

7

No interest into this

**Richard Conte**

**From:** Richard Conte  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 22, 2008 7:23 AM  
**To:** Neil Sheehan; Darrell Roberts; Marsha Gamberoni  
**Subject:** FW: RIS and FRN  
**Attachments:** C:\_WINDOWS\_ShellNew\_NRC\_ADAMSDesktop\_Cache\_ML0809502351.pdf; ML0810805620.doc

FYI

Richard J. Conte  
Chief, Engineering Branch No. 1, DRS, Reg. I

(b)(6)

Off. 610-337-5183

Ex 6

**From:** Marjorie McLaughlin  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 22, 2008 7:11 AM  
**To:** Ronald Bellamy; Richard Conte  
**Subject:** FW: RIS and FRN

FYI

Marjorie McLaughlin  
NRC Region I State Liaison Officer  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Phone: 610-337-5240  
Fax: 610-337-5349

(b)(6)

Ex 6

**From:** Louise Lund  
**Sent:** Monday, April 21, 2008 5:47 PM  
**To:** Eugene Dacus; Tom Blount; Samson Lee; Neil Sheehan; Donnie Ashley; Mary Baty; Marjorie McLaughlin  
**Subject:** FW: RIS and FRN

Attached is the RIS for comment and the associated FRN on the fatigue methodology issue ... the FRN is publicly available in ADAMS (I just checked), and contains the text of the RIS for comment

**From:** Martin Murphy  
**Sent:** Monday, April 21, 2008 5:30 PM  
**To:** Louise Lund; Edward Williamson; Bo Pham  
**Subject:** RIS and FRN

the RIS and FRN can be found with the following ADAMS accession #s: ML080950235 and ML081080562 or attached

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions 6  
FOIA- 2008-0306

El

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Proposed Generic Communication

FATIGUE ANALYSIS OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COMPONENTS

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to issue a regulatory issue summary (RIS) to inform licensees of an analysis methodology used to demonstrate compliance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) fatigue acceptance criteria that could be nonconservative if not correctly applied.

This Federal Register notice is available through the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) under accession number ML081080652.

**DATES:** Comment period expires [60 days after FRN is published]. Comments submitted after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSEES:** Submit written comments to the Chief, Rulemaking, Directives and Editing Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop T6-D59, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to NRC Headquarters, 11545 Rockville Pike (Room T-6D59), Rockville, Maryland, between 7:30 am and 4:15 pm on Federal workdays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:** John R. Fair at 301-415-2759 or by email at

[John.Fair@nrc.gov](mailto:John.Fair@nrc.gov)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**NRC REGULATORY ISSUE SUMMARY 2008-XX**

**FATIGUE ANALYSIS OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COMPONENTS**

**ADDRESSEES**

All holders of operating licenses for nuclear power reactors, except those who have permanently ceased operations and have certified that fuel has been permanently removed from the reactor vessel.

**INTENT**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing this regulatory issue summary (RIS) to inform licensees of an analysis methodology used to demonstrate compliance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) fatigue acceptance criteria that could be nonconservative if not correctly applied.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants," requires that applicants for license renewal perform an evaluation of time-limited aging analyses relevant to structures, systems, and components within the scope of license renewal. The fatigue analysis of the reactor coolant pressure boundary components is an issue that involves time-limited assumptions. In addition, the staff has provided guidance in NUREG-1800, Rev. 1, "Standard Review Plan for Review of License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants," issued September 2005.

NUREG-1800, Rev. 1, specifies that the effects of the reactor water environment on fatigue life be evaluated for a sample of components to provide assurance that cracking because of fatigue will not occur during the period of extended operation. Since the reactor water environment has a significant impact on the fatigue life of components, many license renewal applicants have performed supplemental detailed analyses to demonstrate acceptable fatigue life for these components.

10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards," specifies the ASME Code requirements for operating reactors. Some operating facilities may have performed supplemental detailed analysis of components because of new loading conditions identified after the plant began operation.

#### **SUMMARY OF ISSUE**

The staff identified a concern regarding the methodology used by some license renewal applicants to demonstrate the ability of nuclear power plant components to withstand the cyclic loads associated with plant transient operations for the period of extended operation. This particular analysis methodology involves the use of the Green's function to calculate the fatigue usage during plant transient operations such as startups and shutdowns.

The Green's function approach involves performing a detailed stress analysis of a component to calculate its response to a step change in temperature. This detailed analysis is used to establish an influence function, which is subsequently used to calculate the stresses caused by the actual plant temperature transients. This methodology has been used to perform fatigue calculations and as input for on-line fatigue monitoring programs. The Green's function methodology is not in question. The concern involves a simplified input for applying the Green's function in which only one value of stress is used for the evaluation of the actual plant transients. The detailed stress analysis requires consideration of six stress components, as discussed in ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB, Subarticle NB-3200. Simplification of the analysis to consider only one value of the stress may provide acceptable results for some applications ; however, it also requires a great deal of judgment by the analyst to ensure that the simplification still provides a conservative result.

The staff has requested that recent license renewal applicants that have used this simplified Green's function methodology perform confirmatory analyses to demonstrate that the simplified Green's function analyses provide acceptable results. The confirmatory analyses retain all six stress components. To date, the confirmatory analysis of one component, a boiling-water

reactor feedwater nozzle, indicated that the simplified input for the Green's function did not produce conservative results in the nozzle bore area when compared to the detailed analysis. However, the confirmatory analysis still demonstrated that the nozzle had acceptable fatigue usage.

Licensees may have also used the simplified Green's function methodology in operating plant fatigue evaluations for the current license term. For plants with renewed licenses, the staff is considering additional regulatory actions if the simplified Green's function methodology was used.

### **BACKFIT DISCUSSION**

This RIS informs addressees of a potential nonconservative calculation methodology and reminds them that the ASME Code fatigue analysis should be performed properly. For license renewal, metal fatigue is evaluated as a time-limited aging analysis in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The associated staff review guidance appears in Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue Analysis," of NUREG-1800, Rev. 1. For operating reactors, the ASME Code requirements appear in 10 CFR 50.55a. This RIS does not impose a new or different regulatory staff position. It requires no action or written response and, therefore, is not a backfit under 10 CFR 50.109, "Backfitting." Consequently, the NRC staff did not perform a backfit analysis.

### **FEDERAL REGISTER NOTIFICATION**

A notice of opportunity for public comment on this RIS was not published in the *Federal Register* because the RIS is informational.

### **CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT**

The NRC has determined that this RIS is not a rule as designated by the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808) and; therefore, is not subject to the Act.

### **PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT**

This RIS does not contain information collection requirements that are subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

Public Protection Notification

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

**CONTACT**

Please direct any questions about this matter to the technical contacts listed below.

Michael J. Case, Director

Division of Policy and Rulemaking

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Technical Contacts: Kenneth C. Chang, NRR

John R. Fair, NRR

301-415-1913

301-415-2759

E-mail: [Kenneth.Chang@nrc.gov](mailto:Kenneth.Chang@nrc.gov)

E-mail: [John.Fair@nrc.gov](mailto:John.Fair@nrc.gov)

Note: The NRC's generic communications may be found on the NRC public Web site,

<http://www.nrc.gov>, under Electronic Reading Room/Document Collections.

END OF DRAFT REGULATORY ISSUE SUMMARY

Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if you have problems in accessing the documents in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of April 2008.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*/RA/*

Martin C. Murphy, Chief  
Generic Communications Branch  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001

April 11, 2008

**NRC REGULATORY ISSUE SUMMARY 2008-10  
FATIGUE ANALYSIS OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANT COMPONENTS**

**ADDRESSEES**

All holders of operating licenses for nuclear power reactors, except those who have permanently ceased operations and have certified that fuel has been permanently removed from the reactor vessel.

**INTENT**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing this regulatory issue summary (RIS) to inform licensees of an analysis methodology used to demonstrate compliance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) fatigue acceptance criteria that could be nonconservative if not correctly applied.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants," requires that applicants for license renewal perform an evaluation of time-limited aging analyses relevant to structures, systems, and components within the scope of license renewal. The fatigue analysis of the reactor coolant pressure boundary components is an issue that involves time-limited assumptions. In addition, the staff has provided guidance in NUREG-1800, Rev. 1, "Standard Review Plan for Review of License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants," issued September 2005. NUREG-1800, Rev. 1, specifies that the effects of the reactor water environment on fatigue life be evaluated for a sample of components to provide assurance that cracking because of fatigue will not occur during the period of extended operation. Since the reactor water environment has a significant impact on the fatigue life of components, many license renewal applicants have performed supplemental detailed analyses to demonstrate acceptable fatigue life for these components.

10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards," specifies the ASME Code requirements for operating reactors. Some operating facilities may have performed supplemental detailed analysis of components because of new loading conditions identified after the plant began operation.

**ML080950235**

## **SUMMARY OF ISSUE**

The staff identified a concern regarding the methodology used by some license renewal applicants to demonstrate the ability of nuclear power plant components to withstand the cyclic loads associated with plant transient operations for the period of extended operation. This particular analysis methodology involves the use of the Green's function to calculate the fatigue usage during plant transient operations such as startups and shutdowns.

