

OCT 2 3 2008

SERIAL: BSEP 08-0138

10 CFR 50.73

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject:

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-324/License No. DPR-62

Licensee Event Report 2-2008-001

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73, Carolina Power & Light Company, now doing business as Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., submits the enclosed Licensee Event Report. This report fulfills the requirement for a written report within sixty (60) days of a reportable occurrence.

Please refer any questions regarding this submittal to Mr. Gene Atkinson, Supervisor - Licensing/Regulatory Programs, at (910) 457-2056.

Sincerely,

Edward L. Wills, Jr. Plant General Manager

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant

LJG/ljg

Enclosure:

Licensee Event Report

Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. Brunswick Nuclear Plant PO Box 10429 Southport, NC 28461

> I EAR NER

Document Control Desk BSEP 08-0138 / Page 2

cc (with enclosure):

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II ATTN: Mr. Luis A. Reyes, Regional Administrator Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, GA 30303-8931

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Mr. Joseph D. Austin, NRC Senior Resident Inspector 8470 River Road Southport, NC 28461-8869

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Mrs. Farideh E. Saba (Mail Stop OWFN 8G9A) (Electronic Copy Only) 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Chair - North Carolina Utilities Commission P.O. Box 29510 Raleigh, NC 27626-0510

NRC FOR	M 366			U.S. NUCLEAR	REGUL	ATORY C	ОММ	ISSION			OMB NO. 3150			IRES 08/31/2010
(9-2007)		LICI	ENSEE	EVENT REPO	1 I) TQ	= ₽\			Reported	lessons les	amed are incorpora	ted into the	licensing	llection request: 80 hours. process and fed back to ecords and FOIA/Privacy
		Lio	(See rev	rerse for required num characters for each bl	nber of	-iv)			Service Br 0001, or t Information Budget, W not display	ranch (T-5) by internet n and Regu /ashington, y a current	F52), U.S. Nuclear F e-mail to infocolled ulatory Affairs, NEOB DC 20503. If a mea ly valid OMB control	Regulatory C ts@nrc.gov, 3-10202, (315 ins used to in number, the	ommission and to th 50-0104), 0 npose an i	, Washington, DC 20555- e Desk Officer, Office of Office of Management and information collection does y not conduct or sponsor,
1 FACILITY	' NAME		<u> </u>		,				and a pers	son is not re	equired to respond to	, the informa	tion collect	ion.
1. FACILIT			ال ماساء	dt (DCED) I	r:4 Ö	:			2. DOCK	ET NUMI				3. PAGE
	ick Su	eam Ei	ectric r	lant (BSEP), U	nit ∠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				USU	00324			1 of 5
4. TITLE		_		· .										
			cram D	ue to Turbine l	Power/				uațion					
5. EV	ENT D	ATE	Γ	6. LER NUMBER		7. REI	PORT	DATE		<u> </u>	8. OTHER FAC			
монтн	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO	монтн	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY					05000
08	30	2008	2008	001	00	10	23	2008	FACILITY					05000
9. OPERA	TING	MODE		11. THIS REPORT					_			CFR §: (· ·
	1			2201(b)		•					a)(2)(i)(C)			a)(2)(vii)
				2201(d)				įii)			a)(2)(ii)(A)			a)(2)(viii)(A)
				2203(a)(1) 2203(a)(2)(i)				۸۱			a)(2)(ii)(B) a)(2)(iii)			a)(2)(viii)(B) a)(2)(ix)(A)
10. POWE	RLEV	/FI		2203(a)(2)(ii) 2203(a)(2)(ii)					⊠		a)(2)(iii) a)(2)(iv)(A)			
10., 20	100					50.36(c)		, ,	50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			☐ 50.73(a)(2)(x) ☐ 73.71(a)(4)		
	100					50.46(a)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)				73.71(a)(5)	
	•		1	2203(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)		A)			a)(2)(v)(C)		OTHER	
			20.2	2203(a)(2)(vi)		/					a)(2)(v)(D)		or in NF	in Abstract below RC Form 366A
EA OU ITY	- 14 8 4				· 12. L	ICENSEE	CON	ITACT FO			**************************************		→ i=\	
FACILITY				.							NUMBER (Incl	ude Area	Code	
Lee J. G	rzeck	, Senio		eer – Licensin			<u>.</u>		`) 457-2				
	 	- , 	13. C	OMPLETE ONE L			LV	ONENT F	AILURE	DESCRI	BED IN THIS R			
CAUSE	SYS	тем с	OMPONE	NT MANU- FACTURE		TO EPIX	.E 04	CAUSE	SYS	STEM	COMPONENT	FACTU		REPORTABLE TO EPIX
													_	
		14.	SUPPLE	MENTAL REPOR	ГЕХРЕС	CTED					PECTED	МО	DAY	YEAR
YE	S (If y	es, comp	olete EXP	ECTED SUBMISS	ION DA	TE).	ΧI	NO	7		ISSION ATE	•		
				s, i.e., approximate					es)			<u>,</u>		
				1503 hours Eatrol Valves (T										
				o. 1 partially	,				-		•	,	-	
				given to inser	-	_			-			_	_	•
				to insert the n							_			
_		_	_	e response, re							-			
	•	-	_	actuations of	-	_	•	-			•	•	•	•
respon				actuations of	Journe	a as ac.	31gii	od. 7111	Contro	or rou.	s turry misor	iou une	. um 3	ystems
				rted in accord tomatic actua							•			
The se	elect o	cause o	of this	event was the	lack	of adeq	uate	work c	ontrol	s for t	ransmissior	n maint	enanc	e activities

