

Facility: Olson Creek Date of Examination: 8/17/08

Examinations Developed by: Facility NRC (circle one)

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	<i>N</i>
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	<i>N</i>
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	<i>N</i>
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	<i>N</i>
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	<i>N</i>
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	<i>N</i>
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	<i>N</i>
-45	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	<i>N</i>
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	<i>N</i>
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	<i>N</i>
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	<i>N</i>
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	<i>N</i>
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	<i>N</i>
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204)	<i>N</i>
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	<i>N</i>
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	<i>N</i>

* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.

OYSTER CREEK 8/2008

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8/2008 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. <u>STEVEN DENNIS</u>	<u>EXAM DEVELOPER</u>	<u>Steve Dennis</u>	<u>6-5-08</u>	<u>Wt for Steve Dennis</u>	<u>8-26-08</u>
2. <u>Neil Patrou</u>	<u>Exam Author</u>	<u>Neil Patrou</u>	<u>6-16-08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
3. <u>Joe Ruth</u>	<u>OTPS</u>	<u>Joe Ruth</u>	<u>6/20/08</u>	<u>Wt for J. Ruth</u>	<u>8/25/08</u>
4. <u>Herb Trull</u>	<u>Facility Rep</u>	<u>Herb Trull</u>	<u>7/1/08</u>	<u>Wt for H. Trull</u>	<u>9-17-08</u>
5. <u>Lun Cheng</u>	<u>Lead Analyst</u>	<u>Lun Cheng</u>	<u>7/14/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
6. <u>Robin Brown</u>	<u>SIM COORD</u>	<u>Robin Brown</u>	<u>7/14/08</u>	<u>R. Brown</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
7. <u>Alex Yang</u>	<u>INSTR</u>	<u>Alex Yang</u>	<u>7-14-08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8-25-08</u>
8. <u>Josh McBurnie</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>Josh McBurnie</u>	<u>7/14/2008</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
9. <u>Steve Dunsmuir</u>	<u>RE OPERATOR</u>	<u>Steve Dunsmuir</u>	<u>7/16/08</u>	<u>Wt for Steve Dunsmuir</u>	<u>9-8-08</u>
10. <u>Neal Miller</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>Neal Miller</u>	<u>7/16/08</u>	<u>Wt for N. Miller</u>	<u>8-26-08</u>
11. <u>CHARLES W. SPINK</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>Charles W. Spink</u>	<u>8/21/08</u>	<u>Wt for C. Spink</u>	<u>8-27-08</u>
12. <u>Bryan Fagan</u>	<u>Reactor operator</u>	<u>Bryan Fagan</u>	<u>8/21/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
13. <u>DAVID WANDSWEINER</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>David Wandsweiner</u>	<u>8/21/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
14. <u>Andrew Zehauski</u>	<u>Senior Reactor operator</u>	<u>Andrew Zehauski</u>	<u>8/21/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
15. <u>Steve McCann</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>Steve McCann</u>	<u>9/11/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
16. <u>Peter Orphanos</u>	<u>Director - Operations</u>	<u>Peter Orphanos</u>	<u>8/21/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>
17. <u>MATTHEW ARNAO</u>	<u>ILT LEAD INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>Matthew Arnao</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>	<u>Wt</u>	<u>8/22/08</u>

Facility: Oyster Creek		Date of Examination: 8/22/08		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	NA	NR	N/A
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	NA	NR	↓
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	NA	NR	↓
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	NA	NR	↓
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	W	B	2
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	W	C	2
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	W	C	2
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form	NA	NR	N/A
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	NA	NA	↓
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	NA	NA	↓
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	W	C	2
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	NA	NR	N/A
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	NA	NR	N/A
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	W	B	N/A
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	W	B	2
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	W	B	2
a. Author <u>Neil Patricou</u> Printed Name / Signature b. Facility Reviewer (*) <u>Herbert Trilk</u> c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>John J. D'A...</u> d. NRC Supervisor <u>John Craviso</u>		Date 7-2-08 7-2-08 7/25/08 7/29/08		
NOTE: # Independent NRC Reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.				

