3F57C3B833465E808B2EE5B98)

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 141 RELATED TO
SRP SECTION 2.3.4 FOR THE BELLEFONTE UNITS 3 and 4 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION
Sent Date: 12/12/2008 2:00:00 PM
Received Date: 12/12/2008 2:00:01 PM
From: Joseph Sebrosky

Created By: Joseph.Sebrosky@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"BellefonteRAIsPEm Resource" <BellefonteRAIsPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3	12/12/2008 2:00:01 PM
BLN-RAI-LTR-141.doc	53242	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

December 12, 2008

Ms. Andrea L. Sterdis
Manager, Nuclear Licensing & Industry Affairs
Nuclear Generation Development & Construction
Tennessee Valley Authority
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 141 RELATED TO
SRP SECTION 2.3.4 FOR THE BELLEFONTE UNITS 3 and 4 COMBINED
LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Ms. Sterdis:

By letter dated October 30, 2007, as supplemented by letters dated November 2, 2007, January 8, 2008 and January 14, 2008, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) submitted its application to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a combined license (COL) for two AP1000 advance passive pressurized water reactors pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The NRC staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed application.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter.

To support the review schedule, you are requested to respond within 30 days of the date of this letter. If changes are needed to the final safety analysis report, the staff requests that the RAI response include the proposed wording changes.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-1132.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joseph M. Sebrosky, Senior Project Manager
AP1000 Projects Branch 1
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-014
52-015

eRAI Tracking No. 1745

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

CC: see next page

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-1132.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joseph M. Sebrosky, Senior Project Manager
AP1000 Projects Branch 1
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-014
52-015

eRAI Tracking No. 1745

Enclosure:
Request for Additional Information

Distribution:

Public	CCox	BHughes
RidsNroDnrlNwe1	SCoffin	MComar
RidsNroLAKGoldstein	TSimms	AHodgdon
RidsOgcMailCenter	RJoshi	LBrown
RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter	JMartin	TGalletta
RidsRgn2MailCenter	BHarvey	
JSebrosky		

NRO-002

OFFICE	RSAC*	RSAC/BC*		OGC	NWE1/L-PM
NAME	LBrown	CCox		AHodgdon*	JSebrosky*
DATE	12/3/08	12/3/08		12/8/08	12/12/08

*Approval captured electronically in the electronic RAI system.

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

**Bellefonte Units 3 and 4
Tennessee Valley Authority
Docket No. 52-014 and 52-015**

**SRP Section: 02.03.04 - Short Term Atmospheric Dispersion Estimates for Accident
Releases**

**Application Section: 02.03.04 - Short Term Atmospheric Dispersion Estimates for Accident
Releases**

QUESTIONS for Siting and Accident Conseq Branch (RSAC)

02.03.04-6

The NRC staff is attempting to correlate the meteorological data provided in the ARCON96 computer code format with the joint frequency distribution data (JFD) provided for use with the PAVAN and XOQDOQ computer codes. Were the two data sets derived independently of each other from a single set of raw data or were the ARCON96 formatted data used to generate the JFD data? For both data sets, provide examples of how each value was rounded or truncated to determine into which wind speed category it should be assigned.

Enclosure