due to an inaccurate perception of risk. The corrective actions to prevent recurrence include the revision of site

procedures to provide additional work controls and improve risk assessment.

(9-2007)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) Continuation Sheet

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LE	PAGE (3)		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit 2	05000324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
	03000324	2008 -	001	00	2 of 5

NARRATIVE

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].

INTRODUCTION

On August 30, 2008, at 1503 hours Eastern Daylight Time (EDT), a Power/Load Unbalance (PLU) actuation caused the Turbine Control Valves (TCVs) [JI] and the Main Turbine Bypass Valves (BPVs) [JI] to cycle. The initial cycle resulted in BPV No. 1 partially opening while a second cycle resulted in four BPVs going full open. At that time, the order was given to insert a manual scram. An automatic scram signal occurred just as the operator was beginning to insert the manual scram. Reactor water level momentarily dropped below Low Level 1 (LL1) during the response, resulting in Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) [JM] Group 2 and Group 6 isolations. The LL1 actuations occurred as designed. All control rods fully inserted and all systems responded as designed.

At 1747 hours on August 30, 2008, the NRC was notified of this event (i.e., Event Number 44453) in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(iv)(B), as an event or condition that results in actuation of the reactor protection system (RPS) [JC] when the reactor is critical, and 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A), as an event or condition that results in valid actuation of any of the systems listed in 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(B).

This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), as an event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed in 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B).

EVENT DESCRIPTION

Initial Conditions

Prior to the event, Unit 2 was in Mode 1 operating at approximately 100 percent rated thermal power.

Discussion

At approximately 1503 hours on August 30, 2008, Unit 2 annunciators 2-UA-23(5-1), Main Turbine Bypass Valve Open, and 2-UA-23(6-5), EHC Electrical Malfunction, were received. At the time of these alarms, Operators observed Bypass Valve No. 1 partially open and then close. The annunciators also cleared briefly. Approximately five to seven seconds later, all four Bypass Valves fully opened, accompanied by the same annunciators. An automatic reactor scram then occurred, followed by insertion of a manual scram (i.e., the Operators were moving to insert the manual scram when the automatic actuation occurred). Initial troubleshooting determined that cycling of the BPVs was the result of at least two short duration Power/Load Unbalance (PLU) monitor actuations in response to current transients within its generator output sensing circuit. While these current transients were captured by the Plant Process Computer, the actual source was not definitively identified.

The rate sensitive PLU circuit is used to detect the potential for rapid acceleration of the turbine before a measurable speed change has taken place. It does this by monitoring Main Generator [EL] output current

NRC FORM 366A

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) Continuation Sheet

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	PAGE (3)		
Down in Change Plantic Plant (DCEP) Mais 2	05000324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 05 5
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit 2		2008	001	00	3 of 5

NARRATIVE

EVENT DESCRIPTION (continued)

(i.e., load) for rapid reductions when power level derived from first stage High Pressure Turbine pressure is greater than 40%. The rate sensitive PLU circuit and relays have a two-fold purpose:

- To initiate Control Valve closure under load rejection conditions that might lead to a rapid acceleration and turbine overspeed.
- To prevent any valve action under stable fault conditions which are self-clearing within a reasonable amount of time.