OYSTER CREEK 8/2008

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8/2008 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. STEVEN DENNIS	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>Steven Dennis</i>	6-5-08	Wrt for Steve Dennis	8-26-08	
2. Neil Patrou	Exam Author	<i>Neil Patrou</i>	6-16-08	Wrt	8/22/08	
3. Joe Ruth	OTPS	<i>Joe Ruth</i>	6/20/08	Wrt for J. Ruth	8/25/08	
4. Herb Triff	Facility Rep	<i>Herb Triff</i>	7/1/08	Wrt for H. Triff	9-17-08	
5. Lun Cheng	Lead Analyst	<i>Lun Cheng</i>	7/14/08	<i>Lun Cheng</i>	8/22/08	
6. Robin Bruner	Sim Coord	<i>Robin Bruner</i>	7/14/08	<i>Robin Bruner</i>	8/22/08	
7. Greg Yang	Instructor	<i>Greg Yang</i>	7-14-08	<i>Greg Yang</i>	8-25-08	
8. Josh Moberg	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Josh Moberg</i>	7/14/2008	<i>Josh Moberg</i>	8/22/08	
9. STEVE DUNSMUIR	Re OPERATOR	<i>Steve Dunsmuir</i>	7/16/08	Wrt for STEVE DUNSMUIR	9-8-08	
10. Neal Miller	Reactor Operator	<i>Neal Miller</i>	7/16/08	Wrt for N. Miller	8-26-08	
11. CHARLES W SPINK	Reactor Operator	<i>Charles W Spink</i>	8/2/08	Wrt for C. Spink	8-27-08	
12. Bryan Eagan	Reactor operator	<i>Bryan Eagan</i>	8/1/08	<i>Bryan Eagan</i>	8/22/08	
13. DAVID WANDSCHNEIDER	INSTRUCTOR	<i>David Wandschneider</i>	8/21/08	<i>David Wandschneider</i>	8/22/08	
14. Andrew Lebrusky	Senior Reactor operator	<i>Andrew Lebrusky</i>	8/21/08	<i>Andrew Lebrusky</i>	8/22/08	
15. STEVE McCANN	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Steve McCann</i>	8/11/08	<i>Steve McCann</i>	8/22/08	
16. Peter ORPHANOS	Director - Operations	<i>Peter Orphanos</i>	8/21/08	<i>Peter Orphanos</i>	8/22/08	
17. MATTHEW ARNAO	ILT LEAD INSTRUCTOR	<i>Matthew Arnao</i>	8/22/08	<i>Matthew Arnao</i>	8/22/08	

Facility: <u>Oyster Creek</u>		Date of Examination: <u>8/22/08</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>2008-1</u>	
1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	W	CB	~	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	W	CB	~	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	W	CB	~	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	W	CB	~	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	W	CB	~	
2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	W	CB	~	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	W	CB	~	
3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		W	CB	~	
a. Author	<u>Neil Patrau</u> <small>Printed Name / Signature</small>	<u>7/23/08</u> <small>Date</small>			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Herbert Triff</u>	<u>7/25/08</u>			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>J. DANRINO</u>	<u>7/24/08</u>			
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>J. CAUSO</u>	<u>7/29/08</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.					

Facility: Oyster Creek		Date of Exam: 8/22/08		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3		Operating Test Number: 2008-1	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				Initials			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	W	W	W			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	W	W	W			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	W	W	W			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	W	W	W			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	W	W	W			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	W	W	W			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	W	W	W			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	W	W	W			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10CFR55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	W	W	W			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	W	W	W			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	W	W	W			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	W	W	W			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.						
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7 / 7 / 4		W	W	W	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1 / 2 / 1		W	W	W	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	4 / 4 / 3		W	W	W	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1		W	W	W	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 1 / 1		W	W	W	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 1 / 0		W	W	W	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2 / 2 / 2		W	W	W	

Facility: Oyster Creek	Date of Examination: 8/22/08	Operating Test No.: 2008-1														
Competencies	APPLICANTS															
	RO <input type="checkbox"/>	RO <input type="checkbox"/>	RO <input type="checkbox"/>	RO <input type="checkbox"/>												
	SRO-I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO-I <input type="checkbox"/>												
	SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>	SRO-U <input type="checkbox"/>												
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/ Diagnose Events and Conditions	2-9	3-9	2-6													
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-9	1-9	1-6													
Operate Control Boards (2)	NA	NA	1-6													
Communicate and Interact	1-9	1-9	1-6													
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-9	1-9	NA													
Comply With and Use Tech Specs. (3)	2, 3	4, 5	NA													
Notes:																
(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.																
(2) Optional for an SRO-U.																
(3) Only applicable to SROs.																

Instructions:

Check the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

OYSTER CREEK 8/2008

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8/2008 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	STEVEN DENNIS	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>Steven Dennis</i>	6-5-08			
2.	Neil Patrou	Exam Author	<i>Neil Patrou</i>	6-6-08			
3.	Joe Ruyb	OTPS	<i>Joe Ruyb</i>	6/20/08			
4.	Herb Tull	Facility Rep	<i>Herb Tull</i>	7/1/08			
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

OYSTER CREEK.. 8/2008

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 8/2008 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of _____. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	STEVEN DENNIS	EXAM DEVELOPER	<i>Steven Dennis</i>	6-5-08			
2.	Neil Patrou	Exam Author	<i>Neil Patrou</i>	6-16-08			
3.	Joe Ruth	OTPS	<i>Joe Ruth</i>	6/20/08			
4.	Herb Tull	Facility Rep	<i>Herb Tull</i>	7/1/08			
5.	Lun Cheng	Lead Analyst	<i>Lun Cheng</i>	7/14/08			
6.	Robin Brewer	Site Coord	<i>Robin Brewer</i>	7/14/08			
7.	Greg Yang	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Greg Yang</i>	7-14-08			
8.	JOSH MCBURN	INSTRUCTOR	<i>Josh MCBURN</i>	7/14/2008			
9.	Steve Depasmir	Re OPERATOR	<i>Steve Depasmir</i>	7/16/08			
10.	Neal Miller	Reactor Operator	<i>Neal Miller</i>	7/16/08			
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES: