

Exelon Generation Company, LLC Dresden Nuclear Power Station 6500 North Dresden Road Morris, IL 60450–9765 www.exeloncorp.com

Nuclear

10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(ii)

October 31, 2008

SVPLTR: #08-0059

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

> Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3 Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-19 and DPR-25 NRC Docket Nos. 50-237 and 50-249

Subject: Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report

References: (1) Letter from D. Bost (Exelon Generation Company, LLC) to U. S. NRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," dated October 31, 2007

(2) Letter from J. Hansen, (Exelon Generation Company, LLC) to U. S. NRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 30-Day Report for Fuel Type SVEA-96 Optima2," dated May 23, 2008

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.46, "Acceptance criteria for emergency core cooling systems for light-water nuclear power reactors," paragraph (a)(3)(ii), Exelon Generation Company LLC, is submitting this letter and its attachment to meet the annual reporting requirements.

Dresden Nuclear Power Station (DNPS) has maintained the same emergency core cooling (ECCS) model as reported in Reference 1 for Unit 3 and GE14 fuel in Unit 2. For Unit 2, the Westinghouse Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) model has been implemented to support the transition to Optima2 fuel.

A 10 CFR 50.46 30-Day Report was submitted for Unit 2, addressing an input error (see Reference 2). For Unit 3, no vendor 10 CFR 50.46 LOCA model change/error notifications were received since the last annual report. The attachment provides the PCT value for each unit and the "rack-up" sheets for the LOCA analyses, along with assessment note summaries.

A002 NAR

There are no regulatory commitments contained within this letter. If there are any questions concerning this letter, please contact Mr. Stephen Taylor, Regulatory Assurance Manager, at (815) 416-2800.

Respectfully,

David B. Wozniak Site Vice President

**Dresden Nuclear Power Station** 

Attachment:

Dresden Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3 - 10 CFR 50.46 Report

cc:

Regional Administrator – NRC Region III NRC Senior Resident Inspector – Dresden Nuclear Power Station

# DRESDEN NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNITS 2 AND 3 10 CFR 50.46 REPORT

# **Unit 2 GE Fuel**

PLANT NAME:

Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2

ECCS EVALUATION MODEL:

SAFER/GESTR-LOCA

REPORT REVISION DATE:

10/10/2008

**CURRENT OPERATING CYCLE:** 

21

# **ANALYSIS OF RECORD**

**Evaluation Model:** 

The GESTR-LOCA and SAFER Models for the Evaluation of

the Loss-of-Coolant Accident, Volume III, SAFER/GESTR Application Methodology, NEDE-23785-1-PA, General

Electric Company, Revision 1, October 1984.

#### Calculations:

"SAFER/GESTR-LOCA Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analysis for Dresden Nuclear Station 2 and 3 and Quad Cities Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2," NEDC-32990P, Revision 2, GE Nuclear Energy, September 2003.

Fuel: 9x9-2, ATRIUM-9B and GE14

Limiting Fuel Type: GE14

Limiting Single Failure: Diesel Generator

Limiting Break Size and Location: 1.0 Double-Ended Guillotine in a Recirculation

Suction Pipe

Reference Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT)

 $PCT = 2110^{\circ}F$ 

# **MARGIN ALLOCATION**

# A. PRIOR LOCA MODEL ASSESSMENTS

10 CFR 50.46 report dated December 6, 2001 (See Note 1)	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 25, 2002 (See Note 2)	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 25, 2003 (See Note 3)	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 24, 2004 (See Note 4)	$\Delta PCT = 0$ °F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 16, 2005 (See Note 5)	$\Delta PCT = 0$ °F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 9, 2006 (See Note 6)	$\Delta$ PCT = 0°F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated October 31, 2007 (See Note 7)	$\Delta PCT = 0$ °F
Net PCT	2110 °F
	<u> </u>

None	ΔPCT = 0°F
Total PCT change from current assessments	$\Sigma \Delta PCT = 0$ °F
Cumulative PCT change from current assessments	$\sum  \Delta PCT  = 0^{\circ}F$
Net PCT	2110 °F

# **Unit 2 Westinghouse Fuel**

PLANT NAME:

Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2

ECCS EVALUATION MODEL:

USA5

REPORT REVISION DATE:

10/10/2008

**CURRENT OPERATING CYCLE:** 

21

### **ANALYSIS OF RECORD**

**Evaluation Model:** 

"Westinghouse BWR ECCS Evaluation Model: Supplement 3 to

Code Description, Qualification and Application to SVEA-96

Optima2 Fuel," WCAP-16078-P-A, November 2004.

