PROPOSED EXAMINATION SUBMITTAL AND CHECKLIST(S) FOR THE DUANE ARNOLD RETAKE EXAM – APRIL 2008



Duane Arnold Energy Center

March 28, 2008

NG-08-0236 NUREG 1021

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III Attention: Hironori Peterson 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352

Duane Arnold Energy Center Docket 50-331 License No. DPR-49

Re-Examination Material for Duane Arnold Energy Center

In accordance with the guidelines of NUREG 1021, "Operating License Examination Standard for Power Reactors," Revision 9, we are sending you the simulator dynamic examination portion of the initial license examination for a Senior Reactor Operator candidate that will be re-examined during the week of April 21, 2008.

NUREG 1021 physical security requirements state that the enclosed examination materials shall be withheld from public disclosure until after the examination is complete.

You may direct any questions or comments regarding this material to Curtis Hansen or Wayne Render at 319-851-7268.

This letter contains no new commitments.

Richard L. Anderson

Vice President, Duane Arnold Energy Center

FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC

Enclosures

CC: Chief Operator License Branch, Region III w/o

NRC Resident Inspector w/o

BLIND CARBON COPY LIST FOR NG-08-0236 March 28, 2008

D. Curtland w/o

C. Hansen w/o

W. Render w/o

IRMS w/o

S. Catron w/o

J. Morris w/o

Licensing CTS Project w/o

SUBJECT: Re-Examination Material for Duane Arnold Energy Center

FILE CODES: A117a

racility.	Date of Examination: 21 April, 2008 Operating Test Number:	2008-	301					
	1. General Criteria							
	i. General Criteria	а	b*	c#				
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	Dist	48	M				
b _e	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	gall	H8	m				
C.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	2 Bus	198	An				
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	N/A	N/A	N/A				
e,	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.							
2. Walk-Through Criteria								
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.								
	3. Simulator Criteria		_	**				
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.								
c. NR	Printed Name / Signature Curtis Hansen / Dathfeld Bity Reviewer(*) Chief Examiner (#) Examiner (#) Examiner (#) Examiner (#) Examiner (#)	7	ate 24/08 27/08 108	8_				

Facilty	y: DAEC Date of Exam: 21 April, 2008 Scenario Numbers: 1	/ 2 / Operating Tes	t No.: 2	008-301	
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES				
			а	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instruments of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ation may be out	gest	48	m
2,	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	all	148	Pr	
3.11	Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)	Oak	As	Pm	
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	Start	AS	Pw.	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	COLA	KON	2	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination to complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	0.804	A8	Dar	
7:	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so incoperators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue to Cues are given.	0014	48	N/A Sn	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	Dark	148	M	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenario	DEN	KIX		
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-	Dark	148	M	
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	000	478	Br	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transic specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	QQ1A	HB	3~	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each creations	cost	KD8	80	
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes			
1,:	Total malfunctions (5–8)	5 / 5 /	200	AC	m
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)	2 / 1 /	ORUS	Els-	m
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)	2 / 1 /	284	KB	Du
4.	Major transients (1–2)	2 / 1 /	any	\$	Du
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 /	able	as	þv
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	0 / 2 /	20015	FS	m
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	1 / 2 /	LOUA	F18	M

NOTE: Only one applicant being tested. Applicant will only experience 1 Abnormal on scenario 2.

Facility: DAEC Date of Examination: 21 April, 2008 Operating Test No.: 2008-301																
		APPLICANTS														
		RO 🗷 SRO-I 🗆 SRO-U 🗆			RO □ SRO-I 🗷 SRO-U □				RO SRO-I SRO-U				RO SRO-I SRO-U			
Competencies	SCENARIO				SCEN	IARI	SCENARIO				SCENARIO					
L.	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	2,3, 4,7					2,3,4, 5,7,8										
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL					ALL		=					ļ ja			
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,2,3, 5,6,7					N/A										
Communicate and Interact	ALL					ALL										
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A					ALL										
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A					2,4										
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.