The Green's function approach involves performing a detailed stress analysis of a component to calculate its response to a step change in temperature. This detailed analysis is used to establish an influence function, which is subsequently used to calculate the stresses caused by the actual plant temperature transients. This methodology has been used to perform fatigue calculations and as input for on-line fatigue monitoring programs. The Green's function methodology is not in question. The concern involves a simplified input for applying the Green's function in which only one value of stress is used for the evaluation of the actual plant transients. The detailed stress analysis requires consideration of six stress components, as discussed in ASME Code, Section III, Subsection NB, Subarticle NB-3200. Simplification of the analysis to consider only one value of the stress may provide acceptable results for some applications; however, it also requires a great deal of judgment by the analyst to ensure that the simplification still provides a conservative result.

The staff has requested that recent license renewal applicants that have used this simplified Green's function methodology perform confirmatory analyses to demonstrate that the simplified Green's function analyses provide acceptable results. The confirmatory analyses retain all six stress components. To date, the confirmatory analysis of one component, a boiling-water reactor feedwater nozzle, indicated that the simplified input for the Green's function did not produce conservative results in the nozzle bore area when compared to the detailed analysis. However, the confirmatory analysis still demonstrated that the nozzle had acceptable fatigue usage.

Licensees may have also used the simplified Green's function methodology in operating plant fatigue evaluations for the current license term. For plants with renewed licenses, the staff is considering additional regulatory actions if the simplified Green's function methodology was used.

## **BACKFIT DISCUSSION**

This RIS informs addressees of a potential nonconservative calculation methodology and reminds them that the ASME Code fatigue analysis should be performed properly. For license renewal, metal fatigue is evaluated as a time-limited aging analysis in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c). The associated staff review guidance appears in Section 4.3, "Metal Fatigue Analysis," of NUREG-1800, Rev. 1. For operating reactors, the ASME Code requirements appear in 10 CFR 50.55a. This RIS does not impose a new or different regulatory staff position. It requires no action or written response and, therefore, is not a backfit under 10 CFR 50.109, "Backfitting." Consequently, the NRC staff did not perform a backfit analysis.

## **FEDERAL REGISTER NOTIFICATION**

A notice of opportunity for public comment on this RIS was not published in the *Federal Register* because the RIS is informational.

## **CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT**

The NRC has determined that this RIS is not a rule as designated by the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. §§801–808) and; therefore, is not subject to the Act.

## **PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT STATEMENT**

This RIS does not contain information collection requirements that are subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

### **Public Protection Notification**

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

**CONTACT**

Please direct any questions about this matter to the technical contacts listed below.

*/RA/*

Michael J. Case, Director  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Technical Contacts: Kenneth C. Chang, NRR  
301-415-1913  
E-mail: [kxc2@Nrc.gov](mailto:kxc2@Nrc.gov)

John R. Fair, NRR  
301-415-2759  
E-mail: [jrf@nrc.gov](mailto:jrf@nrc.gov)

Note: The NRC's generic communications may be found on the NRC public Web site,  
<http://www.nrc.gov>, under Electronic Reading Room/Document Collections.

**CONTACT**

Please direct any questions about this matter to the technical contacts listed below.

*/RA/*

Michael J. Case, Director  
Division of Policy and Rulemaking  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Technical Contacts: Kenneth C. Chang, NRR  
301-415-1913  
E-mail: [kxc2@Nrc.gov](mailto:kxc2@Nrc.gov)

John R. Fair, NRR  
301-415-2759  
E-mail: [jrf@nrc.gov](mailto:jrf@nrc.gov)

Note: NRC's generic communications may be found on the NRC public Web site,  
<http://www.nrc.gov>, under Electronic Reading Room/Document Collections.

DISTRIBUTION: RIS R/F

NRR\_ADES Distribution

NRR\_ADR

**ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML080950235**

OFFICE	DE/NRR/EMCB	BC/DLR/RER1	TECH EDITOR	BC/DE/EMCB	AD/NRR/DLR	DD/NRR/DE
NAME	JFair	KChang JMedoff for	HChang	KManoly	SLee	PHiland
DATE	4/7/08	4/7/08	4/08/08	4/7/08	4/8/08	4/09/08
OFFICE	DD/NRR/DORL	BC/DE/EMB1	BC/DE/EMB2	OE	OGC/NLO	OGC/CRA
NAME	CHaney	AHsia	JDixon-Herrity	SMagruder	EWilliams	SHamrick
DATE	4/10/08	4/10/08	4/10/08	4/09/08	4/11/08	4/10/08
OFFICE	PMDA/IMT	OIS	LA/DPR/PGCB	DPR/PGCB	BC/DPR/PGCB	D/DPR
NAME	LHill	TDonnell	CHawes	AMarkley	MMurphy	MCase
DATE	4/09/08	4/10/08	4/11/08	4/11/08	4/11/08	4/11/08

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY