NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Prein & Newhof 3355 Evergreen Drive NE Grand Rapids, Michigan 49525 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008-001	**Region III** **U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-~~02571~~ 28571	21-18663-02	October 30, 2008

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- [X] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- [ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- [ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

  _____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- [ ] 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
  (Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren		10/30/08

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003) 10 CFR 2.201

Docket File Information

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Prein & Newhof REPORT NUMBER(S) 2008-001	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE **NRC Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-28571	21-18663-02	Oct. 30, 2008

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	03.01 – 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03121	5	Sidney P. Wagner, RSO	616-364-8491

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: Oct. 2013

[X] Field Office 258 James St., Holland, MI, and 4910 Stariha Dr., Muskegon, MI

[ ] Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee was a civil engineering company that performed soil density testing for road and bridge construction projects in western Michigan. The licensee possessed and used five gauges as described on the license. The gauges were used daily during the construction season from April through October, and occasionally during winter months. The gauges were secured in storage and in vehicles in accordance with 10 CFR 30.34(i). The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on the gauges. The gauges were used by nine gauge users who had completed the manufacturer's training. The licensee possessed a calibrated survey instrument for use in emergency situations. All gauges were stored at the licensee's facility in Grand Rapids except when needed at another location.

Performance Observations

No temporary job site was available for observation at the time of the inspection. The inspector observed gauge storage at the licensee's facility in Grand Rapids, and licensee personnel demonstrated storage of a gauge in a vehicle. Licensee personnel described routine gauge use, emergency procedures, and storage at facilities in Holland and Muskegon, Michigan, and the inspector identified no concerns. Interviews with licensee personnel indicated adequate knowledge of radiation safety procedures and policies. Surveys of the gauge storage area indicated radiation levels appropriate for unrestricted areas.