### Calculations:

"Dresden 2 & 3 LOCA Analysis for SVEA-96 Optima2 Fuel," OPTIMA2-TR021DR-LOCA, Revision 3, Westinghouse Electric Company LLC, June 2008.

Fuel Analyzed in Calculation: SVEA-96 Optima2

Limiting Fuel Type: SVEA-96 Optima2 Limiting Single Failure: LPCI injection valve

Limiting Break Size and Location: 1.0 double-ended guillotine break in the recirculation

pump suction line

Reference Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT)

PCT = 2150°F

### MARGIN ALLOCATION

# A. PRIOR LOCA MODEL ASSESSMENTS

10 CFR 50.4	16 report dated Ma	y 23,2008 (S	See Note 8	3)	 $\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
Net PCT					2150°F

Revised LOCA analysis report - See Note 9	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
Total PCT change from current assessments	$\sum \Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
Cumulative PCT change from current assessments	$\sum  \Delta PCT  = 0^{\circ}F$
Net PCT	2150°F

# **Unit 3 GE Fuel**

PLANT NAME:

Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3

ECCS EVALUATION MODEL:

SAFER/GESTR-LOCA

REPORT REVISION DATE:

10/10/2008

**CURRENT OPERATING CYCLE:** 

20 -

# **ANALYSIS OF RECORD**

**Evaluation Model:** 

The GESTR-LOCA and SAFER Models for the Evaluation of the Loss-of-Coolant Accident, Volume III, SAFER/GESTR

Application Methodology, NEDE-23785-1-PA, General

Electric Company, Revision 1, October 1984.

#### Calculations:

"SAFER/GESTR-LOCA Loss-of-Coolant Accident Analysis for Dresden Nuclear Station 2 and 3 and Quad Cities Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2," NEDC-32990P, Revision 2, GE Nuclear Energy, September 2003.

Fuel: 9x9-2, ATRIUM-9B and GE14

Limiting Fuel Type: GE14

Limiting Single Failure: Diesel Generator

Limiting Break Size and Location: 1.0 Double-Ended Guillotine in a Recirculation

Suction Pipe

Reference Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT)

 $PCT = 2110^{\circ}F$ 

### MARGIN ALLOCATION

### A. PRIOR LOCA MODEL ASSESSMENTS

Net PCT	2110 °F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated October 31, 2007 (See Note 7)	ΔPCT = 0°F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 9, 2006 (See Note 6)	$\Delta PCT = 0$ °F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 16, 2005 (See Note 5)	ΔPCT = 0°F
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 24, 2004 (See Note 4)	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 25, 2003 (See Note 3)	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
10 CFR 50.46 report dated November 25, 2002 (See Note 2)	$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$

None	ΔPCT = 0°F
Total PCT change from current assessments	$\Sigma \Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}F$
Cumulative PCT change from current assessments	$\sum  \Delta PCT  = 0^{\circ}F$
Net PCT	2110 °F

# **Unit 3 Westinghouse Fuel**

PLANT NAME:

Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Unit 3

ECCS EVALUATION MODEL:

USA5

REPORT REVISION DATE:

10/10/2008

**CURRENT OPERATING CYCLE:** 

20

# **ANALYSIS OF RECORD**

**Evaluation Model:** 

"Westinghouse BWR ECCS Evaluation Model: Supplement 3 to

Code Description, Qualification and Application to SVEA-96

Optima2 Fuel," WCAP-16078-P-A, November 2004.

#### Calculations:

"Dresden 2 & 3 LOCA Analysis for SVEA-96 Optima2 Fuel," OPTIMA2-TR021DR-LOCA, Revision 3, Westinghouse Electric Company LLC, June 2008.

Fuel Analyzed in Calculation: SVEA-96 Optima2

Limiting Fuel Type: SVEA-96 Optima2 Limiting Single Failure: LPCI injection valve

Limiting Break Size and Location: 1.0 double-ended guillotine break in the recirculation

pump suction line

Reference Peak Cladding Temperature (PCT)

PCT = 2150°F

### MARGIN ALLOCATION

### A. PRIOR LOCA MODEL ASSESSMENTS

10 CFR 50.46 report dated October 31, 2007 (See Note 7)				$\Delta PCT = 0^{\circ}$		
Net PCT						2150°

Net PCT	2150°F
Cumulative PCT change from current assessments	$\sum  \Delta PCT  = 0^{\circ}F$
Total PCT change from current assessments	$\Sigma \Delta PCT = 0^{\circ} F$
Revised LOCA analysis report - See Note 9	ΔPCT = 0°F

# **Report Assessment Notes**

# 1. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The 50.46 letter dated December 6, 2001 reported a new LOCA analysis to support extended power uprate (EPU) and transition to GE14 fuel for Dresden Unit 2 Cycle 18. The same report assessed impact of errors in Framatome ANP LOCA analysis model for Dresden Unit 3 Cycle 17 at pre-EPU power level.