Load signal is provided to the PLU via the Electro-Hydraulic Control (EHC) [IT] cabinet which is connected to the Generator and Transformer relaying and metering control circuit. This circuit is supplied by two 25,000A to 5A current transformers on the "A" and "C" phases of the Main Generator outputs.

The most probable initiating event of the scram was the introduction of a short onto the Generator's current monitoring circuit during post-modification testing for an Engineering Change (i.e., EC 68642) that installed a new digital fault recorder to provide dynamic disturbance monitoring capability. The testing was being performed by a Transmission Maintenance technician working in a relay house in the switchyard.

The new recorder was connected to various inputs sources, including the Generator and Transformer Relaying and Metering control circuits, via a shorting type test device. This test device has a series of knife switches used to isolate the recorder from the main circuit. In the case of the Generator and Transformer Relaying and Metering control circuits, the test device shorted the main circuit in order to maintain its continuity, and provided for opening of the leads to the recorder. It also provided test terminals for communication with the recorder. This test device has 10 channels, two of which are part of the "A" phase current circuit and two of which are part of the "C" phase current circuit.

The actual short appears to have occurred while a test plug was being removed from the test device mentioned above during a brief time period when the plug was still electrically in contact with the test switch but the switch was not electrically isolated from the main circuit. This allowed an unidentified path to ground, via the test equipment, and resulted in a current transient of sufficient magnitude to actuate the PLU protective device within the EHC cabinet.

The PLU properly responded to the sensed Generator current changes and the EHC system responded to the PLU actuations as designed. Troubleshooting activities, including physical testing and visual inspections of the current transducers, test switch, and test plug, found no obvious problems. Continuity and meggering checks were performed on the A, B, and C phase circuits and no grounds were found. The troubleshooting concluded without finding a definitive source of the current transient.

(9-2007)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) Continuation Sheet

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	PAGE (3)		
Danagarial: Steam Electric Plant (DSED), Unit 2	05000324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4.07.5
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit 2		2008	001	00	4 of 5

NARRATIVE

EVENT CAUSE

No root cause was able to be determined for this event. The select cause of this event was the lack of adequate work controls for transmission maintenance activities due to an inaccurate perception of risk.

The PLU actuation and subsequent scram were the result of a current transient on the Generator and Transformer relaying and metering control circuit introduced during post-modification testing for EC 68642. Implementation of EC 68642 was undertaken without fully understanding the potential consequences of the work. As a result, adequate work controls for transmission maintenance activities were not established.

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

The safety significance of this condition is considered minimal. The plant is designed for this type of event and responded as expected for this condition. Safety system actuations functioned as designed and Operations personnel responded appropriately in accordance with procedures.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The following corrective actions to prevent recurrence will be taken.

- NGGM-IA-0003, "Transmission Interface Agreement for Operation, Maintenance, and Engineering Activities at Nuclear Plants," will be revised, or other procedural controls will be established, to strengthen work control of transmission maintenance activities. This action is currently scheduled to be completed by December 15, 2008.
- 0AP-025, "BNP Integrated Scheduling," will be revised to include responsibility to evaluate risk associated with work involving critical shared transmission components providing BSEP support functions, and to strengthen work control of transmission maintenance activities. This action is currently scheduled to be completed by December 15, 2008.

Additional corrective actions include the following.

- Develop standards for test blocks used by Transmission personnel, and implement in the field. This action is currently scheduled to be completed by March 15, 2009.
- Perform training needs analysis for Design Engineering to assess training on how to perform Risk Assessments in accordance with EGR-NGGC-0011, "Engineering Product Quality." This action is currently scheduled to be completed by December 15, 2008.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) Continuation Sheet

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	ı	PAGE (3)		
Demograph Steam Electric Plant (DSED) Unit 2	05000324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	5.02.5
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit 2		2008	001	00	5 of 5

NARRATIVE

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

A review of LERs and corrective action program condition reports for the past three years identified the following similar event.

• Nuclear Condition Report (NCR) 270475 documents an invalid Unit 1 Primary Containment Isolation System (PCIS) Group 1 actuation which occurred on March 15, 2008 (i.e., Event Notification 44213). This event occurred as a result of switchyard work associated with EC 63842 to replace the main generator output breakers 22A and 22B. However, the root cause of this event was determined to be a lack of effectiveness of prior actions taken to prevent Group 1 isolations during shutdown conditions. As such, the corrective action to prevent recurrence could not reasonably have been expected to prevent occurrence of the event reported in this LER.

COMMITMENTS

No regulatory commitments are contained in this report.