[Reference: Letter from Preston Swafford (PSLTR: #01-0122) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," December 6, 2001.]

### 2. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

Unit 3 implemented GE LOCA analysis and GE14 fuel with Dresden Unit 3 Cycle 18 startup on October 25, 2002. Therefore, both Dresden Units 2 and 3 are being maintained under the same LOCA analysis. In the referenced letter, the impact of GE LOCA error in the WEVOL code was reported for Dresden Units 2 and 3 and determined to be negligible.

[Reference: Letter from Robert J. Hovey (RHLTR: #02-0083) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," November 25, 2002.]

#### 3. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The annual 50.46 report provided information on the LOCA model assessments for SAFER Level/Volume table error and Steam Separator pressure drop error. In the referenced letter, the impact of these two GE LOCA errors were reported to be negligible.

[Reference: Letter from Robert J. Hovey (RHLTR: #03-0077) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," November 25, 2003.]

#### 4. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The referenced annual 50.46 report provided information on reload of GE14 fuel for Dresden Unit 2 Cycle 19 and impact of postulated hydrogen-oxygen recombination on PCT. GE determined that there is no PCT impact because of the change due to the new reload of GE14 fuel and the postulated hydrogen —oxygen recombination.

[Reference: Letter from Danny Bost (SVPLTR: #04-0075) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," November 24, 2004.]

# 5. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The referenced letter provided the annual 50.46 report for Units 2 and 3. The letter reported the PCT impact of reload of GE14 fuel for D3C19 starting on December 8, 2004. Also, the letter reported the GE LOCA evaluation for Unit 3, which implemented the lower sectional replacement and T-box clamp repairs. GE determined that there is no PCT impact because of the change due to the new reload of GE14 fuel and the lower sectional replacement and T-box clamp repairs.

# Report Assessment Notes

[Reference: Letter from Danny Bost (SVPLTR: #05-0044) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," November 16, 2005.]

#### 6. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The referenced letter provided the annual 50.46 report for Units 2 and 3. The letter reported the PCT impact of the reload of GE14 fuel for D2C20. The letter also reported an evaluation of increased leakage of less than 5 gpm at runout condition in core spray line flow due to crack growth identified during D2R19 outage. Additionally, a GE evaluation of the small break for impact due to top-peak axial power shape was reported in this letter. The impact due to these changes on the licensing basis PCT was reported as zero.

[Reference: Letter from Danny Bost (SVPLTR: #06-0054) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," November 9, 2006.]

#### 7. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The referenced letter provided the annual 10 CFR 50.46 report for Units 2 and 3. The letter reported D3C20 startup with the first reload of Westinghouse Optima2 fuel and implementation of the Westinghouse LOCA analysis. No error was reported for GE LOCA applicable to operation of GE14 fuel in the Unit 2 core and unit 3 core.

[Reference: Letter from Danny Bost (SVPLTR: #07-0049) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 Report," October 31, 2007.]

#### 8. Prior LOCA Model Assessment

The referenced letter provided the 30-day 10 CFR 50.46 report for Dresden unit 2. The 30-day 10 CFR 50.46 report was submitted for Dresden unit 2 due to the non-conservative modeling of Low Pressure Core Spray (LPCS) performance for unit 2. Dresden unit 3 was not affected. Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (MAPLHGR) limit reduction was implemented at Dresden unit 2 in order to meet all 10 CFR 50.46 criteria while maintaining a PCT at or below the licensing basis value of 2150 °F for the entire Cycle 21 operation.

[Reference: Letter from Jeffrey Hansen (RS-08-073) (Exelon) to USNRC, "Plant Specific ECCS Evaluation Changes – 10 CFR 50.46 30-Day Report for Fuel Type SVEA-96 Optima2," May 23, 2008.]

#### Current LOCA Model Assessment

Westinghouse revised the LOCA report to document evaluation of the non-conservative modeling of Low Pressure Core Spray (LPCS) performance for unit 2. Dresden unit 3 was not affected by this error.

["Dresden 2 & 3 LOCA Analysis for SVEA-96 Optima2 Fuel," OPTIMA2-TR021DR-LOCA, Revision 3, Westinghouse Electric Company LLC, June 2008.]